

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_110580
Title of the Manuscript:	CORTICOSTEROIDS AND RASHES: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I think the result and idea in this paper is very important for clinical medicine. Steroid drugs are very important in medicine nowadays, but they have many side effects. How to deal these side effects is very vital for medical staff and patients, and in this study, it has explained quite clearly.</p> <p>2. The title is very suitable to this article.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive and concise. The reader can get key and vital quickly for the abstract.</p> <p>4. I think the structure of this article is quite logical. From the introduction of the background to the final solution and conclusion, it is quite logical and can be understood quickly.</p> <p>5. Whether scientifically or clinically, I think what this study describes is correct and important.</p> <p>6. I think the references used in this study are appropriate, but perhaps the author could use more recent references in the future.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The language quality in this study is good and suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I think this article is acceptable. The idea behind this article is important because steroid drugs have played an important role in medicine and research in the past, present and future. Therefore, how to deal with the side effects of steroids is very important, and this article also gives ways to deal with them in the end.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yen-Hsiang Wang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Taipei Medical University, Taiwan</b>