

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_114069
Title of the Manuscript:	Taxonomic Significance of Morphological Characters in some Species of Cucurbitaceae Common in Abakaliki Ebonyi State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes , it is important to known the each classes species on regional bases in details for further any kind of research , usage and also for academic knowledge . Yes, suitable. Abstract : Abstract is comprehensive, but there are some spelling mistake upper case in each word (Local Government Area), grammar incorrect(comparism) and spacing correction should be done (wasANOVA., charantiahad, wasauxiliary) Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct while mentioning numbers either they can choose word number or numerical not both ex. five(5) , three (3) so on throughout the manuscript. Reference : Included are not recent all are below 2005 , no one single ref is recent . and references they referred are very less. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Complete manuscripts need some small grammar revision as mentioned above spacing , grammar mistakes , sentence correction so no . Discussion part is too much fragmented , you can merge the number of small paragraphs other than that suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title is "Taxonomic Significance of Morphological Characters in some Species of Cucurbitaceae Common in Abakaliki Ebonyi State"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Which mean Morphological studies (leave type, colour of the flower, fruit shape, tendrils, leaf arrangement, colour of corolla, stem, leave texture, rind on the fruit, leave surface, no of seeds, fruit shape, flower symmetry, receptacle and growth rate). ➤ They could have added the figure/ images/pictures of all the above in comparison, then it will be become a best strong manuscript in scientific community. If possible they can include otherwise no issue, 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhagyalakshmi M
Department, University & Country	University College of Science, Tumkur University, India