

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113402
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Intellectual Property Rights and Economical Development
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript lacks originality and fails to offer substantial contributions to the scientific community. It primarily reiterates well-established arguments and perspectives on intellectual property rights and economic development without introducing novel insights or empirical evidence to advance the discourse. While the title "Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development" broadly encapsulates the manuscript's subject matter, it lacks specificity and fails to highlight the unique aspects or contributions of the research. A more precise and engaging title could better capture the essence of the manuscript and attract readers' interest. The abstract provides a superficial overview of the manuscript's content without adequately summarizing key findings or arguments. It fails to convey the significance and implications of the research, leaving readers with a vague understanding of the manuscript's contributions. The manuscript's subsections lack coherence and fail to establish a clear logical flow of ideas. Transitions between sections are abrupt, making it difficult for readers to follow the argumentative trajectory of the manuscript. A more structured and cohesive organization would improve the readability and comprehension of the research. The manuscript suffers from a lack of scientific rigor, as it relies heavily on anecdotal evidence and unsubstantiated claims rather than empirical research or theoretical frameworks. Absence of robust data analysis and critical examination undermines the credibility and validity of the research findings. The references cited in the manuscript are outdated and insufficient to support the arguments presented. Many key developments and scholarly insights in the field of intellectual property rights and economic development have emerged since the publication of the referenced sources. Updating the reference list with more recent and relevant literature is essential to enhance the scholarly rigor and currency of the manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally acceptable for scholarly communication. However, there are areas where improvement is needed. The article contains several grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and inconsistencies in language usage, which may hinder comprehension and detract from the overall professionalism of the manuscript. Additionally, some sentences are overly complex and convoluted, making it challenging for readers to grasp the intended meaning. Overall, while the language quality is adequate, thorough proofreading and editing are necessary to enhance clarity and coherence in the writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jacob Holland
Department, University & Country	School of Business & Leisure, Botswana Accountancy College, Botswana