

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114404
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of soil microbial and enzymatic activity in the rhizospheric zone of sugarcane plant-ratoon system in Indo-Gangetic plains of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript "Ms_JSRR_114404" is important for scientific community because it shows forth a scientific investigation. It demonstrates the efficacy of the applied manure. The subject matter will trend in the wake Climate Change agitation.</p> <p>2. Suggested title" THE INTEGRATED EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURE, BIOFERTILIZER AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER ON SOIL PROPERTIES, YIELD AND QUALITY IN SUGARCANE PLANT-RATOON SYSTEM UNDER CALCAREOUS SOIL.</p> <p>3. Abstract is not comprehensive it takes patience and perseverance to read.</p> <p>4. Yes. journals guideline is recommended</p> <p>5. Somewhat; there need to be significant improvement in both the grammatical and technical languages.</p> <p>6. The references should be updated to be within 5 years old (2019 to present day)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I suggest that the manuscript be reviewed by English language editor/software to purge all grammatical errors. There are many of such.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Results should be separated from discussion and tabulated or put in graphs	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Donatus Chima Onyia
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research, Nigeria