

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114171
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Neem cake and bio-agents on early blight (<i>Alternaria solani</i>) of tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this type of research is important for sustainable control of phytopathogens by using biological control agents, safe and eco-friendly.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, only the result part is focused, no conclusion is provided as well as the importance of carrying the research is not provided.</p> <p>No, revision is required, the result and discussion part subsection are not numbered.</p> <p>No, doesn't meet the Journal format, and the method is unclear, especially the DAT calculation, No, the full form is provided for the Abbreviations given in the text. The data analysis part is unclear.</p> <p>No, the In-text citation and the reference provided don't match. Adhikari ..., Alexander, Vincent are missing.</p> <p>Abstract (i) Background is not clear (ii) conclusion? (iii) soil application, seed treatment other methods are lacking The introduction citation is incorrect, only the background is given. The use of bioagent and botanical? Material and methods Unclear methods, soil application, or seed treatment? Or invitro? Result and discussion Very poor, No, discussion provided. Photo or figure not cited in the text? The result and discussion part have to be revised,</p> <p>Prepare the manuscript as per the guidelines</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, revision is required, lots of typo errors are seen in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganga Gharty Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal