

**EFFECT OF BIOCHAR APPLICATIONS ON
PATHOGENIC BACTERIA FOUND IN CHICKEN
MANURE-AMENDED SOIL AND GROWTH OF
BERMUDAGRASS (*Cynodon dactylon*) FOR
SPORTS TURFS**

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to determine which pathogenic bacteria were present in chicken manure-amended soil, the stage of decomposition of the manure, the effect of different rates of biochar on pathogenic bacteria in the amended soil and the effect on the growth of Bermuda grass. The design used for the laboratory experiment was 3*3 factorial in Completely Randomised Design (CRD) and it was replicated three times. The factors were the decomposition stages of chicken manure (3 levels) and the different rates of biochar (3 levels). The best combination of biochar and chicken manure at the percentages of 0, 5, 10, and 15 were then used together with soil and sand mix at a ratio of 100:00 and 70:30 to plant Bermuda grass. This experiment showed that *E.coli* is present in chicken manure and that increasing the decomposition period has a significant effect on the *E.coli* by reducing its load. Also, the addition of biochar to the chicken manure resulted in a significant reduction of *E.coli* count. The soil amended with the biochar and manure also supported very well the growth of Bermuda grass with the 10% and 15% biochar manure mix in 70 to 30 ratio of topsoil and sand giving the best grass growth in terms of spread, thickness, height, and color. A regression analysis is given by the equation $Y_{(coverage)}=176.857-23.0402_{(sprouting)}$ ($R^2=0.99$) indicated that sprouting significantly affected grass coverage such that 99% variation in the grass coverage was attributed by the sprouting. At the end of the study, it was concluded that well-composted chicken manure should be used together with biochar on sports fields to help remediate the problem of *E.coli* infestation and also improve the growth of grass on fields. Also, biochar could be added to chicken manure-amended soil for vegetable cultivation to help reduce foodborne diseases as a result of *E.coli* infestation.

Keywords: Biochar, pathogenic bacteria, decompose, infection, sprouting and colour.

INTRODUCTION

Biochar is material rich in carbon that is produced when biomass such as wood, leaves or manure is heated in an enclosed container under anaerobic or oxygen- limited conditions for use specifically to improve environmental and soil health [1]. *Cynodon dactylon* commonly known as Bermuda grass is a perennial warm-season, fast growing and tough turfgrass which is dark green in colour which spreads by rhizome and stolons [2]. Bermuda grass is widely adapted in the tropical and the subtropical countries worldwide [2]. Bermuda grass is a major turf for sports fields because of its ability to withstand exceptional drought, heavy use and recuperate quickly. Bermuda grass requires high maintenance and nutrients [2]. For this reason, a lot of inorganic fertilisers are applied on sports fields planted to turf grass to keep the field looking green. Organic fertiliser could have been the best fertiliser to be applied on sports fields planted because it could perform the role that inorganic fertiliser is intended to perform on sports fields planted and even do it better. Also, there is a lot of compaction on sport fields due to the heavy trampling that goes with the game and this affects the soil structure. Organic fertiliser could have been used to remediate this problem but football authorities prefer inorganic fertilisers to organic fertilisers despite all the benefits derived from organic fertiliser. The reason being that organic fertiliser such as chicken manure may carry pathogenic bacteria such as *E.coli* that are harmful to human health. These pathogenic bacteria have the potential to cause sickness in humans who come into contact with or ingest contaminated water and food. On football fields, the football players can easily be infected if the soil contains these pathogenic bacteria because they come into direct contact with the soil most often during play. Also, they can easily ingest these pathogenic bacteria through drinking water because most often water is thrown on the ground to players who readily handle the container with dirty hands thus possibly introducing pathogenic bacteria into their system. This study therefore seeks to assess the extent to which biochar could be effective in controlling pathogenic soil bacteria on sport fields with specific focus on football fields.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL SITE


The experiment was conducted at the Microbiology Laboratory of the Water and Sanitation Section of the Faculty of Engineering and the Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, KNUST.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Experimental Design for Laboratory Work

The design used for the laboratory work was 3*3 factorial in Completely Randomised Design (CRD) and it was replicated three times. The factors were the decomposition stages of chicken manure and the different rates of biochar.

Picture 1 :The diagram below shows the various treatments;



Experimental Design for Fieldwork

The design that was used for the fieldwork was 2*4 factorial Randomised Complete Block Design (RCBD). The set up was replicated three times.

Table1: Treatments for Fieldwork

Soil to Sand Ratio	Chicken Manure and Biochar Mix Percentage (%)			
	0	5	10	15
100:0	T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}
70:30	T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}	T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}

* T_{100:0} = 100% of topsoil to 0% of sand

* T_{70:30} = 70% of topsoil to 30% of sand

* T_{Bm} = Biochar plus Chicken manure

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experiment was in two folds namely laboratory work and fieldwork.

Laboratory Work

Fresh Chicken manure was collected from Genesis Farm, a suburb of Kumasi, Ghana. Some of the fresh chicken manure was decomposed for three months and six months respectively. These represent the various stages of decomposition of the chicken manure. Samples of the various decomposition levels of manure were put in zip lock bags and labelled accordingly. They were sent to the Microbiology Laboratory to be tested for pathogenic bacteria that may be present in the various decomposition stages of the chicken manure and also to determine the loads of these pathogenic bacteria in each of the chicken manure at the different decomposition stages. The pathogenic bacteria

and their load, especially *E-coli* in the manure was determined using the Membrane Filtration Technique. Biochar at different rates (1, 2 and 3 parts) were added to the manure to determine what effect it could have on the pathogenic load, especially destruction of harmful bacteria such as *E-coli*. The different biochar rates and the manure at the different stages of decomposition were mixed and bagged in zip lock bags. These samples were kept in the laboratory for seven days and thereafter tested for the pathogenic bacteria using the Membrane Filtration Technique.

Fieldwork

The best result from the laboratory work was mixed with topsoil and sand to grow Bermuda grass. The soil and the sand were mixed at different ratios and the various mixes were amended with the best biochar and chicken manure mix from the laboratory work. Different percentages by weight of the topsoil and the sand mix were used.

Table 2: Various Ratios of Topsoil and Sand Mixes

Topsoil	Sand
100	0
70	30

Different percentages that are 0%, 5%, 10% and 15% of the best result from the laboratory work was then added to the topsoil and sand mixes.

Topsoil was sieved to remove any lumps and debris. The various mixes of the topsoil, sand and the best result for the laboratory work were prepared by weighing them in accordance with their respective ratio and percentages and were placed in containers with drainage holes underneath. Samples of each of the mixes were then taken and sent to the laboratory for soil properties to be measured. All the mixes were replicated three times. Bermuda grass was then planted on each of the mixes using the sprigging method and were watered on daily bases till they were established. Basic maintenance practices such as removal of weeds were also done.

DATA COLLECTION

Laboratory Work

For the laboratory work, data was collected on *E-coli* load in each of the various decomposition stages of chicken manure and the biochar and the chicken manure mixes.

Fieldwork

Samples of the various media mixes were sent to the laboratory for the following parameter to be determined:

- Nitrogen, using the Kjeldahl method (This method consist of transforming all nitrogen in a weighed sample into ammonium sulphate by digesting it with sulphuric acid, alkalizing it and determining the resulting ammonia by distilling it into a measured volume of standard acid) [3].

- Phosphorous, using the Bray's method (This method is used to determine available phosphorus in soil) [4]
- Soil Organic Carbon and Organic Matter Content using Walkley-Black method (Is a method used to determine the amount of oxidizable organic matter in which organic matter is oxidised with a known amount of chromate in the presence of sulphuric acid) [5]
- Cation Exchange Capacity using the Ammonium acetate method (Is used to displace exchangeable cations in a soil. This method gives an estimation of plant available base cations) [6]
- pH using the pH metre.

Also, data was collected on the growth of Bermuda grass by considering the following parameters.

- Number of days for the grass to sprout after planting. This was done by counting the number of days it took the grass to sprout after planting.
- Colour of grass leaves. The colour was determined by observation with the help of a colour chart.
- Percentage coverage of the grass on the surface. This was determined by placing a circular quadrat on each of the setup and the number of grass that was covered by the quadrat was counted.
- The length of the grass. This was achieved by measuring the height of the grass in each setup using a ruler. The longest, the medium and the shortest length of grass in each setup was measured and the average was calculated. The measurement was done in cm.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA

Data collected from the experiments were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), using Statistix Version 10. Treatment means for the laboratory work were compared at $p < 0.01$ probability level while the treatment means for the fieldwork were compared at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

DECOMPOSITION PERIOD AND *E. COLI* COUNT IN POULTRY MANURE

E-coli counts in the different decomposition periods of chicken manure are shown in Table 3. After the experiment, fresh chicken manure recorded the highest *E-coli* count (134 cfu/100mL) while 6 months old composted chicken manure recorded the lowest *E-coli* count (19 cfu/100mL).

