

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114317
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF AZOXYSTROBIN 7.5% + PROPICONAZOLE 12.5% SE AGAINST FOLIAR DISEASES IN GROUNDNUT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes, slightly modified as Evaluation of AZOXYSTROBIN 7.5% + PROPICONAZOLE 12.5%SE Application AGAINST FOLIAR DISEASES IN GROUNDNUT Production</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. No 1. because in the Result and Discussion there is no description or explanation about the Characteristics and application of these Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE chemicals as well as missed out whether these chemicals are environment friendly, threat to human health on application that may contact, water pollution, all these ideas may included</p> <p>2. Conclusion and recommendation part should be write as chapter or heading This part is missed out. Simply written within the result and discussion as sentence. This may not complete the manuscript as a research</p> <p>5. Yes. But to some extent I am afraid because conclusion and recommendation part is missed out</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Welay Tesfay Teweledmedhin
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia