

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112404
Title of the Manuscript:	ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF RESTORED ROCK PHOSPHATE MINE SPOILS IN THE MALDEVTA AREA OF GARHWAL HIMALAYAS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The work is interesting but major changes need to be done</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The objectives of the study is/are not stated. The authors can also state what is the novelty about this study <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A map that shows the study area will be appropriate It looks like the authors are reporting the results of the studies. The authors should write about the methods used to measure the different parameters. <p>Results and discussion</p> <p>What has been written is just the raw results of the study and no discussion done. The authors should compare the results with other studies and state if it is different or similar providing reason(s) why such results have been obtained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isaac Enyemadze
Department, University & Country	Ghana