Table 3: Decomposition period and E-coli Count in Chicken Manure.

Decomposition Period	<i>E.coli</i> count (cfu/100mL)
Fresh Manure	134

3 Months Old	100
6 Months Old	19

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR RATE AND DECOMPOSITION STAGE ON *E. COLI*

Statistically, there were significant differences between biochar rates applied and decomposition stage of chicken manure interactions (Table 4.). Significantly, highest *E.coli* count (26 cfu/100mL) was recorded in two parts of biochar and fresh chicken manure while the least *E.coli* count (3 cfu/100mL) was recorded in the mix with three parts of biochar and six months old composted chicken manure. Across the biochar rates, significantly highest *E.coli* load (12.7 cfu/100mL) was recorded in two parts of biochar while the least *E.coli* count (7.3 cfu/100mL) was found in the three parts of biochar. Among the Decomposition stages of chicken manure, Significantly highest *E.coli* load (16.3 cfu/100mL) was noticed in fresh chicken manure while least *E.coli* load (5.7 cfu/100mL) was recorded in 6 months old manure.

Table 4: Effect of different rate of biochar and decomposition stage on *E-coli*.

Biochar Rate	Decomposition Stage			Mean
	Fresh manure	3 Months Old Manure	6 Months Old Manure	
1	13 ^b	11 ^{bc}	9 ^{cd}	11 ^b
2	26 ^a	7 ^{de}	5 ^{ef}	12.7 ^a
3	10 ^{bcd}	9 ^{cd}	3 ^f	7.3 ^c
Mean	16.3 ^a	9 ^b	5.7 ^c	

LSD (0.01) Biochar = 1.56 Decomposition Stage=1.56 Biochar rate*Decomposition stage=3.51

PHYSIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE VARIOUS MEDIA SAMPLES

Total percentage Nitrogen recorded significant difference between all the various samples (Table 5). Significantly highest percentage Nitrogen (0.165%) was found in T_{100:0} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix while the least total percentage Nitrogen (0.06%) was recorded in T_{100:0} plus 10% T_{Bm} mix.

Considering available Phosphorus, there were significant differences between all the various samples. Significantly highest available Phosphorus (1106.5mg/kg) was noticed in T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix while the least available Phosphorus (24.4mg/kg) was noticed in T_{70:30} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix.

Exchangeable Potassium showed significant differences between all the various samples. Significantly highest exchangeable Potassium (1.01cmol/kg) was found in T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix while the lowest exchangeable Potassium (0.28cmol/kg) was observed in T_{100:0} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix.

In the case of exchangeable Calcium, there were significant differences between all the various samples. Highest exchangeable Calcium (15.7cmol/kg) was observed in T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix while the least exchangeable Calcium (6.1cmol/kg) was found in T_{70:30} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix.

Considering exchangeable Magnesium, there were no significant difference between all the various samples.

Organic Carbon showed significant difference between all the various samples. Significantly, the highest Organic Carbon percentage (4.24%) was recorded in T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix while the lowest Organic Carbon percentage (1.45%) was noticed in T_{70:30} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix and T_{100:0} + 0% T_{Bm} mix.

Organic matter percentage also recorded significant difference between all the various samples. Significantly highest Organic matter percentage (7.47%) was recorded in T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix while the least Organic Matter percentage (2.66%) was noticed in T_{70:30} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix and T_{100:0} plus 10% T_{Bm} mix.

There were no significant differences between pH values in the various samples.

Table 5: Physiochemical Properties of the Various Media Samples

SAMPLES	N (%)	P (mg/kg)	K (cmol/kg)	Ca (cmol/kg)	Mg (cmol/kg)	OC (%)	OM (%)	pH
T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}	0.165 ^a	28.45 ^g	0.28 ^c	8.5 ^{cde}	1.8 ^a	2.64 ^b	4.72 ^b	7.15 ^a
	0.135 ^{ab}	812.9 ^e	0.71 ^{ab}	11.5 ^{bc}	4.4 ^a	2.64 ^b	4.72 ^b	6.79 ^a
T _{100:0} + 5% T _{Bm}								
T _{100:0} + 10% T _{Bm}	0.06 ^d	872.9 ^b	0.94 ^{ab}	13.3 ^{ab}	3.4 ^a	1.45 ^d	2.66 ^c	7.35 ^a
T _{100:0} + 15% T _{Bm}	0.11 ^{bc}	858.9 ^c	0.88 ^{ab}	12.7 ^{ab}	4.6 ^a	2.64 ^b	4.72 ^b	7.59 ^a
T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}	0.125 ^b	24.4 ^h	0.58 ^{bc}	6.1 ^e	2.8 ^a	1.45 ^d	2.66 ^c	7.09 ^a
T _{70:30} + 5% T _{Bm}	0.12 ^b	687.9 ^f	0.61 ^{bc}	7.7 ^{de}	3.2 ^a	1.85 ^c	3.35 ^b	7.16 ^a
T _{70:30} + 10% T _{Bm}	0.06 ^d	850.5 ^d	0.81 ^{ab}	11.3 ^{bcd}	3.8 ^a	2.64 ^b	4.72 ^b	7.55 ^a
T _{70:30} + 15% T _{Bm}	0.08 ^{cd}	1106.5 ^a	1.01 ^a	15.7 ^a	2.8 ^a	4.24 ^a	7.47 ^a	7.99 ^a

CV (%)	6.82	0.11	9.72	6.52	25.33	2.89	8.08	4.82
LSD	0.04	3.74	0.37	3.74	4.49	0.37	1.87	1.87

* T_{100:0} = 100% of topsoil to 0% of sand

* T_{70:30} = 70% of topsoil to 30% of sand

* T_{Bm} = Biochar plus Chicken manure

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR PLUS MANURE AND SOIL MIX ON BERMUDA GRASS COVERAGE

Statistically, there were significant differences between the biochar plus manure combination and Soil to Sand ratio interactions (Table 6). Significantly, highest percentage of Bermuda coverage (76.6%) was recorded in 15% of biochar plus manure combination and soil interaction and also in 15% of biochar plus manure combination and 70 to 30 ratio of soil and sand respectively whereas the lowest percentage of Bermuda coverage (30.00%) was observed in 0% of biochar plus manure combination and topsoil interaction. Among the percentages of biochar plus manure combination, significantly highest percentage of Bermuda coverage (76.67%) was found in 15% of biochar plus manure combination while the least percentage of Bermuda coverage (30.83%) was recorded in 0% of biochar plus manure combination.

There were no significant differences between the soil to sand ratio.

Table 6: Effect of Biochar plus Manure and Soil mix on Bermuda grass Coverage (%)

Biochar plus manure (%)	Soil: Sand Ratio		Means
	100:00	70:30	
0	30.00 ^c	31.67 ^c	30.83 ^c
5	51.67 ^{bc}	63.33 ^{ab}	57.50 ^b
10	61.67 ^{ab}	63.33 ^{ab}	62.50 ^b
15	76.67 ^a	76.67 ^a	76.67 ^a
Means	55.00 ^a	58.75 ^a	

HSD(0.05) : biochar plus manure= 22.56, Soil:sand = 6.90, Biochar plus manure*soil:sand = 22.56

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR PLUS MANURE AND SOIL MIX ON THE AVERAGE HEIGHT OF BERMUDA

The effect of biochar plus manure and soil mix applications is shown in Table 7. The result revealed significant differences between the biochar plus manure combination and Soil to Sand ratio interactions. The highest height of Bermuda grass (10.63cm) was recorded in 15% of biochar plus manure combination and soil interaction while the lowest height of Bermuda grass (7.63cm) was recorded in 0% of biochar plus manure combination and topsoil interaction.

Across soil to sand ratio, significantly highest height of Bermuda grass (9.53cm) was found in topsoil only while the least height of Bermuda grass (8.58cm) was recorded in 70 to 30 ratio of soil and sand respectively.

There were no significant differences between the percentages of biochar plus manure combination.

Table 7: Effect of Biochar plus Manure and Soil mix on the Average Height of Bermuda (cm).

Biochar plus manure (%)	Soil: Sand Ratio		Means
	100:00	70:30	
0	9.27 ^{ab}	7.63 ^b	8.45 ^a
5	9.10 ^{ab}	9.23 ^{ab}	9.17 ^a
10	9.13 ^{ab}	8.90 ^{ab}	9.02 ^a
15	10.63 ^a	8.53 ^{ab}	9.58 ^a
Means	9.53 ^a	8.58 ^b	

HSD (0.05): biochar plus manure= 1.47, Soil:Sand =0.77, Biochar plus manure*soil:sand = 2.51

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR PLUS MANURE AND SOIL MIX ON SPROUTING OF BERMUDA GRASS (day)

In the case of sprouting of Bermuda grass (Table 8), there were significant differences between the biochar plus manure combination and Soil to Sand ratio interaction. Significantly highest number of days (6.67) for Bermuda grass to sprout was noticed in 0% of biochar plus manure combination and topsoil interaction while the lowest number of days (4.00) for Bermuda grass to sprout was found in 15% of biochar plus manure combination and topsoil interaction.

Among the percentages of biochar plus manure combination, highest number of days (6.33) for Bermuda grass to sprout was recorded in 0% of biochar plus manure combination while the least number of days (4.33) for Bermuda grass to sprout was found in 15% of biochar plus manure combination.

Across soil to sand ratio, highest number of days (5.50) for Bermuda grass to sprout was obtained in 70 to 30 ratio of soil and sand while the least number of days (4.92) for Bermuda grass to sprout was recorded in topsoil only.

Table 8: Effect of Biochar plus Manure and Soil mix on Sprouting of Bermudagrass (day)

Biochar plus manure (%)	Soil: Sand Ratio		
	100:00	70:30	Means
0	6.67 ^a	6.00 ^{ab}	6.33 ^a
5	4.67 ^{bc}	5.67 ^{abc}	5.17 ^b
10	4.33 ^{bc}	5.67 ^{abc}	5.00 ^b
15	4.00 ^c	4.67 ^{bc}	4.33 ^b
Means	4.92 ^b	5.50 ^a	

HSD (0.05) : biochar plus manure=1.01, Soil:Sand =0.53, Biochar plus manure*soil:sand = 1.73

REGRESSION RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPROUTING AND GRASS COVERAGE

Figure 1 illustrates the regression relationship between sprouting and grass coverage, where sprouting significantly affected grass coverage such that 99% variation in the grass coverage was explained by the sprouting.




Figure 1: Regression relationship between sprouting and grass coverage

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR PLUS MANURE AND SOIL MIX ON THE COLOUR OF BERMUDA GRASS

Bermuda grass on T_{100:0} plus 10% T_{Bm} mix, T_{100:0} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix, T_{70:30} plus 5% T_{Bm} mix, T_{70:30} plus 10% T_{Bm} mix and T_{70:30} plus 15% T_{Bm} mix were dark green in colour while the colour of Bermudagrass on T_{100:0} plus 0% T_{Bm} mix and T_{70:30} plus 0% T_{Bm} were light green (Table 9).

Table 9: Effect of Biochar plus Manure and Soil mix on Colour of Bermuda Grass

MEDIA	COLOUR	COLOUR NAME
T _{100:0} + 0% T _{Bm}		Light green
T _{100:0} + 5% T _{Bm}		Grass green
T _{100:0} + 10% T _{Bm}		Dark green
T _{100:0} + 15% T _{Bm}		Dark green
T _{70:30} + 0% T _{Bm}		Light green
T _{70:30} + 5% T _{Bm}		Dark green
T _{70:30} + 10% T _{Bm}		Dark green
T _{70:30} + 15% T _{Bm}		Dark green

* T_{100:0} = 100% of topsoil to 0% of sand * T_{70:30} = 70% of topsoil to 30% of sand * T_{Bm} = Biochar plus Chicken manure

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine which pathogenic bacteria are present in chicken manure and therefore the soil mix, the stage of decomposition of chicken manure with reduced pathogenic bacteria, the effect of different rates of biochar on these pathogenic bacteria in the soil mix. The results of this study highlighted the effectiveness of the decomposition period in reducing the number of *E.coli* count in chicken manure. The result indicated a significant decrease in *E.coli* count in the 3 months old and 6 months old composted chicken manure. Reduction in the number of *E.coli* count in 3 months old and 6 months old composted chicken manure can be attributed to high temperature and temperature fluctuations during the decomposition process of the chicken manure. According to Erickson *et al.*, [7], temperatures reached in a well-managed compost operation are within 35 to 65 °C and such temperatures are well above the thermal death points of mesophilic pathogens, such as *E. coli* O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. [8]. Semenov *et al.*, [9] also indicated that the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in manure under fluctuating temperatures was generally lower than that under constant temperature. Talaro *et al.*, [8] also found out that, reduction in survival of the organism was more pronounced when the amplitude in the temperature oscillations was larger (7 °C) than at smaller amplitudes (4 °C) and also, temperature increase might constitute greater stress and energy expenditure for the organism than decrease in temperature [8]. Besides high temperature, other mechanisms are also known to get involved in the reduction of *E.coli* count during composting, which includes microbial antagonism, production of organic acids, pH change, desiccation and starvation stresses, exposure to ammonia emission, and competition for nutrients [10].

