Correlation and Path Coefficient Analyses for Grain Yield and its Contributing Traits in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell)

ABSTRACT: The study on bread wheat for fourteen characters*viz.,* days to heading (50%), days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, chlorophyll content , spike length (cm), number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, weight of grain per spike(g), 1000 grain weight (g), biological yield (g), harvest index (%),protein content (%) and grain yield per plant (g) was conducted by making crosses among ten diverse genotypes in half diallel fashion grown in Randomized Block Design in three replication during *Rabi* 2022-2023 at SIF, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, U.P. to assess the correlation and path coefficient. At genotypic level grain yield per plant showed positiveand significant correlation with biological yield per plant followed by number f productive tillers per plant, weight of grain per spike, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, chlorophyll content and days to maturity. In F_2 generation correlation coefficients at genotypic level higher than the corresponding phenotype correlation coefficient for all characters and eight characters *viz.,* biologicyield per plant, weight of grain per spike, number of productive tillers per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, days to maturity, protein content and number of grain per spike showed positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant. At genotypic level the highest positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plantfollowed by harvest index, number of spikelets per spike, spike length, days to 50% heading, 1000 grain weight, number of productive tiller per plant, weight of grain per spike, chlorophyll content and protein content in F_1s.At phenotypic level the highest positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plant followed by harvest index, spike length, days to maturity, number of productive tiller per plant, weight of grain per spike, chlorophyll ~~contend~~ and protein content in F_2s. Hence these characters may be considered for selection and improvement of grain yield in bread wheat.

Keywords: correlation, path coefficient, genotype and phenotype.

INTRODUCTION: Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important cereal crop grown in different environments due to its versatile nature over the world (cite an author).At global level, it's cultivated over 219.15 million hectare and production of 808.44 million tons with an average productivity of 36.88 quintals per hectare. In India, it is grown in area of 30.45 million hectares with a production of 107.74 million tons and productivity of 35.37 quintals per hectare **(FAO, 2022**).Wheat is grown under diverse agro-climatic conditions leading to wide fluctuation in productivity from region to region.Wheat is used for both human and animal nutrition and plays an important role in the nutrition of rapidly growing populations both in our country and the world. A number of biometrical techniques are extensively used for genetic evaluation of plants (cite an author). Out of them, diallel, partial diallel and line X tester analyses have been frequently used by breeders for genetic study because they provide more genetic information about the material studied (cite an author).Wheat has been playing an important role in the economy of several countries.A number of biometrical techniques are extensively used for genetic evaluation of plants. Out of them, diallel, partial diallel and line X tester analyses have

Formatted: Font color: Red

been frequently used by breeders for genetic study because they provide more genetic information about the material studied. Achieving improvement in yield can be done throughdirect selection for grain yield and itscomponent traits (cite an author). Grain yield is usually control bypolygenes and highly influenced by its component traits. Hence, identifying relative correlation and contribution of component character to grain yield canbe facilitated by understanding the association of the characters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ten genetically divers genotypes were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocal during *Rabi* 2022-23 at Student's Instructional Farm, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur-208002 U.P. Basic material consisting of ten morphological diverse genotype *viz*., HD 2733,DBW 187, DBW 222,HD 3086,HUW 666,K 1317,KRL 19, HI 1563,DBW 14 and K 9423. For fourteen characters *viz*., days to heading (50 %), days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, chlorophyll content , spike length (cm), number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, weight of grain per spike(g), 1000 grain weight (g), biological yield (g), harvest index (%),protein content (%) and grain yield per plant (g) observations were recorded from the five randomly selected plants from each genotypes.

Estimation of correlation and path coefficient

(i) Estimation of correlation coefficient

The following formulae were used for calculating the genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of correlation in the experiment as suggested by **Al-Jibouri*et al.*** **(1958):**

(a) Genotypic correlation $[r_{xy}(g)]$ = $\frac{Cov_{.xy}(g)}{\sqrt{[V_x(g).V_y(g)}}$

Where,

$Cov_{.xy}$ (g) = genotypic covariance between characters x and y, and this was obtained as follows:

$Cov_{.xy}$ (g) = $\frac{[Cov_{.xy}(p) - Cov_{.xy}(e)]}{r}$

V_x(g) andV_y (g) = genotypic variances for the characters x and y, respectively

r = number of replications

(b)Phenotypic correlation $[r_{xy}(p)]$ = $\frac{Cov_{.xy}(p)}{\sqrt{[V_x(p).V_y(p)}}$

Where,

$Cov_{.xy}$(p) =phenotypic covariance between the characters x and y, and this was obtained as follows:

$Cov_{.xy}$(p) = $Cov_{.xy}$(p) + $Cov_{.xy}$(e)

V_x (p) andV_y (p) = phenotypic variance for the characters x and y, r respectively

xy (e) = the error variance for characters x and y, respectively.

