

EVALUATION OF AZOXYSTROBIN 7.5% + PROPICONAZOLE 12.5% SE AGAINST FOLIAR DISEASES IN GROUNDNUT

Abstract:

Groundnut is one of the important oilseeds of the world suffer from fungal diseases like early and late leaf spots and rust. These diseases are most economically important foliar diseases of groundnut causing severe damage to the crop are the major constraints in the cultivation. To know the efficacy of combiproduct, Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE, field experiments were laid out in Randomized Block Design with seven treatments and three replications during Rabi 2014-15 and Rabi 2015-16. During Rabi 2014-15, the treatment Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha recorded 8.56 PDI of rust disease and 10.85 PDI of tikka disease with the yield of 25.25 q/ha followed by Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha recorded 8.85 PDI of rust disease and 11.34 PDI of tikka disease with the pod yield of 24.85 q/ha compared to 30.33 PDI of rust disease and 36.78 PDI of tikka disease recorded in untreated control with the pod yield of 15.14 q/ha. Similar trend was also observed during the second season of Rabi 2015-16, where Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha recorded 10.07 PDI of rust and 9.00 PDI of tikka with the yield of 25.41q/ha which was on par with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha (10.24 PDI of rust disease and 9.67 PDI of tikka disease with yield of 25.18q/ha) and maximum PDI of rust 33.67 and tikka 40.25 PDI with yield of 16.35 q/ha were recorded in untreated control treatment. The foliar application of Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha and Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha were found on par in controlling of Rust and Tikka disease incidence and resulting higher pod yield of groundnut during both the seasons.

Keywords: Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE, Fungicides, Groundnut, Rust and Tikka

Introduction :

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the important principal oilseeds and food crops of the world suffer from many diseases among them, fungal diseases like early (*Cercospora arachidicola*) and late leaf spots (*Phaeoisariopsis personata*) and rust (*Puccinia arachidis*) are most widely distributed and economically important foliar diseases of groundnut causing severe damage to the crop are the major constraints in the cultivation of groundnut. India is the second largest producer of groundnuts after China. Groundnut is the largest oilseed in India in terms of production. In India during *kharif* 2020, groundnut was

Comment [AS1]: which suffer

Comment [AS2]: These diseases are most economically important foliar diseases of groundnut, causing severe damage to the crop and being the major constraints in its cultivation.

Comment [AS3]: which was on par with

Comment [AS4]: management of

Comment [AS5]: which suffer from many diseases. Among them,

sown around 38.88 lakh hectares (96.07 lakh acre) which was 2.82 per cent lower than the corresponding period of last year 40.01 lakh hectares (98.86 lakh acre) (Anon., 2021). Early leaf spot and late leaf spot are commonly present wherever groundnut is grown (Bharat *et al.*, 2013). Groundnut normally suffers with leaf spot known as “Tikka” disease that appears during warm and humid Kharif season. (Jha *et al.*, 2013). Besides causing quantitative losses, these diseases are responsible for reduction in protein content and oil recovery (Gupta *et al.*, 1987). If not controlled, early leaf spot and late leaf spot diseases can cause extensive defoliation. Early leaf spot and late leaf spot are major destructive disease of groundnut production due to defoliation of leaves (Culbearth, 2000) and caused 50 per cent or more reduction in pod yield (Thakur *et al.*, 2013). The leaf spot diseases can cause 30 to 70 per cent loss in pod yield and reduction in the kernel quality (Reddy *et al.*, 1997). Losses yield due to the diseases was recorded about 15 to 59 per cent in groundnut (Kumar and Thirumalaisamy, 2016). Various studies report 50% or more yield loss due to these foliar diseases (Patel and Vaishnav, 1987). Groundnut rust occurs in epidemic form in parts of northern Karnataka. The losses up to 29-42 per cent due to rust have been reported (Siddaramaiah, 1983). Therefore an effective management of crop is required from early stage of diseases development which can be assured by proper fungicides. Perusal of literature indicated that the application fungicides is quite effective in the management of foliar diseases (Gorbet *et al.*, 1982) and several fungicides have been identified and evaluated to control these diseases at different places (Munda *et al.*, 1997). Few systemic and non systemic fungicides have been recommended to manage these diseases in the region (Adiver *et al.*, 1995; Jadeja *et al.*, 1999. Gururaj Sunkad *et al.*, 2005). According to Mohammed (2004) control of *Cercospora* leaf spot through systemic fungicides can lead to the increased yield and high haulm quality. Looking to the knowledge on the severity of the disease, yield loss incurred due to leaf spots and rust in groundnut, field experiments were conducted to evaluate the fungicide Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE along with other fungicides for management of Rust and Tikka leaf spot diseases in Ground nut.