From the results of this study, addition of biochar to the various decomposition stages of chicken manure resulted in significant reduction in the number of *E.coli* count in all the various decomposition stages. This can be attributed to the liming potential of biochar. The initial pH value of the biochar used was 8.5, which is very alkaline. According to Bach *et al.*, [11], *E.coli* count decline rate increases as pH increases. Another explanation for the effectiveness of biochar on the removal of *E.coli* is that *E.coli* may bind more efficiently to biochar possibly due to increase in overall attractive forces between bacteria surfaces and grain surfaces [12]. According to Derjaguin-Landua-Verwey-Overbeek theory (DLVO), an *E.coli* cell may experience a combination of attractive van der waal forces and repulsive electrostatic forces when it comes into close proximity to grain [13]. However, the effect of the different rates of biochar was not consistent as it moved from the smallest quantity to the highest quantity. The results obtained after the laboratory analysis of the various media samples showed that only topsoil without biochar plus manure mix had the highest percentage total Nitrogen (0.165) while the topsoil and sand mixes amended with biochar manure combinations recorded lower percentage total Nitrogen. This means that addition of biochar and manure to the soil and sand mixes resulted in the reduction of total nitrogen in the various media amended with biochar manure combinations. Reduction in total nitrogen concentration can be attributed to volatilization during heating of biochar and that structures such as amino acid, amines and amino sugar which contain nitrogen are condensed into recalcitrant form [14]. Other studies have also reported mobilisation of nitrogen upon addition of biochar to soil [15].

Available Phosphorus increased with increase in the amount of biochar manure mix. According to Ch'ng *et al.*, [16], soil amended with biochar or compost or a mixture of both increased total phosphorus, available phosphorus and inorganic phosphorus. Sasmita *et al.*, [17] also found that application of biochar with or without organic fertiliser linearly increased the soil available P in Indonesia acidic soil medium during a 15-day incubation period. Exchangeable Potassium increased with increase in the amount of biochar plus manure mix. Nigussie *et al.*, [18] found that biochar applied to chromium-polluted soils improved the soil exchangeable bases (K and Ca) in Ethiopia and they concluded that the increase in the exchangeable bases was as a result of the presence of ash in the biochar which helps in the immediate release of mineral nutrients like Ca and K for crop use. DeLuca *et al.*, [19], also reported a greater soil available P contents in biochar-amended soils compared to unamended soils and attributed the improvement to biochar's capacity to retain and exchange phosphate ions due to its positively charged surface sites. Addition of biochar manure mix resulted in the increment of exchangeable Calcium concentration. As the dose of biochar plus manure mix increased from 5% to 10% and 15% there was a significant increase of Exchangeable Calcium in all the media. Biochar applied to chromium-polluted soils improved the soil exchangeable bases (K and Ca) in Ethiopia and was concluded that increase in the exchangeable bases was as a result of the presence of ash in the biochar which helps in the immediate release of mineral nutrients like Ca and K for crop use [18].

Although exchangeable Magnesium was statistically the same in all the various media, they had different values. Results indicated that addition of biochar manure to the various media resulted in the

increment of exchangeable Magnesium. According to Jha *et al.*, [20], addition of biochar to an acidic Alfisol at 2%, 4%, and 6% (w/w) increased mean soil exchangeable Ca and Mg concentration by 50%, 92%, and 138% respectively. The results showed that application of biochar manure increased soil organic carbon concentration in the various media. [21], found that application of compost and biochar, alone or in combination, increased soil organic carbon content than that in the unamended soils, which is indicative that biochar and/or compost applications to soils can enhance Carbon accumulation and sequestration. Organic matter content increased in all the media that was amended with biochar manure mix. This can be attributed to addition of biochar manure mix to the various soil combinations. Soil organic matter content significantly increased with the increasing rates of the chicken manure [22]. The results showed that application of biochar and manure increased soil pH in all the various media (Table 5). However, no significant differences were found among the pH values of all the media. The increases in soil pH due to the application of biochar could be attributed to the high pH of the biochar (8.5) used in the experiment. According to Lehmann *et al.*, [23], biochar has been shown to have a significant liming ability due to its intrinsically high pH and concentration of basic cations retained from the initial feedstock. [18], also attributed increased soil pH in biochar-amended soil to ash accretion and further explained that ash residues are highly dominated by carbonates alkali and alkali earth metals.

