

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_109081
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP OF CHARACTERISTICS OF MOTHERS AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF TOLLS IN PUSKESMAS SUKA MULIA NAGAN RAYA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Assuming that most lines of research are interesting, this work contributes to local science. It presents a complete, objective, integrative and relevant study for the local population. The title is appropriate. Objective and with efficient descriptors.</p> <p>Describe in a simple way all the intention and expression of the research.</p> <p>The work is intentionally distributed, to facilitate the interpretation and the search for the results are in evidence.</p> <p>The work is in accordance with the chosen purpose, fulfilling its social and scientific function. The work was very well done. The central idea is great and very functional. It has a lot of future. Yes, references are enough.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pedro Henrique Silva de Rossi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>