(ii) Estimation of Path analysis

Path coefficient analysis suggested by **Dewey and Lu (1959)** was carried out to know the direct and indirect effect of the morphological traits on plant yield. The following simultaneous equation indicating the basic relationship between correlation and path coefficient. The equations used are as follows:

r_{ij} = $P_{iy} + \sum_{j=1}^{10} r_{ij} P_{iy}$ for i =1, 2……10

r_{ij} = $\sum_{j=1}^{10} r_{ij} P_{iy}$ for r_{ij} = 1

The above equations can be written in the form of matrix.

$[A]_{10\times1} = [B]_{10\times1}[C]_{10\times1}$

Where,

A is column vector of correlations r_{ij}

B is the correlation matrix of r_{ij} and

C is the column vector of direct effect,P_{iy}

Residual factor was calculated as follows:

$P_{xy} = \sqrt{1 - R^2}$

Where,

$R^2 = \sum_j P_{iy} r_{ij}$

The r_{ij} i.e. $r_{1.2}$ to $r_{9.10}$ denote correlation between all possible combinations of independent characters P_{1y} $to P_{10y}$ denote direct effects of various characters on character y.

r_{ij} = correlation coefficient between i^{th} and y characters.

P_{iy} Direct effect of i^{th} character

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Correlation coefficient

Correlation study was carried out between all the fourteen characters at genotypic and phenotypic levels.The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficient of F_1 and F_2 computed among the fourteen characters under study has been presented in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

Genotype correlation coefficient: In F_1 generation, at genotypic level grain yield per plant showed positiveand significant correlation with biological yield per plant followed by number productive tillers per plant, weight of grain per spike, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, chlorophyll content and days to maturity while positive and non significant correlation with number of grain per spike, protein content and number of spikelets per spike. At genotypic level grain yield per plant showed negativeand non significant correlation with plant height, days to 50% heading and spike length Similar findings were earlier reported by **Singh (2001),Muhammad *et al*. (2004), Majumder*et al.* (2008) and Gaurav*et al*. (2014).**

In F_2 generation correlation coefficients at genotypic level higher than the corresponding phenotype correlation coefficient for all characters. Eight characters *viz.,*biological yield per plant, weight of grain per spike, number of productive tillers per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, days to maturity, protein content and number of grain per spike showed positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant **Kumar *et al*. (2013) and Ozukum*et al.* (2019)** got the same result while remaining character showed non-significant values of correlation.

Phenotype correlation coefficient: At phenotypic level all the character showed similar association as genotypic ones in direction but lower in magnitude in both F_1 and F_2 generation.

Comment [LAM1]: This sentence must be in materials and Methods section

Comment [LAM2]: good

Comment [LAM3]: pleaseimput the value

Comment [LAM4]: where can I find this, please call the table or figure.

Comment [LAM5]: Some cites are old, please you may incorporate some recent works

Path coefficient analysis

Thepath coefficient analysis was estimated on genotypic as well as phenotypic level (Table 3 and 4) to resolve the direct indirect effects of different characters on grain yield per plant. The path analysis is simple regression coefficient which split correlation coefficient values into direct and indirect effect.

Genotypic path coefficient: at genotypic level The highest positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plant(0.9208) followed by harvest index (0.3481), number of spikelets per spike (0.0147), spike length (0.0122), days to 50% heading(0.0091), 1000 grain weight (0.0085), number of productive tiller per plant(0.0081), weight of grain per spike(0.0046), chlorophyll content (0.0032) and protein content (0.0011) in F_1s while number of grain per spike (-0.0168) followed by plant height (-0.0160) and days to maturity (-0.0022) showed negative and direct effect on grain yield per plant in F_1.

In F_2s generation at genotypic level highest positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plant(0.9161) followed by harvest index (0.3405), spike length (0.0124), days to maturity(0.0119), number of productive tiller per plant(0.0086), weight of grain per spike(0.0072), chlorophyll content (0.0034) while days to 50% heading (-0.0137) followed by plant height (-0.0080), 1000 grain weight (-0.0082), number of spikelets per spike (-0.0065), number of grain per spike (-0.0040) and protein content (-0.0017) showed negative and direct effect on grain yield per plant in F_2. Similar result found by **Anwar *et al.* (2009) and Gaurav*et al.* (2014).**

Comment [LAM6]: The same comment

High indirect positive effect on grain yield per plant was exhibited by number of grains per spike *via*. biological yield per plant (0.1287) followed by harvest index (0.0171) number of spikelets per spike (0.0122), 1000 grain weight (0.0032),number of productive tiller per plant (0.0021), days to heading (0.0021), spike length (0.0015), weight of grain per spike (0.0015) and protein content (0.0004) in F_1s while in F_2s biological yield per plant (0.1513) followed by harvest index (0.0129), days to maturity (0.0026), weight of grain per spike (0.0023), number of productive tiller per plant (0.0017), days to heading (0.0003),spike length (0.0002).In contrast high orders of negative indirect effects were exhibited by number of grains per spike on grain yield per plant *via*. plant height (-0.0026), chlorophyll content (-0.0002), days to maturity (-0.0001) in F_1s while number of spikelets per spike (-0.0055),1000 grain weight (-0.0019) chlorophyll content (-0.0004) and protein content (-0.0001) in F_2s.

Phenotypic path coefficient

Bhushan*et al.*(2013) and Bhutta*et al.* (2005)reported the similar results in accordance with results from the current study at phenotypic levelthe highest positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plant(0.9131) followed by harvest index (0.3816), spike length (0.0102), number of spikelets per spike (0.0100), number of productive tiller per plant(0.0072), 1000 grain weight (0.0070), protein content (0.0043),chlorophyll content (0.0034),days to 50% heading(0.0025), days to maturity(0.0006) and weight of grain per spike(0.0002) in F_1s while in F_2s biological yield per plant(0.9099) followed by harvest index (0.3761), spike length (0.0131), days to maturity(0.0128), number of productive tiller per

Comment [LAM7]: So old reference

plant(0.0058), weight of grain per spike(0.0041), chlorophyll content (0.0024) and protein content (0.0009). The highest negative direct effect was exerted by plant height (-0.0154) and number of grain per spike (-0.0082) in F_1s while in F_2s 1000 grain weight (-0.0020) followed by number of grain per spike (-0.0020), number of spikelets per spike (-0.0076), plant height (-0.0102) and days to 50% heading (-0.0125).

Number of grains per spike exhibited high order of positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via*. Biological yield per plant (0.1206) followed by harvest index (0.0233) number of spikelets per spike (0.0076), 1000 grain weight (0.0022),number of productive tiller per plant (0.0018), spike length (0.0011), protein content (0.0004), days to heading (0.0004) and weight of grain per spike (0.0001) in F_1s while in F_2s biological yield per plant (0.1456) followed by harvest index (0.0053), days to maturity (0.0026), weight of grain per spike (0.0010), number of productive tiller per plant (0.0008), days to heading (0.0004), spike length (0.0004) and plant height (0.0001). In contrast high orders of negative indirect effects were exhibited by number of grains per spike on grain yield per plant *via*. number of spikelets per spike (-0.0059) and chlorophyll content (-0.0003) in F_1s while plant height (-0.0022) and chlorophyll content (-0.0001) in F_2s.

Thus, on the basis of genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient analysis, biological yield per plant, number of productive tiller per plant, weight of grain per spike, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, chlorophyll content and days to maturity were identified as most important positive direct contributors towards grain yield per plant.

CONCLUSION

In this study character *viz.,* biological yield per plant, number of productive tiller per plant, weight of grain per spike, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, chlorophyll content, protein content and days to maturity were found to be the most crucial characters in achieving high grain yield in bread wheat as they showed significant positive correlation at genotypic and phenotypic correlation along with the high positive direct effects at both genotypic and phenotypic level on grain yield per plant in both generations. Path coefficient has emerged as a powerful and widely use in breeding programme to understanding the direct and indirect contribution of different traits to economic yield in bread wheat. Therefore, we need to consider using these traits as the selection criterion to improve grain yield in bread wheat.

References

1. **Akhtar N, Choudhary, MA.**Estimation of genetic and phenotypic correlation coefficients among grain yield and its components in bread wheat. Intern. J of Agri and Bio.2006;8(4):516-522.
2. **Al-Najjar SMY, Al-Zubaidy KM.** Evaluation of relative efficiency of several selection indices for prediction of expected genetic advance in bread wheat grain yield (Triticum aestivum L). J for Agri Science.2020;19(4): 41-49.

3. **Anwar J, Ali MA, Hussain M, Sabir W, Khan MA, Zulkiffal M,**et al. Assessment of yield criteria in bread wheat through correlation and path analysis. J of Anim and Plant Science.2009;19(4):185-188.
4. **Ashfaq M, Khan AS, Ali Z.** Association of morphological traits with grain yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int J Agri Biol. 2003;5(3):
5. **Aycicek M,Yildirim T.**Path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes.Pak J of Bot.2006;3(2):417-424.
6. **Bhushan B, Bharti S, Ojha A, Pandey M, Gourav, S.S.; Tyagi, B.S.** et al**.** Genetic variability, correlation coefficient and path analysis of some quantitative traits in bread wheat.J of Wheat Research.2013:5(1):21-26.
7. **Bhutto LA, Majudano MS, Majeedano YM, Chandio GM, Thebo SK.**Estimation of phenotypic correlation coefficient between yield and yield contributing parameters in spring wheat.Indus. J. of Pl. Science.2006;5(1):710-714.
8. **Desheva.** Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of quantitative characters in winter bread wheat varieties. Trakia Journal of Sciences.2016;14(1):24-29.
9. **Gaurav BB, Verma SS, Ali PNM, Meena MR.** Character association and genetic divergence for quantitative traits in bread wheat. Annals of Biology.2014;30(1):62-67.
10. **Joshi BK, Mudwari A, Thapa DB.** Correlation and path coefficients among quantitative traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).Nep J of Scie and Techn.2008;9(3):1-5.
11. **Khan MH, Dar AN.**Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Some Quantitative Traits in Wheat. African Crop Science Journal. 2009;18(1):9-14.
12. **Khokhar MI, Hussain M, Zulkiffal M, Ahmad N, Sabar W.**Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). African Journal of Plant Science.2010;4(11):464-466.
13. **Korkut KZ, Başer I, Bilgin O.** Genotypic and phenotypic variability, heritability and phenotypic correlation for yield and yield components in bread wheat varieties. ActaAgronomicaHungarica.2001;49(3):237-242.
14. **Kumar Y, Lamba RAS, Sethi SK, Kumar V.** Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in durum wheat. Haryana J of Agronomy.2013;29(1/2):24-27.
15. **Kumar Y, Lamba RAS, Vinod K,Balbir S.** Variability parameters, correlation and path analysis in wheat varieties for yield and its components.Environment and Ecology.2015;33(1B):421-425.
16. **Load DB, Banger ND, Bhor TJ, Mukhekar GD, Biradav AB.** Correlation, path coefficient analysis and variability in wheat. Jof Maharastraagri Univ.**2003;28**(1):23-25.
17. **Majumder DAN, Shamsuddin AKM, Kabir MA, Hassan L.** Genetic variability, correlated response and path analysis of yield and yield contributing traits of spring wheat.J of the BanglAgriculUniver.2008;6(2):227-234.
18. **Mohammad T, Haider S, Amin M, Khan M I, Zamir R.** Path coefficient and correlation studies of yield and yield associated traits in candidate bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Lines. Suranaree J Sci Technol.2005;13(2):175-180.
19. **Muhanmmad K,Thsan K.** Heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for some metric traits in wheat. International journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2004;**6**(1):138-142.
20. **Nukasani V, Potdukhe NR, Bharad S,Deshmukh S,Shinde SM.** Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in wheat. J of Wheat Research.2013;5(2):48-51.

21. **Ojha R, Sarkar A, Aryal A, Rahul KC, Tiwari S, Poudel M,** et al. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Fmg. and Mngmt., 2018;3(2):136-141.
22. **Ozukum W, Avinashe H, Dubey N, Kalubarme S, Kumar M.** Correlation and path coefficient analyses in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* l.).Plan Archi2019;19(2):3033-3038.
23. **Rathod ST, Pole SP, Gawande SM.** Correlation and path analysis for quality and yield contributing raits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int J CurrMicrobiol App Science. 2019;8(6):456-461.
24. **Schober P, Boer C, Schwarte LA.**Correlation coefficients: appropriate use and interpretation. Anesthesia and Analgesia. 2018;126(5):1763-1768.
25. **Singh SSI.** Studies on path co-efficient analysis of harvest index and its related traits in wheat. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research.2001;35(2):127-129.
26. **Yadav A, Kumar A, Chandan R, Jaiswal JP.** Correlation and path coefficient analyses for grain yield, its components and quality traits in bread wheat.Pantnagar Journal of research.2013;11(3):337-340.
27. **Yagdi K, Sozen E,Aydogan E.**Heritability and correlation of yield and quality traits in durum wheat (*Triticum durum*).Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. **2007;77**(9):56-58.

Table-1 Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation coefficient in F_1s.

Characters		Days to heading (50%)	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Chlorophyll content	No. of productive tillers/plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets /spike	No. of grains/spike	Weight of grain/ spike(g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield /plant (g)
Days to heading (50%)	G	1.000	0.424**	-0.011	0.042	0.001	0.003	0.226**	0.230**	-0.050	0.012	-0.206**	0.156*	0.259**	-0.127
	P	1.000	0.379**	0.003	0.068	-0.017	0.011	0.188*	0.166*	-0.022	0.049	-0.163*	0.128	0.194*	-0.095
Days to maturity	G			-0.158*	0.107	0.272**	-0.185*	0.024	0.065	0.059	0.084	0.146	0.182*	0.242**	0.203**
	P			-0.147	0.105	0.244**	-0.172*	0.030	0.060	0.065	0.097	0.145	0.151	0.202**	0.196*
Plant height (cm)	G				-0.129	-0.140	0.181*	0.071	0.163*	-0.059	0.439**	-0.128	0.043	0.091	-0.116
	P				-0.109	-0.119	0.170*	0.067	0.145	-0.076	0.386**	-0.133	0.040	0.087	-0.119
Chlorophyll content	G					0.237**	-0.036	-0.198*	-0.051	0.203**	-0.065	0.235**	0.037	-0.071	0.234**
	P					0.188*	-0.039	-0.168*	-0.059	0.172*	-0.054	0.204**	0.007	-0.072	0.193*
No. of productive tillers/plant	G						-0.242**	0.212**	0.258**	0.386**	0.210**	0.551**	0.298**	0.186*	0.621**
	P						-0.217**	0.204**	0.249**	0.307**	0.173*	0.509**	0.260**	0.134	0.573**
Spike length(cm)	G							0.142	0.125	-0.075	0.081	-0.189*	0.066	0.149	-0.143
	P							0.138	0.104	-0.073	0.082	-0.181*	0.051	0.141	-0.138
No. of spikelets/spike	G								0.827**	0.284**	0.253**	0.099	0.065	0.127	0.122
	P								0.757**	0.254**	0.215**	0.092	0.074	0.096	0.119
No. of grains/spike	G									0.320**	0.381**	0.140	0.049	0.123	0.149
	P									0.255**	0.306**	0.132	0.061	0.112	0.147
Weight of grain/ spike(g)	G										0.405**	0.591**	0.099	0.159*	0.588**
	P										0.329**	0.544**	0.073	0.095	0.531**
1000 grain weight (g)	G											0.290**	0.150	0.325**	0.322**
	P											0.258**	0.092	0.273**	0.274**
Biological yield/plant (g)	G												0.017	0.106	0.934**
	P												0.004	0.092	0.921**
Harvest index (%)	G													0.101	0.370**
	P													0.049	0.389**
Protein content (%)	G														0.140
	P														0.111
Grain yield /plant (g)	G														1.000
	P														1.000

*, ** significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

Table-2 Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation coefficient in F_2s.

Characters		Days to heading (50%)	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Chlorophyll content (%)	No. of productive tillers/plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets /spike	No. of grains/spike	Weight of grain/ spike(g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield /plant (g)
Days to heading (50%)	G	1.000	0.649**	0.022	-0.017	0.120	-0.069	-0.094	-0.025	0.094	0.013	0.116	0.103	0.184*	0.136
	P	1.000	0.589**	0.016	-0.004	0.098	-0.063	-0.093	-0.032	0.089	0.014	0.112	0.044	0.157*	0.114
Days to maturity	G			-0.151	0.009	0.253**	-0.192*	-0.029	0.219**	0.236**	0.018	0.284**	0.163*	0.178*	0.320**
	P			-0.141	0.019	0.223**	-0.174*	-0.021	0.204**	0.189*	0.012	0.267**	0.120	0.182*	0.295**
Plant height (cm)	G				-0.220**	-0.189*	0.321**	0.024	-0.045	0.107	0.341**	-0.178*	0.077	0.207**	-0.147
	P				-0.165*	-0.157*	0.287**	0.025	-0.022	0.086	0.311**	-0.170*	0.052	0.157*	-0.145
Chlorophyll content (%)	G					0.052	-0.066	-0.076	-0.125	-0.033	-0.011	-0.046	0.086	-0.095	-0.007
	P					0.080	-0.071	-0.054	-0.124	-0.036	-0.005	-0.037	0.085	-0.111	0.003
No. of productive tillers/plant	G						-0.223**	0.225**	0.199*	0.147	0.313**	0.418**	0.253**	0.186*	0.473**
	P						-0.196*	0.184*	0.140	0.128	0.280**	0.394**	0.198*	0.130	0.438**
Spike length(cm)	G							0.020	0.019	0.186*	0.132	0.077	0.046	0.121	0.093
	P							0.037	0.032	0.176*	0.127	0.081	0.008	0.116	0.085
No. of spikelets/spike	G								0.848**	0.198*	0.208**	0.157*	0.029	0.050	0.146
	P								0.779**	0.176*	0.182*	0.147	0.030	0.051	0.138
No. of grains/spike	G									0.318**	0.232**	0.165*	0.038	0.075	0.160*
	P									0.253**	0.210**	0.160*	0.014	0.073	0.148
Weight of grain/ spike(g)	G										0.447**	0.491**	0.352**	0.302**	0.574**
	P										0.414**	0.441**	0.257**	0.219**	0.503**
1000 grain weight (g)	G											0.241**	0.473**	0.230**	0.376**
	P											0.233**	0.396**	0.195*	0.359**
Biological yield/plant (g)	G												0.045	0.166*	0.939**
	P												0.019	0.149	0.924**
Harvest index (%)	G													0.116	0.383**
	P													0.100	0.395**
Protein content (%)	G														0.191*
	P														0.175*
Grain yield /plant (g)	G														1.000
	P														1.000

*, ** significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

Table-3 Genotypic and Phenotypic path with Grain yield per plant (g)- F_1s.

Characters		Days to heading (50%)	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Chlorophyll content (%)	No. of productive tillers/plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets /spike	No. of grains/spike	Weight of grain/ spike(g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield /plant (g)
Days to heading (50%)	G	**0.0091**	-0.0009	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0033	-0.0039	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.1896	0.0544	0.0003	-0.127
	P	**0.0025**	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0001	0.0019	-0.0014	0.0000	0.0004	-0.1487	0.0490	0.0008	-0.095
Days to maturity	G	0.0038	**-0.0022**	0.0025	0.0003	0.0022	-0.0023	0.0004	-0.0011	0.0003	0.0007	0.1344	0.0634	0.0003	0.203**
	P	0.0009	**0.0006**	0.0023	0.0004	0.0018	-0.0018	0.0003	-0.0005	0.0000	0.0007	0.1328	0.0577	0.0009	0.196*
Plant height (cm)	G	-0.0001	0.0004	**-0.0160**	-0.0004	-0.0011	0.0022	0.0011	-0.0028	-0.0003	0.0037	-0.1180	0.0151	0.0001	-0.116
	P	0.0000	-0.0001	**-0.0154**	-0.0004	-0.0009	0.0017	0.0007	-0.0012	0.0000	0.0027	-0.1214	0.0151	0.0004	-0.119
Chlorophyll content (%)	G	0.0004	-0.0002	0.0021	**0.0032**	0.0019	-0.0004	-0.0029	0.0009	0.0009	-0.0006	0.2159	0.0127	-0.0001	0.234**
	P	0.0002	0.0001	0.0017	**0.0034**	0.0014	-0.0004	-0.0017	0.0005	0.0000	-0.0004	0.1859	0.0027	-0.0003	0.193*
No. of productive tillers/plant	G	0.0000	-0.0006	0.0022	0.0008	**0.0081**	-0.0030	0.0031	-0.0044	0.0018	0.0018	0.5077	0.1036	0.0002	0.621**
	P	0.0000	0.0002	0.0018	0.0006	**0.0072**	-0.0022	0.0021	-0.0020	0.0001	0.0012	0.4644	0.0993	0.0006	0.573**
Spike length(cm)	G	0.0000	0.0004	-0.0029	-0.0001	-0.0020	**0.0122**	0.0021	-0.0021	-0.0003	0.0007	-0.1738	0.0229	0.0002	-0.143
	P	0.0000	-0.0001	-0.0026	-0.0001	-0.0016	**0.0102**	0.0014	-0.0009	0.0000	0.0006	-0.1651	0.0196	0.0006	-0.138
No. of spikelets/spike	G	0.0021	-0.0001	-0.0011	-0.0006	0.0017	0.0017	**0.0147**	-0.0139	0.0013	0.0022	0.0910	0.0227	0.0001	0.122
	P	0.0005	0.0000	-0.0010	-0.0006	0.0015	0.0014	**0.0100**	-0.0062	0.0001	0.0015	0.0837	0.0282	0.0004	0.119
No. of grains/spike	G	0.0021	-0.0001	-0.0026	-0.0002	0.0021	0.0015	0.0122	**-0.0168**	0.0015	0.0032	0.1287	0.0171	0.0001	0.149
	P	0.0004	0.0000	-0.0022	-0.0002	0.0018	0.0011	0.0076	**-0.0082**	0.0001	0.0022	0.1206	0.0233	0.0005	0.147
Weight of grain/ spike(g)	G	-0.0005	-0.0001	0.0009	0.0007	0.0031	-0.0009	0.0042	-0.0054	**0.0046**	0.0034	0.5439	0.0344	0.0002	0.588**
	P	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0012	0.0006	0.0022	-0.0008	0.0025	-0.0021	**0.0002**	0.0023	0.4965	0.0278	0.0004	0.531**
1000 grain weight (g)	G	0.0001	-0.0002	-0.0070	-0.0002	0.0017	0.0010	0.0037	-0.0064	0.0019	**0.0085**	0.2668	0.0521	0.0004	0.322**
	P	0.0001	0.0001	-0.0059	-0.0002	0.0012	0.0008	0.0022	-0.0025	0.0001	**0.0070**	0.2354	0.0349	0.0012	0.274**
Biological yield/plant (g)	G	-0.0019	-0.0003	0.0020	0.0008	0.0045	-0.0023	0.0015	-0.0024	0.0027	0.0025	**0.9208**	0.0060	0.0001	0.934**
	P	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0020	0.0007	0.0037	-0.0018	0.0009	-0.0011	0.0001	0.0018	**0.9131**	0.0017	0.0004	0.921**
Harvest index (%)	G	0.0014	-0.0004	-0.0007	0.0001	0.0024	0.0008	0.0010	-0.0008	0.0005	0.0013	0.0160	**0.3481**	0.0001	0.370**
	P	0.0003	0.0001	-0.0006	0.0000	0.0019	0.0005	0.0007	-0.0005	0.0000	0.0006	0.0041	**0.3816**	0.0002	0.389**
Protein content (%)	G	0.0023	-0.0005	-0.0015	-0.0002	0.0015	0.0018	0.0019	-0.0021	0.0007	0.0028	0.0974	0.0351	**0.0011**	0.140
	P	0.0005	0.0001	-0.0013	-0.0002	0.0010	0.0014	0.0010	-0.0009	0.0000	0.0019	0.0842	0.0187	**0.0043**	0.111

*, ** significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

Table-4 Genotypic and Phenotypic path with Grain yield per plant (g) - F_2s.

