

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_114263</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Demographic Profile and Clinical Outcomes of Patients Presented After Unsupervised Medical Termination of Pregnancy in a Tertiary Care Hospital In North India.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important for the scientific community as the unsupervised termination of pregnancy can end up in a patient's death even if she gets septicaemic. Also, it puts an economic burden on the healthcare system.</p> <p>2. It may be amended as "The outcomes of unsupervised abortion among women presenting in a tertiary care hospital in Bathinda".</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the abstract is structured, but its methodology must include the gestational age of the women in the study. Also, the conclusion should be re-written that is aligned with the objectives of the study.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and from last 5 years.s</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I think the manuscript needs grammatic corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aasia Kanwal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shalamar Medical &amp; Dental College, Pakistan</b>