

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_110375
Title of the Manuscript:	“STUDY ON MAJOR CAUSES OF ORGAN CONDEMNATION AND ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE IN CAMELS SLAUGHTERED AT JIGJIGA MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR, ETHIOPIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Useful especially in areas where camel population is high</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Too many subtitles in the materials and methods</p> <p>Classification of antemortem conditions are vague and inappropriate eg localised lesions</p> <p>Too many references and are not properly cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs revision	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Introduction is lengthy. Too many sub-heading with numbering is not necessary in material methods. Results are repetitive. Two parameters can be combined in one table. Discussion is often repetitive with either higher or lower or similar findings. The references in discussion are not properly cited.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Kumar
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Veterinary Education & Research, India