Comment [AS6]: cultivated

Comment [AS7]: 2000 or 2002?

Comment [AS8]: Sunkad or change as per written reference

Comment [AS9]: management of

Material and Methods

Field experiment were conducted at farmer field in Eachanal village in the jurisdiction of Agricultural Extension Education Centre, Lingsugur, during Rabi 2014-15 and Rabi 2015-16. The groundnut variety TMV-2 was sown in plot size of 5 m x 4 m with 30 cm X 10 cm spacing on 19.11.2014 and 05.11.2015, respectively. Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with seven treatments and three replications. All other agronomical practices were followed as per university package of practices for the Rabi cultivation. Combiproduct,

Comment [AS10]: Field experiments were conducted at a farmer field in Eachanal village, under the jurisdiction of the Agricultural Extension Education Centre, Lingsugur

Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE was tried at three concentrations as indicated below. Treatments were as follows

T1 - Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 750 ml / ha; T2 - Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml / ha; T3 - Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml / ha; T4 - Azoxystrobin 23 % SC @ 500 ml / ha; T5 - Propiconazole 25% EC @ 500 ml / ha; T6 - Tebuconazole 25.9% EC @ 750 ml / ha; T7 - Untreated control.

The fungicides were applied as foliar spray treatment in the replicated plots just after the appearance of rust and tikka disease in the main field during *Rabi* cultivation seasons of 2014-15 and 2015-16. The plots were inspected regularly to see the disease development and further two more spray were applied at an interval of 10 days. Control plot was sprayed with water only. Total quantity of spray liquid used was @ 500 l/ha. Observations on rust and leaf spot disease incidence were recorded before as well as 10 days after each spray from the randomly selected ten plants per plot. Ten plants were selected randomly from each plot and plants were graded on 1-9 scale on the basis of scoring of the diseases as per the disease rating scale (Subbarao *et al.*, 1990). Observation of intensity was observed in each replicated plot for each treatment and Per cent of disease index (PDI) was calculated by using the following formula (Wheeler, 1969). The PDI values were transformed by angular transformation and analyzed statistically.

$$\text{Percent Disease Index (PDI)} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical rating}}{\text{Total no. of plants observed} \times \text{Maximum rating scale}} \times 100$$

In order to record the yield, crop was harvested from the individual replicated plots on 20.04.2015 and 23.03.2016 during *Rabi*, 2014-15 and *Rabi*, 2015-16, respectively. Average pod yield per plot was recorded after allowing the pods to dry in sun for ten days after the harvest and converted into qt/hectare. All the data were statistically analyzed (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and discussion:

Rust disease:

Among the treatments, Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha and Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha were found to be the best treatments as there were 8.56 PDI and 8.85 PDI of rust disease in groundnut were recorded respectively as compared to 30.33 PDI of rust disease in untreated control during first season (Table 1). The effect of foliar treatment on rust disease control with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha and Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE

Comment [AS11]: sprays

Comment [AS12]: Remove the below words due to repetition. (from the randomly selected ten plants per plot)

Comment [AS13]: 1. Author has to include photographs of the field experiments of both seasons and symptoms observed in best treatment and control plot
2. Write conclusion separately after results and discussion

Comment [AS14]: management

@ 875 ml/ha were statistically on par with each other. Similar trend was also observed during the second season where Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha recorded 10.07 PDI which was on par with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha (10.24 PDI) and found superior than rest of the treatments (Table 2). Maximum PDI i.e. 33.67 was recorded under untreated control condition during second season trial.

Tikka disease:

In the first season, tikka disease PDI in the treatment of Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha was found 10.85 which was on par with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha (11.34 PDI) and these treatments were significantly superior to all other treatments (Table 1). Similar trend was also observed in the second season where Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha recorded the lowest incidence of tikka disease (9.00 PDI) and was on par with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha (9.67 PDI) (Table 2). Maximum tikka disease incidence i.e. 36.78 PDI and 40.25 PDI were recorded on untreated control treatment during first and second season, respectively.

