

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_108905 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | QUALITY EVALUATION AND ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF SOY-POUNDO YAM FLOUR |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because this work enhances the value of foods such as soy and yams by producing a flour by blending these two foods in order to obtain products of nutritional and organoleptic quality. 2. I suggest the title "EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL QUALITY AND ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF SOY-POUNDO YAM FLOUR". 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript appropriate are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but the ideal formulation of organoleptic and nutritional quality will be proposed. 6. The references are sufficient and recent | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | No comments | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Harimalala AnDriambelo Nirina |
| Department, University & Country | Antananarivo University, Madagascar |