

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_114146</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Geodetic Control Network in Anambra State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>For many structures, including dams, tunnels, high towers, flooding, bridges, and environmental monitoring, geodetic control networks are crucial. So, this research can be a good source for land developers and geodesists in Nigeria.</p> <p>The title is acceptable as it includes the main topic and the case study.</p> <p>Overall, the abstract does a good job of presenting all of the processes as well as the various components of the research; it is recommended to add one or two more suitable keywords.</p> <p>A brief literature review on the subject is recommended. A part on future directions is also suggested for further research for researchers.</p> <p>The paper is scientifically well-written.</p> <p>The author is suggested to use more references specifically for the literature review part (which is recommended to add).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The paper is written in a level of English that is suitable for academic and scientific groups, and such communities will find it acceptable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Majid Heidari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Salerno, Italy</b>