

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114226
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path Coefficient Analyses for Grain Yield and its Contributing Traits in Bread Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. em. Thell)
Type of the Article	In my consideration this must be a shot communication or short note or Scientific note

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes and well written</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, it is well structured</p> <p>Yes , it is scientifically correct</p> <p>This is the only problem found. Some references are so old. The authors must incorporate at least two or three for getting to 60% of actualization percentage</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I congratulate the authors because the statistical procedure is very suitable.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No-</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leandris Argente Martínez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tecnológico Nacional de México, Instituto Tecnológico Del Valle del Yaqui, México</b>