Characters		Days to heading (50%)	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Chlorophyll content (%)	No. of productive tillers/plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets/ spike	No. of grains/spike	Weight of grain/ spike(g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield /plant (g)
Days to heading (50%)	G	**-0.0137**	0.0077	-0.0002	-0.0001	0.0010	-0.0009	0.0006	0.0001	0.0007	-0.0001	0.1060	0.0350	-0.0003	0.136
	P	**-0.0125**	0.0075	-0.0002	0.0000	0.0006	-0.0008	0.0007	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.1018	0.0165	0.0001	0.114
Days to maturity	G	-0.0089	**0.0119**	0.0012	0.0000	0.0022	-0.0024	0.0002	-0.0009	0.0017	-0.0002	0.2599	0.0555	-0.0003	0.320**
	P	-0.0074	**0.0128**	0.0014	0.0000	0.0013	-0.0023	0.0002	-0.0004	0.0008	0.0000	0.2432	0.0453	0.0002	0.295**
Plant height (cm)	G	-0.0003	-0.0018	**-0.0080**	-0.0008	-0.0016	0.0040	-0.0002	0.0002	0.0008	-0.0028	-0.1627	0.0263	-0.0004	-0.147
	P	-0.0002	-0.0018	**-0.0102**	-0.0004	-0.0009	0.0038	-0.0002	0.0000	0.0004	-0.0006	-0.1550	0.0197	0.0001	-0.145
Chlorophyll content (%)	G	0.0002	0.0001	0.0018	**0.0034**	0.0005	-0.0008	0.0005	0.0005	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.0421	0.0293	0.0002	-0.007
	P	0.0001	0.0002	0.0017	**0.0024**	0.0005	-0.0009	0.0004	0.0003	-0.0002	0.0000	-0.0334	0.0321	-0.0001	0.003
No. of productive tillers/plant	G	-0.0017	0.0030	0.0015	0.0002	**0.0086**	-0.0028	-0.0015	-0.0008	0.0011	-0.0026	0.3825	0.0862	-0.0003	0.473**
	P	-0.0012	0.0029	0.0016	0.0002	**0.0058**	-0.0026	-0.0014	-0.0003	0.0005	-0.0006	0.3587	0.0743	0.0001	0.438**
Spike length(cm)	G	0.0010	-0.0023	-0.0026	-0.0002	-0.0019	**0.0124**	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0013	-0.0011	0.0707	0.0158	-0.0002	0.093
	P	0.0008	-0.0022	-0.0029	-0.0002	-0.0011	**0.0131**	-0.0003	-0.0001	0.0007	-0.0003	0.0737	0.0032	0.0001	0.085
No. of spikelets/spike	G	0.0013	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0003	0.0019	0.0002	**-0.0065**	-0.0034	0.0014	-0.0017	0.1437	0.0099	-0.0001	0.146
	P	0.0012	-0.0003	-0.0003	-0.0001	0.0011	0.0005	**-0.0076**	-0.0016	0.0007	-0.0004	0.1340	0.0111	0.0001	0.138
No. of grains/spike	G	0.0003	0.0026	0.0004	-0.0004	0.0017	0.0002	-0.0055	**-0.0040**	0.0023	-0.0019	0.1513	0.0129	-0.0001	0.160*
	P	0.0004	0.0026	0.0002	-0.0003	0.0008	0.0004	-0.0059	**-0.0020**	0.0010	-0.0004	0.1456	0.0053	0.0001	0.148
Weight of grain/ spike(g)	G	-0.0013	0.0028	-0.0009	-0.0001	0.0013	0.0023	-0.0013	-0.0013	**0.0072**	-0.0036	0.4499	0.1198	-0.0005	0.574**
	P	-0.0011	0.0024	-0.0009	-0.0001	0.0007	0.0023	-0.0013	-0.0005	**0.0041**	-0.0008	0.4017	0.0967	0.0002	0.503**
1000 grain weight (g)	G	-0.0002	0.0002	-0.0027	0.0000	0.0027	0.0016	-0.0014	-0.0009	0.0032	**-0.0082**	0.2211	0.1610	-0.0004	0.376**
	P	-0.0002	0.0002	-0.0032	0.0000	0.0016	0.0017	-0.0014	-0.0004	0.0017	**-0.0020**	0.2120	0.1489	0.0002	0.359**
Biological yield/plant (g)	G	-0.0016	0.0034	0.0014	-0.0002	0.0036	0.0010	-0.0010	-0.0007	0.0035	-0.0020	**0.9161**	0.0155	-0.0003	0.939**
	P	-0.0014	0.0034	0.0017	-0.0001	0.0023	0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0003	0.0018	-0.0005	**0.9099**	0.0070	0.0001	0.924**
Harvest index (%)	G	-0.0014	0.0019	-0.0006	0.0003	0.0022	0.0006	-0.0002	-0.0002	0.0025	-0.0039	0.0416	**0.3405**	-0.0002	0.383**
	P	-0.0006	0.0015	-0.0005	0.0002	0.0012	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0000	0.0011	-0.0008	0.0169	**0.3761**	0.0001	0.395**
Protein content (%)	G	-0.0025	0.0021	-0.0017	-0.0003	0.0016	0.0015	-0.0003	-0.0003	0.0022	-0.0019	0.1522	0.0396	**-0.0017**	0.191*
	P	-0.0020	0.0023	-0.0016	-0.0003	0.0008	0.0015	-0.0004	-0.0002	0.0009	-0.0004	0.1355	0.0377	**0.0009**	0.175*

*, ** significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively