

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114607
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of social media on society
Type of the Article	Literature review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article gives a summarizing picture of the impact of social media in society.</p> <p>The title clearly describes the content of the overview.</p> <p>Yes, except that the method description is missing.</p> <p>The conventional organization IMRAD format should be used (Introduction, Methods, Result, Discussion).</p> <p>As the method section is missing, the scientific value is reduced.</p> <p>In literature studies, original articles should be used in the results section in order to give strength to the review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Good academic language is used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the method section (missing in the paper) you should describe/disclose which databases were used to reach for the results articles and how were the key words combined (PRISMA). Totally how many articles were found for the result section and how was the quality of the articles assessed. How were the results articles chosen? https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools Add a table of the article searches. How was the analysis of the result articles commenced? In the results section examples of each phenomenon should be given (not only how the issue can be researched). Keywords should be presented in alphabetic order.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taina Sormunen
Department, University & Country	Sophiahemmet University, Sweden