Groundnut pod yield:

The result presented in the table 1 and 2 showed that highest pod yield was obtained from the treatment with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha i.e. 25.25 q/ha and 25.41 q/ha during first and second season, respectively which was also at par with Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha recorded 24.85 q/ha and 25.18 q/ha of pod yield during first and second season, respectively. All the treatments were significantly superior with respect to control (Table 1 and 2). Minimum pod yield i.e. 15.14 q/ha and 16.35 q/ha were recorded on untreated control treatment during first and second season, respectively. Findings with respect to disease management of late leaf spot and rust under field condition by use of fungicides were well endorsed by earlier workers (Shekawat *et al.*, 1985; Mittal, 1996; Dubey, 1997; Dubey and Mishra, 1992; Dubey, 1997). Salako (1990) also reported that fungicide mixture were more effective in controlling leaf spots and rust diseases of groundnut.

The foliar application of Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875-1000 ml/ha were effective in control of Rust and Tikka disease incidence during both the seasons tested and resulting higher pod yield of groundnut. Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha and Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 1000 ml/ha were found on par at all the observation days during both the seasons. Hence, it can be concluded that Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE @ 875 ml/ha is effective in

Comment [AS15]: ml/ha

Comment [AS16]: ml/ha

Comment [AS17]: Repeated reference - Remove

Comment [AS18]: managing

Comment [AS19]: groundnut

Comment [AS20]: managing the

Comment [AS21]: and also achieved

managing the Rust and Tikka leaf spot diseases of groundnut without any harmful effect on crop. The results of some findings of the present study are in agreement with Adiver *et al.* (1995), Jadeja *et al.* (1999) and Gururaj Sunkad *et al.* (2005) who reported that triazoles such as hexaconazole, difenconazole and propiconazole provide excellent control of foliar fungal diseases such as late leaf spot and rust. Fungicides belonging to triazoles group inhibit biosynthesis of ergosterol which plays an important role in structure of cell membrane of fungi (Dahmen *et al.*, 1989; Waterfield and Sisler, 1989). These fungicides have systemic character and can penetrate the inside of seed and can be used as seed treatment and applied to green plants safely (Sudini *et al.*, 1999).

References:

- Adiver, S. S., Anahosur, K. H. and Giriraj, K., 1995, Chemical control of foliar diseases of groundnut. *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.*, 8(1): 65-68.
- Anonymous, 2021, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.
- Bharat Chandra Nath, Singh, J. P., Seweta Srivastava and Singh, R. B., 2013, Management of late leaf spot of groundnut by different fungicides and their impact on yield. *Plant Path J.*, 12(2): 85-91.
- Culbreath, A. K., Stevenson, K. L., Brenneman, T. B., 2002, Management of late leaf spot of Peanut with benomyl and chlorothalonil: A study of progressive fungicide utility. *Plant Dis.*, 86(4): 349-355.
- Dahmen, H., Hoch, H. C. and Staub, T., 1989, Differential effects of sterol inhibitors on growth, cell membrane permeability and ultrastructure of two target fungi. *Phytopath.*, 78: 1033-1042.
- Dubey, S. C., 1997, Effect of different doses and sprays of chlorothalonil on leaf spots of groundnut. *Indian Journal of Mycol. and Plant Pathol.*, 27(3): 339-340.
- Dubey, S. C. and Mishra, B., 1992, Relative efficacy of chlorothalonil for the control of tikka disease at groundnut. *Indian Phytopath.*, 45(2): 264-265.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A., 1984, Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd Edition, A Wiley Interscience Publication, J. Wiley and Sons, New York. p. 680.
- Gorbet, D. W., Shokes, F. M. and Jackson, L. F., 1982, Control of peanut leaf spot with combination of resistance and fungicide treatment. *Peanut Sci.*, 9: 87-90.
- Gupta, S. K., Gupta, P. O., Parasar, R. D. and Sindhan, G. S., 1987, Fungicidal control of leaf spots and influence on quality of groundnut. *Indian Phytopath.*, 40(3): 360- 364.

