

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_114604
Title of the Manuscript:	"Studies on Impact and opinion of organic food products of in Human Life During Covid-19"
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	<p>The study aims to find the impacts and opinion of people about organic products after pandemic, but the suggestion and results are not clearly stating that.</p> <p>Author can make it little more catchy for reader and can make it to the point.</p> <p>The reference use is not visible at all.</p> <p>Grammatical mistakes and spelling mistakes should be corrected.</p> <p>If needed, some more relevant references can be used.</p>	
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The manuscript is important for scientific community. 2) The title is absolutely correct. 3) The Abstract is not going with the research and also not to the point, researcher can make it short and to the point. 4) The introduction part seems scattered thoughts as it doesn't follow a flow and lastly runs too fast. In study method their is too much repeatability it could be short. 5) Manuscript is scientifically correct. 6) The reference are good and recent, but their findings are not mentioned in a proper way. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language of the manuscript is too basic and their are many grammatical mistakes, for instance the author have written 'test' instead of 'taste'. These should be rectified.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>_____</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinkar Joshi
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, India