The media combination which had biochar and manure caused the Bermuda grass to sprout within a very short time as compared to the media without biochar and manure. Early emergence of Bermuda grass can be attributed to the high concentration of phosphorus in the biochar manure amended media as Phosphorus is an essential nutrient for early vigorous root and shoot development [24]. It is no wonder that sprouting significantly affected grass coverage such that 99% variation in the grass coverage was explained by the sprouting. This suggests that sprouting is needed for good grass coverage according to this study. The height of Bermuda grass increased remarkably in the media amended with biochar plus manure mix compared to the unamended media. Increase of Bermudagrass could be attributed to the high concentration of Phosphorus and high content of soil organic carbon present in the media. According to Bigelow *et al.*, [24], Phosphorus is associated with the growth of leaves. The results indicated that application of biochar plus manure to the various media had a remarkable effect on the rate as which Bermuda grass spread. This can be attributed to high concentration of available Phosphorus, exchangeable Potassium, Calcium, magnesium, high content of soil organic carbon and high pH value in the biochar manure amended media. According to [24], Phosphorus is associated with healthy root formation and it also increases the growth of leaf and stem dramatically. Potassium assists in better water and nutrient uptake while helping synthesize proteins and starches by plants and Potassium also helps the grass build thicker cell walls [25]. Bermuda grass performs well at a pH range of 6.5 to 7.6 and McKenzie *et al.* [26] stated that soil pH influences nutrient absorption and plant growth. Almost all the pH values (within 7.15 to 7.99) for the soil mixes were within the required pH range for Bermuda grass growth.

Genetically, Bermuda grass is dark green in colour. Application of biochar plus manure mix at all levels resulted in improving the colour of Bermuda grass compared to the media without biochar plus

manure. The Biochar plus manure amended media produced Bermuda grass with dark greencolour while the media without biochar manure produced Bermuda grass with light green colour. This is as a result of high content of plant nutrients such as Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium and calcium in the biochar plus manure amended media. Biochar under normal conditions contains Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and basic cations like Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg) and Potassium (K) [27]. Amanullah *et al.*, [28] Stated that, application of chicken manure to soil helps to increase soil retention and uptake of nutrients by plant.

CONCLUSIONS

Pathogenic bacteria in the case of *E.coli* was found to be present in chicken manure. The stage of decomposition of chicken manure with reduced pathogenic bacteria was six months old chicken manure. Addition of Biochar to a well decomposed chicken manure resulted in the reduction of this human pathogenic bacteria (*E.coli*) from chicken manure and therefore soil mix. Addition of biochar and manure to soil improved the growth of Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*).

REFERENCES

1. J. Lehmann and M. Rondon, "Bio-Char Soil Management on Highly Weathered Soils in the Humid Tropics", Biological Approaches to Sustainable Soil Systems, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 517-530, 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781420017113.ch36>
2. J. T. Brosnan and J. Deputy, "Bermuda Grass. Department of Tropical Plant and Soil Science. Turf management", 2008.
3. C. K. Rhee, "Determination of total nitrogen", 2001. <http://doi.org/10.1002/0471142913.fab0102s00>.
4. R. H. Bray and L. T. Kurtz, "Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils" Soil science, 59: 39-45, 1945.
5. G. B. Bernaldo, F. Bertsch, P. G. Nilo, N. Suvannang and D. R. Hayr, "Soil organic carbon, Walkley-Black method: Titration and Colorimetric method". Version, page 22 of 25, 2019. H. D. C
6. H. D. Chapman, "Cation exchange capacity. In: C.A. Black (ed). Method of soil analysis- chemical and microbiological properties". Agronomy 9:891-901, 1965. M. C.
7. M.C. Erickson, J. Liao, L. Ma, X. Jiang and M. P. Doyle, "Inactivation of Salmonella spp. in cow manure composts formulated to different initial C:N ratios" Bioresour. Technol., 100, 5898–5903, 2009.
8. K. P. Talaro and A. Talaro, "Physical and Chemical Control of Microbes. In Foundations in Microbiology", 4th ed.; The McGraw-Hill Companies: New York, NY, USA; pp. 325–326, 2002. A. V. S
9. A.V. Semenov, A. H. C. van Bruggen, L. van Overbeek, A.J. Termorshuizen and A.M. Semenov, "Influence of temperature fluctuations on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium in cow manure" FEMS Microbiol Ecol.; 60:419–428, 2007. [PubMed]
10. K. G. Wilkinson, E. Tee, R. B. Tomkins, G. Hepworth and R. Premier, "Effect of heating and aging of poultry litter on the persistence of enteric bacteria", Poult. Sci., 90, 10-18, 2011.