Comment [AS22]: mention if phytotoxicity data is recorded, if not do not mention the marked sentence

Comment [AS23]: Sunkad or change as per written reference

Comment [AS24]: 1. To publish an article in 2024, author should include majority of the references at least after 2010. But only 5 references out of 27 quoted articles/references are later than 2010. Author is advised to quote latest references wherever possible. (Editor of the journal can decide about this)

2. All references change as per reference style of the journal

- Jadeja, K. B., Nandolia, D. M., Dhruj, I. V. and Khandar, R. R., 1999, Efficacy of four triazole fungicides in the control of leaf spots and rust of groundnut. *Indian Phytopath.*, 52(4): 421-422.
- Jha, A., Tiwari, S. and Kumar, A., 2013, Effect of biopesticides and fungicides on tikka disease of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Inter. J. Pl. Protec.*, 6(2): 425-427.
- Kumar, V. and Thirumalaisamy, P. P., 2016, Diseases of groundnut. National Research Centre for Litchi, Muzaffarpur, Bihar (Formerly at DGR, Junagadh).
- Mittal, R. K., 1996, Management of early and late leaf spot diseases of groundnut in Kumaon hills. *Indian J. Mycol. and Plant Pathol.*, 26(2): 256-258.
- Mohammed, Z. H., 2004, Evaluation of groundnut varieties for resistance to *Cercospora* leaf spot in the Sudan savanna of Nigeria. *M. Sc. Thesis*, (Unpublished). Dept. of Crop Protection, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria, p.77.
- Munda, G. C., Hazarikam, U. K., Singh, R., Sharma, B. K. and Singh, J., 1997, Groundnut cultivation in north-eastern hills. Technical Bulletin. ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya, pp.32
- Patel, V.A. and Vaishnav, M.V., 1987, Assessment of losses in groundnut due to rust and tikka leaf spots in Gujarat. *Research Journal*, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardar Krushinagar, 12: 52-53.
- Reddy, C. D. R., Srinivas, T. and Reddy, P. N., 1997, Evaluation of advanced groundnut lines for resistance to early and late leaf spots. *International Arachis Newsletter*, 17: 13-15.
- Salako, E. A., 1990, Performance of two morpholine base fungicides when applied to groundnut by ultra low volume at five different phosphate fertilizers levels. *Tropical Agriculture*, 67: 154-158.
- Shekawat, P. S., Patel, V. N. and Patel, J. G., 1985, Economic fungicidal spray schedule for control of tikka and rust diseases of rainfed groundnut. *Indian J. Mycol. and Plant Pathol.*, 17(1): 11-16.
- Siddaramaiah, A. L., 1983, Groundnut rust research in Karnataka. *Plant Pathology Newsletter*, 1: 12-13.
- Subbarao, P. V., Subramaniyam, P. and Reddy, P. M., 1990, A modified nine point scale for assessment of rust and late leaf spot of groundnut. 2nd *International Congress of the French Phytopathological Society*. 28-30 November 1990, Montpellier, France. P.25.
- Sudini, R., Bockus, W. W. and Eversmeyer, M. G., 1999, Triazole seed treatment suppress spore production by *Puccinia recondita*, *Septoria tritici* and *Stagonospora nodorum* from wheat leaves. *Plant Dis.*, 83: 328-332.

Comment [AS25]: For thesis, is it necessary to mention unpublished? Change as per journal guidelines if required.

- Sunkad, G., Mesta, R.K. and Mahadevareddy, 2005, Field efficacy of some fungicides for effective and Economical control major foliar diseases of groundnut. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 18(4): 995-997.
- Thakur, S. B., Ghimire, S. K., Chaudhary, N. K., Shrestha, S. M. and Mishra, B., 2013, Variability in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to *Cercospora* leaf spot disease tolerance. *Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharm. Res.*, 2: 254-262.
- Waterfield, W. F. and Sisler, H. D., 1989, Effect of propiconazole on growth and sterol biosynthesis by *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Neth. J. Plant Path.*, 95(11): 187-195.
- Wheeler, B. E., 1969, An introduction to plant diseases. John Willey and Sons Ltd., London, p.89.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Efficacy of Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE on Rust and Tikka disease incidence and Yield of Groundnut during Rabi 2014-15 (1st Season)

