

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_114451
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular identification of invA gene from Salmonella Species Isolated from human sources in Southern-Taraba State, North-East Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I feel it is relevant to the scientific community, as it addresses a topic of broad interest. Due to significant socioeconomic impact of salmonella species in food vendors, especially considering the setting, the study topic is relevant.</p> <p>The title chosen for the article serves as an effective means of conveying the research's essence and purpose, enabling a clear understanding without any ambiguity. It plays a crucial role in enhancing the articles clarity and relevance.</p> <p>The abstract concisely portrays the articles content by providing a succinct summary of the topic, context, and intention behind it.</p> <p>The methodology was clearly mentioned leaving a better understanding of the subject matter by the audience. The methodology should provide a comprehensive description of the procedures and tools, ensuring the research's validity and reliability. Ethical considerations are explicitly mentioned, signifying the proper adherence to protocols. In summary, the subsections employed appear solid and appropriate except a proper portray of research design.</p> <p>At the article's conclusion, a comprehensive reference list is featured, which supports credibility and encourages readers to delve into further research for validation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article is characterized by clear, concise, and effective writing. They deliver information in a straightforward manner, with simply-structured sentences and limited use of technical terminology. Although some simplification could make them more accessible, they generally offer a favourable reading experience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Ready to Publish without any significant alterations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajith KK
Department, University & Country	Baba Ghulam Shah Badshah University, India