11. S. J. Bach, K. Stanford and T. A. McAllister, "Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in feces from corn- and barley-fed steers". FEMS Microbiol Lett; 252:25–33,2005. (PubMed)
12. S. K. Mohanty, K. B. Cantrell, K. L. Nelson and B. A. Boehm, "Efficacy of Biochar to Remove *Escherichia coli* from Stormwater under Steady and Intermittent Flow", 2014. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com.
13. H. Malte, "The DLVO theory in microbial adhesion. Colloids Surf.", (1-4), 105-119, 1999.
14. X. Cao and W. Harris, "Properties of Dairy-Manure-Derived Biochar Pertinent to its potential use in remediation Technology,2010. 101.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.052>.
15. J. L. Deenik, U. Goro, S. Yudai, A. Sidibe A. McClellan and M. Antal "Charcoal Volatile Matter Content and its Effects on Plant Growth and Biological Properties of an Infertile Tropical Soil". Joint Annual Meeting, 2008.
16. H. Y Ch'ng., H. O. Ahmed and N. M. Ab Majad, "Biochar and Compost Influence the Phosphorus Availability, Nutrients Uptake and Growth of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Tropical Acid Soil", Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 51 (4), 2014.
17. K. D. Sasmita, A. Iswandi, A. Syaiful, Y. Sudirman and D. Gunawan, "Application of biochar and organic fertiliser on acid soil as growing medium for Cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) seedlings", International Journal of Sciences Basic and Applied Research, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 261–273, 2017.
18. A. Nigussie, E. Kissi, M. Misaganaw and G. Ambaw, "Effects of biochar application on soil properties and nutrient uptake of lettuces (*Lactuca sativa*) grown in polluted soils," American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science, vol. 12, no. 3, pp.369–376, 2012. 26, S218–S221.
19. T. H. DeLuca, M. D. MacKenzie, M.J. Gundale, "Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations,"in Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology" Earthscan Publications, London, UK, pp. 251–270 (2009).
20. P. Jha, S. Neenu, I. Rashmi, B. P. Meena, R. C. Jatav, B. L. Lakaria, A. K. Biswas, M. Singh and A. K. Patra "Ameliorating Effects of Leucaena Biochar on Soil Acidity and Exchangeable Ions", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 47:10, 1252-1262, 2016. DOI: 10.1080/00103624.2016.1166380
21. D. Trupiano, C. Cocozza and S. Baronti, "The effects of biochar and its combination with compost on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) growth, soil properties, and soil microbial activity and abundance," Hindawi International Journal of Agronomy, 12 pages. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 62, 137- 146, 2017. Article ID 3158207.
22. S. H. Ru, G. Y. Zhang, N. Junfang, S. Y. Sun, L. Wang and N. Geng, "Effect of chicken manure application on crop yields and soil nutrients accumulation in wheat-corn rotation system", Biomedical engineering and biotechnology, 2012.
23. J. Lehmann, M. C. Rillig, J. Thies, C. A. Masiello, W. C. Hockaday and D. Crowley, "Biochar effects on soil biota—a review", Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 43(9), pp. 1812-1836, 2011.
24. C. A. Bigelow, T. W. Tudor and R. J. Nemitz, "Facts About Phosphorus and Lawns: Purdue Agronomy" Turf Science, 2, 2016.

25. B. Caliskan and C. A. Caliskan, "Potassium nutrient in plants and its interactions with other nutrients in hydroponic culture", 2017.
26. H. R. McKenzie, "Soil pH and Plant Nutrients", Agri-facts: Practical Information for Alberta's Agriculture Industry, 2003.
27. J. Major, J. Lehmann, M. Rondon and C. Goodale, "Fate of soil-applied black carbon: downward migration, leaching and soil respiration", *Global Change Biology*, 16(4), pp. 1366-1379, 2010a.
28. M. M. Amanullah, S. Sekar and P. Muthukrishnan, "Prospects and Potential of Poultry" Manure: *Journal of Plant Science* 9 (4) 172-182, 2010.

UNDER PEER REVIEW