

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_114644
Title of the Manuscript:	Current status of aluminising research on titanium alloys
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It is important but more information is required in the manuscript related to the techniques mentioned. More clarity is required. Also references must be latest and more number of latest publications to be added.</p> <p>No. Alternative Title: Effect of boronising in enhancing surface hardness and wear resistance of titanium alloys</p> <p>It must be rewritten in an understandable way.</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Right now it needs a lot of modification.</p> <p>No. Since it is a review article it must have enough content. Also more recent references must be included in the manuscript.</p> <p>Author wants to explain the boronising effect on Titanium alloys saying that Boron when embedded in presence of Lanthanum brings surface modification of Titanium alloys and enhances its surface hardness and wear resistance. But the way the explanation is given is really confusing for the readers. MS has to be rewritten with utmost care, selecting proper words and reconstructing the sentences. Also the abbreviations used are not specified anywhere which creates confusion for the readers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality must be improved. It's not up to the mark but can be improved and MS can be written in a better way.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Entire MS needs serious major revision. It can be accepted only after second revision and incorporation of changes mentioned.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Ramojwar
Department, University & Country	Kristu Jayanti College, India