

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_110580
Title of the Manuscript:	CORTICOSTEROIDS AND RASHES: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript discusses an important subject. In fact, corticosteroids are one of the most commonly prescribed drugs for systemic as well as topical use. Skin and its related structures underwent such extensive alterations that in 2005, the American Contact Dermatitis Society labeled steroids as the allergen of the year. Dermatological changes reported includes rosacea, erythema, telangiectasia, acne-like eruptions, itching, tissue degeneration, excessive hairiness, and dermatitis. This manuscript discusses some of them</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract of this article is partially comprehensive, it contains many grammar mistakes.</p> <p>4. No, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The referencing is missing (references are not cited within the text). Moreover, this manuscript describes the types of corticosteroid-induced, the structure of the manuscript is not appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications. There are numerous grammar and spelling mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The choice of topic for this article is very interesting. However, there is a lack of discussion about many skin rashes and adverse cutaneous effects induced by corticosteroids. Moreover, there are numerous grammar mistakes.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ouni Nour el Imene
Department, University & Country	University of Sousse, Tunisia