

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_114074
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Calorie Consumption and Vulnerability Status of the Rice Farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria: Perspective for Commercialized Farming
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the topic is important to explore the status of calorie consumption and the factors detrimental for this for helping the policy makers and programme planners to focus on the factors identified to enhance the nutritional security of the farmers, specifically the rice growers of the region under study.</p> <p>2. The title seems suitable as it gives an idea of the work done.</p> <p>3. The abstract includes the essence of the research study, its importance, methodology and the results, in brief.</p> <p>4. Appropriately followed.</p> <p>5. Yes, the methodology is sound and the study has a good sample size for generalization.</p> <p>6. References are quoted wherever required. Recent studies are also quoted likewise of year 2023. Reference style is not according to the Journal guidelines under the section "References".</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Grammatical mistakes are there in sentence formation. Correction is required.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Manuscript addresses an issue that needs attention. Methodology is sound and manuscript only has some grammatical errors, which must be corrected. The discussion part is well-written and provided recommendations for policy and programmes for the specific region.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kushagra Joshi
Department, University & Country	ICAR VPKAS, India