

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111955
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF DINING ROOM DESIGN ASPECTS IN HOUSING FOR ELDERLY PEOPLE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. There is still a large knowledge gap on the design features in houses for the elderly. This research addresses some issues relevant to the design theory and practice.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract should be revised. The second part of the abstract is difficult to comprehend. Please consider proofreading to improve the understanding.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. I would recommend revising the Discussion and Conclusions section. The results of this study are important for the architects and interior designers. Please consider providing clear design guidelines based on the results of your study – larger circulation space, larger storage space, etc.</p> <p>6. The references are correct.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Please consider proofreading by the authors themselves to improve the understanding of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please consider providing more information about the methods used – questionnaire, etc.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Monika Trojanowska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gdańsk, Poland</b>