

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114320
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BIOCHAR APPLICATIONS ON PATHOGENIC BACTERIA FOUND IN CHICKEN MANURE-AMENDED SOIL AND GROWTH OF BERMUDAGRASS (<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>) FOR SPORTS TURFS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, If published, the manuscript could be important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title seems suitable but rephrasing it could shorten the title and make it clearer. E.g. "Evaluation of biochar's impact on pathogenic bacteria and Bermuda grass (<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>) growth in soil treated with chicken dung.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, most of which are recent</p> <p>7. Authors may wish to view the additional comments in the manuscript and respond accordingly</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is to a larger extent clear and suitable for scholarly communications. However, thorough editing of the manuscript by the authors could help to make it more suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is an interesting paper</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lameck Davie Nyirenda
Department, University & Country	Chancellor College, Malawi