Treatments	Doses (per ha)		PDI of Rust disease				PDI of Tikka disease				Pod Yield (Q/ha)
			Initial score	10 days after			Initial score	10 days after			
	Formulations (g or ml)	g a.i.		I spray	II spray	III spray (Terminal score)		I spray	II spray	III spray (Terminal score)	
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	750	56.25 + 93.75	8.76 (17.22)*	11.05 (19.42)	14.23 (22.16)	16.89 (24.27)	9.80 (18.24)	12.45 (20.66)	14.67 (22.52)	16.80 (24.20)	19.43
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	875	65.62 + 109.38	8.67 (17.12)	8.89 (17.35)	8.67 (17.12)	8.85 (17.31)	9.84 (18.28)	10.56 (18.96)	11.76 (20.06)	11.34 (19.68)	24.85
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	1000	75+125	9.33 (17.79)	8.67 (17.12)	8.45 (16.90)	8.56 (17.01)	9.90 (18.34)	10.02 (18.45)	11.34 (19.68)	10.85 (19.23)	25.25
Azoxystrobin 23% SC	500	125	9.00 (17.46)	10.33 (18.75)	13.33 (21.41)	16.78 (24.18)	10.54 (18.94)	12.67 (20.85)	14.66 (22.51)	16.78 (24.18)	22.89
Propiconazole 25% EC	500	125	8.87 (17.46)	11.33 (19.67)	13.67 (21.70)	15.87 (23.48)	10.33 (18.75)	12.87 (21.02)	15.45 (23.15)	17.45 (24.69)	20.34
Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	750	187.5	9.45 (17.33)	11.67 (19.98)	13.00 (21.13)	15.66 (23.31)	10.65 (19.05)	12.33 (20.56)	15.30 (23.03)	17.50 (24.73)	21.40
Untreated control	-	-	8.98 (17.90)	18.33 (25.35)	26.78 (31.16)	30.33 (33.42)	10.56 (18.96)	16.65 (24.08)	23.65 (29.10)	36.78 (37.33)	15.14
CD (0.05)	--	--	NS	1.78	1.07	1.50	NS	1.06	0.97	1.03	1.13

*Data in the parenthesis is angular transformed value

Table 2: Efficacy of Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE on Rust and Tikka disease incidence and Yield of Groundnut during Rabi 2015-16 (2nd Season)

Treatments	Doses (per ha)		PDI of Rust disease				PDI of Tikka disease				Pod Yield (Q/ha)
			Initial score	10 days after			Initial score	10 days after			
	Formulations (g or ml)	g a.i.		I spray	II spray	III spray (Terminal score)		I spray	II spray	III spray (Terminal score)	
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	750	56.25 + 93.75	9.67 (18.12)*	12.33 (20.56)	14.52 (22.40)	16.77 (24.17)	8.70 (17.15)	10.95 (19.32)	14.12 (22.07)	16.83 (24.22)	20.31
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	875	65.62 + 109.38	8.83 (17.29)	10.45 (18.86)	10.66 (19.06)	10.24 (18.66)	8.56 (17.01)	8.83 (17.29)	9.33 (17.79)	9.67 (18.12)	25.18
Azoxystrobin 7.5% + Propiconazole 12.5% SE	1000	75+125	9.87 (18.31)	9.96 (18.40)	10.33 (18.75)	10.07 (18.50)	9.12 (17.58)	8.64 (17.09)	8.97 (17.43)	9.00 (17.46)	25.41
Azoxystrobin 23% SC	500	125	10.34 (18.76)	12.58 (20.77)	14.50 (22.38)	16.56 (24.01)	8.89 (17.58)	10.14 (18.57)	13.12 (21.24)	16.67 (24.10)	21.81
Propiconazole 25% EC	500	125	10.25 (18.67)	12.84 (21.00)	15.33 (23.05)	17.33 (24.60)	8.83 (17.35)	11.23 (19.58)	13.55 (21.60)	15.74 (23.37)	20.45
Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	750	187.5	10.56 (18.96)	12.03 (20.29)	15.08 (22.85)	17.15 (24.46)	9.33 (17.29)	11.33 (19.67)	12.95 (21.09)	15.43 (23.13)	21.65
Untreated control	-	-	10.45 (18.86)	16.62 (24.06)	23.45 (28.96)	33.67 (35.47)	8.76 (17.79)	18.25 (25.29)	26.72 (31.13)	40.25 (39.38)	16.35
CD (0.05)	--	--	NS	1.15	1.03	1.12	NS	1.64	1.35	1.28	1.47

*Data in the parenthesis is angular transformed value