

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_114626
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-parametric Methodologies to Analyse the Trend in Rainfall of North Interior Karnataka (NIK) Meteorological Subdivision of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Method Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript has analysed methods to find more effective methods in determining rainfall trends in the study area, as a basis for future scenario assessment</p> <p>2. Not really. It seems better to be reworded for more appropriate. A suggestion is given in the attached text.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract manuscript is representative of the content. However, keywords should be rechecked, a note is given in the attached text.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, overall, the manuscript is sufficient for publication in the journal.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and updated. However, a suggestion for improvement was given in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, the content with the title is connected. It would be fine for publication in Asian Research Journal of Agriculture, but a minor addition is required in content (a suggestion for improvement was given in the text to clarify the effectiveness of the applied tool).</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tran Thi Tuyet</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Human Geography, Vietnam</b>