

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_109081
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP OF CHARACTERISTICS OF MOTHERS AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF TOLLS IN PUSKESMAS SUKA MULIA NAGAN RAYA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community as it places emphasis on the importance of nutrition amongst mothers and children, who are considered to be vulnerable to community in terms of diet.</p> <p>2. The title provided is not comprehensive; I suggest something like "Relationship between mothers' characteristics and nutritional status of children in Nagan Raya District," then specify the country where the district is found.</p> <p>3. The abstract has issues with grammar and is too long; it should be considered a short one with 300 words less.</p> <p>4. The sections are not appropriate; I suggest a format of introduction, literature review (which can also be combined with introduction, (A,B, background, etc. should be avoided), research methodology, results and discussions, conclusion, and recommendations. A precise paragraph should be used instead of numbering.</p> <p>5. The manuscript needs to be written so that it meets scientific standards in terms of contents; the sample size is too small, and the grammar needs to be checked. The research methodology is too brief and doesn't incorporate methods used in research such as Chi-Square. The results only talk about descriptive statistics, and there is no statistical technique (model) incorporated there. (See general comments.)</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and well structured as per the required format. They are recent, as there are no old ones there.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English needs to be checked to communicate well; some sentences are not well structured and look incomplete, just to find a continuation to be part of it. For example, "Data analysis using the Chi-square test showed that from 45 samples. The majority of children's nutritional status is good (77.8%)."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research methodology should be expanded to incorporate advanced statistical techniques, such as ad regression analysis, which is pivotal in establishing relationships between variables. Additionally, these techniques are integral in the field of data analytics, enhancing the depth and accuracy of the analysis.</p> <p>The sample size should be increased to a greater number so that the presentation of the entire population is good.</p> <p>The results give values of analysis such as frequencies, which are not in any way shown in the manuscript; tables and figures showing results should be available and well cited in the manuscript. For example, "The results of the research showed that there were 32 mothers with parity and 4 children with a good nutritional status of 29 children under five (64.4%)." Which results? There should be a figure or table with the results.</p> <p>There is a lot of literature in the results part; the literature should link the discussed results but not start with them.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	In the conclusion and recommendations, give a brief conclusion without the need for results. It should be about what the results tell us, not the results themselves. Precisely structure a paragraph instead of numbering. Recommendations should talk about what needs to be done in terms of policy, community management, and the need for intervention to curb the matter based on the results of the model (regression analysis) and not descriptive statistics, as descriptive statistics can be used in decision making.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Japheth Muema Kasomo
Department, University & Country	African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kenya