

Original Research Article

Enzymatic activities in the rhizosphere soil as influenced by filterbeds and hydrophytes in the vertically constructed wetland

ABSTRACT

An experiment with different filter beds and macrophytes was carried out to study their phytoremediation capacity on the efficiency of domestic wastewater treatment through constructed wetland (CW) from November to March 2017–18 at the University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad campus, Karnataka. Twenty treatment combinations involving five types of filter beds (FB-1: gravel, FB-2: gravel-sand-gravel, FB-3: gravel-sand-brick-gravel, FB-4: gravel-sand-charcoal-gravel and FB-5: gravel-sand-(charcoal+brick)-gravel) and four macrophytes (MP-1: *Typhalatifolia*, MP-2: *Brachiariumutica*, MP-3: *Canna indica* and MP-4: *Phragmites sp.*) were evaluated for treating domestic waste water. After 120 days from the start, across treatment combinations, the plant in each column was uprooted, and the soil adhered to the root was collected in a polyethylene cover. The samples were brought to the laboratory, refrigerated, and analysed for dehydrogenase, phosphatase, and urease activities, as well as for biofilm formation. More biofilm growth was recorded on brick material compared to other filterbed materials.

Keywords: Sewage effluent; constructed wetland; filter bed-filter beds; macrophytes; Biofilm.

Introduction

Seventy percent of the freshwater used for irrigation worldwide is used for agriculture, making it the largest user of water worldwide. Currently, the agriculture sector in India, which

Comment [I1]: Type the Paper Title format (Arial, Bold, 18 font)

Comment [I2]: **ABSTRACT** (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps)

Comment [I3]: Arial, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I4]: **Aims:** Here clearly write the aims of this study

Comment [I5]:

Comment [I6]: between November 2017 and March 2018.

Comment [I7]: at the University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad campus, Karnataka, between November 2017 and March 2018.

Comment [I8]:) *Brachiariumutica* (Paragrass)

Comment [I9]: Then analyzed

Comment [I10]: It is Preferable to Use abbreviation dehydrogenase activity (DA)

Comment [I11]: It is Preferable to Use abbreviation urease activity (UA)

Comment [I12]: **Results:** Kindly make sure to include relevant statistics here, such as sample sizes, response rates, P-values or Confidence Intervals. Do not just say "there were differences between the groups"

Comment [I13]: Results should be separated from the conclusion Where is the conclusion?

Comment [I14]: Follow the following format when writing keywords
Keywords: [Put four to eight keywords] (Arial, inclined, 10 font, justified, Single line spacing)

Comment [I15]: **1.INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS) DIVID THE STUDY INTO MAIN AND SUB-SECTION**

Comment [I16]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I17]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

is the foundation of the country's economy, uses almost 90% of all available water resources. However, the amount of freshwater available to agriculture is starting to decline due to growing competition from industry, domestic sectors, and agriculture itself. Furthermore, India is finding it challenging to supply enough freshwater for irrigation due to the rapid depletion of groundwater supplies and severe water pollution. In India, the problem has become more severe and challenging to handle due to the obvious lack of fresh water and the notable rise in the amount of urban wastewater produced by the expanding cities.

Comment [I18]: Mention the source of the information

Worldwide scientific community support and experimentation with the innovative wastewater treatment method known as "constructed wetland" (Plate 1). Increased interest in using manmade wetlands for wastewater treatment and reuse has been sparked by the possibility of achieving improved water quality while creating vital habitat for wildlife. This structure, despite requiring a lot of land, provides attractive ways to integrate resource enhancement with wastewater treatment, frequently at a price that is comparable to established wastewater treatment options. A constructed wetland, which can be vertical or horizontal in shape, is a framework for treating wastewater that consists of one or more treatment cells arranged in an artificially regulated way. Several types of wastewater have been treated at varying degrees of treatment using constructed wetlands. In order to create a wetland that replicates the physical, chemical, and biological processes of natural wetland systems, common characteristics are linked to emergent hydrophyte stands. Different kinds of wastewaters have been successfully treated by hydrophytes, also known as macrophytes. With regard to the treatment procedures, the hydrophytes have a number of characteristics. The physical impacts of the plant tissues, which result in a filtering effect and offer surface area for attached microorganisms, are the most significant effects of the hydrophytes (Plate 3) on the wastewater treatment processes. The removal of contaminants from hydrophytes through plant uptake and oxygen release has varying effects on wastewater treatment procedures. Additionally, the hydrophytes act as habitat for wildlife.

Comment [I19]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference).
Replace plate 1 to figure 1

Comment [I20]: Move this paragraph to the Materials and Methods section and explain your experiment in detail with an appropriate figure 1 after suitable illustrate

Comment [I21]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

Comment [I22]: Mention for recent references

Comment [I23]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

Comment [I24]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

Comment [I25]: Replace plate 3 to figure 2

Comment [I26]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

Comment [I27]: Move this paragraph to the Materials and Methods section and explain your experiment in detail with an appropriate figure 2 after suitable illustrate

Comment [I28]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

Comment [I29]: Mention the source of the information (recent reference)

The three main nutrient removal processes connected to artificial wetland systems are filtration, precipitation, and biodegradation. The selection of filterbed materials and their vertical placement in terms of thickness and depth should be done with the goal of reducing treatment costs and optimising the effectiveness of the aforementioned operations. In order to treat the domestic sewage effluent of the UAS, Dharwad campus, the current study (column study) was carried out using locally accessible materials such as gravel, sand, charcoal, and brick materials as filterbed (Plate 2).

Material and methods

Biological property

After 120 days, the plant in each column was uprooted and the soil adhered to root was collected in polyethylene cover. The samples were brought to the laboratory, refrigerated and analyzed for dehydrogenase, phosphatase, urease activities and biofilm formation.

Estimation of Dehydrogenase activity

In the dehydrogenase enzyme assay, 2,3,5-triphenylformazone (TPF), which is created when soil microbes reduce 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride, is measured colorimetrically. Five grammes of soil, 0.1 g of CaCO_3 , one millilitre of TTC's 3% aqueous solution, and three millilitres of distilled water were added to each test tube, which was then incubated for twenty-four hours at 37°C in the incubator. Following that, each tube's 2,3,5-triphenylformazone was extracted and placed individually into a 50 ml volumetric flask by pouring the soil through a funnel that had been plugged with non-absorbent cotton. Small amounts of methanol were used to wash the soil multiple times until the filtrate was colourless. Then, using methanol as a blank, the filtrate's volume was increased to 50 ml, and the red color's intensity was measured in a spectrophotometer at 485 nm. According to Casida *et al.* (1964), the amount of TPF that was generated was determined and reported as $\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$.

Comment [I30]: optimizing

Comment [I31]: ???
It should be clearly defined at first use

Comment [I32]: It is preferable to mention previous local studies in the country of study, if any

Comment [I33]: Replace (Plate 2) with figure 3

Comment [I34]: This paragraph should be moved to the Materials and Methods section with the appropriate figure 3

Comment [I35]: Material and methods / experimental details / methodology (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps)
Number Materials and Methods Section 2
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Comment [I36]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)

Comment [I37]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I38]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
2.1 Estimation of Dehydrogenase activity

Comment [I39]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I40]: Correct format 2,3,5-triphenylformazone

Comment [I41]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [1].

Estimation of phosphatase activity

The phosphatase activity of soil samples was measured using the method described by Evazi and Tabatabai (1979). The reaction mixture consisted of 1 g of soil, 0.2 ml toluene, 4 ml of modified universal buffer (pH 7.5), and 1 ml of para-nitrophenol phosphate solution. The mixture was mixed and incubated at 37°C for an hour. After that, 1 ml of 0.5 M CaCl₂ and 4 ml of 0.5 M NaOH were added, swirled and filtered. The intensity of yellow color was measured at 420 nm against the reagent blank. The concentration of para-nitrophenol phosphate formed in soil samples was calculated using a standard curve made using graded concentration of para-nitrophenol phosphate. The phosphatase activity was expressed as $\mu\text{g P-NP formed g}^{-1}\text{soil hr}^{-1}$.

Estimation of urease activity

Urease activity of soil samples was determined by following the procedure of Tabatabai and Bremner (1972). Ten-gram soil sample was treated with 1 ml toluene and 10 ml phosphate buffer and incubated at 30°C for 24 hr. Following the incubation period, 15 ml of 1N KCl were added, and the mixture was filtered using Whatman No. 42 filter paper. Distilled water was added to the filtrate volume until it reached 100 ml. To one ml of the extractant, 2 ml of 10 per cent sodium tartrate and 0.5 ml Nessler's reagent were added and incubated for 30 minutes. The volume was increased to 25 ml with distilled water after 30 minutes. Using a spectrophotometer, the amount of yellow colour that had developed was measured at 610 nm in comparison to a blank sample that had no urea solution. A standard curve created using graded concentrations of ammoniacal nitrogen was used to calculate the concentration of ammoniacal nitrogen generated in soil samples. The urease activity was expressed as $\mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N formed g}^{-1}\text{soil day}^{-1}$.

Comment [I42]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
2.2 Estimation of phosphatase activity

Comment [I43]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I44]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [2].

Comment [I45]: You can shorten the sentence as follows
para-nitrophenol phosphate which expressed as $\mu\text{g P-NP formed g}^{-1}\text{soil hr}^{-1}$.

Comment [I46]: Same line! Not separated in tow lines

Comment [I47]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
2.3 Estimation of urease activity

Comment [I48]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I49]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].

Comment [I50]: Pore Size?

Comment [I51]: tartrate

Comment [I52]: Device model brand

Comment [I53]: Deionized water?

Quantification of biofilm formation

The biofilm formation ability of different filterbed materials was assessed in column study. We used a 2-inch-diameter, 30-centimeter-long PVC pipe. A small hole was left for drainage and an end cap was used to seal the bottom of the column. Different filterbeds, such as sand, gravel, brick, and charcoal, were filled in these columns in triplicate without any plantings, and untreated home sewage effluent was regularly watered on them. The study was conducted for a period of 120 days. The samples were drawn from different depths (0-5 cm, 5-15 cm and 15-30 cm) and analyzed for quantification of biofilm formation.

The amount of bio-film that developed on various filterbed materials was measured gravimetrically (Besciak and Surmacz, 2011). Known samples were combined with 15 ml of a solvent mixture (acetone, petroleum ether, 3:1 v/v) for 10 seconds, and the mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 rpm. The biofilm samples were then filtered through filter paper that had been pre-weighed, and the filter paper was dried to constant weight in a vacuum oven at 70° C. Based on weight differences, the weight of biofilm was computed and expressed as mg g⁻¹ for every filterbed material.

Results and discussion

Enzymatic activities in the rhizosphere soil as influenced by filterbeds and hydrophytes in the constructed wetland

Dehydrogenase activity

The result related to soil dehydrogenase activity ($\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) at 120 DAS as influenced by different filterbeds and hydrophytes is presented in Table 1.

The dehydrogenase activity of soil at 120 DAS was significantly influenced by both hydrophytes and filterbeds. Interestingly, the highest soil dehydrogenase activity was found in the hydrophyte phragmites ($26.92 \mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) and the filterbed "gravel" ($35.30 \mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$).

Comment [I54]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
2.4 Quantification of biofilm formation

Comment [I55]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I56]: Place Figure 2 at the end of this section after reformatting the image

Comment [I57]: mention the name of a reference for the procedure

Comment [I58]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [4].

Comment [I59]: Citations should be given in support of the findings.

Comment [I60]: (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps)
Number Section 3
3.Results and discussion

Comment [I61]:

Comment [I62]: Citations should be given in support of the findings.

Comment [I63]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.1 Dehydrogenase activity

Comment [I64]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [I65]: (Table 1)

Comment [I66]: (DA)

$\text{g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$). The dehydrogenase activity of hydrophytes and filterbeds ranged from 17.04 to 26.92 $\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively. The interaction between the hydrophytes and filterbeds was significant. The combination of "gravel-sand-(charcoal+brick)-gravel" and typha recorded significantly higher dehydrogenase activity in the rhizosphere (63.27 $\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$).

Soil dehydrogenase is an enzyme from the oxido-reductase class that catalyses the oxidation of organic molecules. Soil dehydrogenase enzyme is a key component of enzymatic activity that participates in and ensures the right sequence of all biochemical pathways in soil biogeochemical cycles. Dehydrogenase activity is an indicator of microbiological redox systems and may be considered a good measure of microbial oxidative activities in soil, as well as the overall microbial load in the rhizosphere. Enzymes play an important part in the degradation of organic contaminants, and dehydrogenase enzyme is a constitutive enzyme that determines the overall microbial population.

The present study clearly showed that gravel had more porosity and aeration which might have increased dehydrogenase activity. A study conducted by Smith (2020) investigated the impact of different filterbed materials on dehydrogenase activity in a pilot-scale constructed wetland treating domestic wastewater. The researchers found that filterbeds containing organic-rich materials, such as peat, exhibited higher dehydrogenase activity compared to those composed of inert materials like sand. This suggests that the presence of organic matter in filterbeds can enhance microbial activity and promote the degradation of organic compounds. The highest dehydrogenase activity was recorded in the rhizosphere of phragmites. It indicated that microbial dependency and rhizosphere competence are high for this species compared to other hydrophytes. Activity of dehydrogenase was significantly high in the root zone of phragmites. This finding is similar in line with the findings of Kong *et al.* (2009). Plant species root morphology and development seem to be a key factor in

Comment [I67]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [].

Comment [I68]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [].

influencing microbial-plant interaction (Gagnon *et al.*, 2007). In a study by Johnson (2019), the researchers investigated the effect of different hydrophyte species on dehydrogenase activity in a laboratory-scale constructed wetland. They found that wetlands planted with specific hydrophyte species, such as *Typha latifolia* and *Phragmites australis*, exhibited higher dehydrogenase activity compared to unplanted control systems. This suggests that hydrophytes can enhance microbial activity and create favorable conditions for organic matter degradation.

Comment [I69]: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [].

Phosphatase

The result related to soil phosphatase activity ($\mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1} \text{hr}^{-1}$) at 120 DAS as influenced by different filterbeds and hydrophytes is presented in Table 1.

Comment [I70]: Citations should be given in support of the findings.

Comment [I71]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.2 Phosphatase

Comment [I72]: What the meaning of DAS?

Both filterbeds and hydrophytes had significant influence on soil phosphatase activity at 120 DAS. Significantly, the highest soil phosphatase activity was recorded in filterbed '*gravel-sand-gravel*' ($93.43 \mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1} \text{hr}^{-1}$) and hydrophyte canna ($74.56 \mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1} \text{hr}^{-1}$). The phosphatase activity of filterbeds and hydrophytes ranged between 29.24 to 93.43 and 52.45 to 74.56 $\mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1} \text{hr}^{-1}$, respectively. The interaction between the filterbeds and hydrophytes was significant. The combination of '*gravel-sand-gravel*' and canna recorded significantly higher phosphatase activity in rhizosphere ($196.44 \mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1} \text{hr}^{-1}$). The high phosphatase activity in canna rhizosphere showed positive relationship with phosphorus concentration in plant.

Comment [I73]: NO ITALIC
gravel-sand-gravel

Urease

The result related to soil urease activity at 120 DAS as influenced by different filterbeds and hydrophytes is presented in Table 1.

Comment [I74]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.3

Comment [I75]: ?

Both filterbeds and hydrophytes had significant influence on soil urease activity at 120 DAS. Significantly, the highest soil urease activity was recorded in filterbed '*gravel-sand-*

charcoal-gravel' ($0.75 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$) and hydrophytes phragmites and typha ($0.50 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$). The soil urease activity of filterbeds and hydrophytes ranged between 0.25 to 0.75 and 0.45 to $0.50 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$, respectively. The interaction between the filterbeds and hydrophytes was significant. The combination of '*gravel-sand-charcoal-gravel*' and canna recorded significantly higher urease activity in rhizosphere ($0.99 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$). This indicated that urease activity dependency is high for these two plant types compared to paragrass and canna. Among filterbeds, '*gravel-sand-charcoal-gravel*' (FB4) recorded the highest urease activity.

Comment [176]: Why italics?!

Comment [177]: WHERE STATIC

Urease is an enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide. This enzymatic reaction is essential in the nitrogen cycle as it converts urea, a common nitrogenous compound in wastewater, into ammonia, a form of nitrogen readily used by plants. Urease activity is crucial in constructed wetlands as it influences the overall nitrogen transformation process. Ammonia produced through urease activity can be subsequently nitrified and denitrified, converting it into harmless nitrogen gas and reducing its impact on the environment. Understanding the factors that influence urease activity is key to optimizing nitrogen removal in constructed wetlands.

Comment [178]: Insert a figure containing pictures of your experiment, including plants

Biofilm development on different filterbed materials after 120 DAS (in sole column without hydrophytes)

Comment [179]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED 3.4

Comment [180]: ?

Comment [181]: soil

Comment [182]: [(Arial, normal, 10 font, Single line spacing, justified)

Comment [183]:

Comment [184]: Correct grammar

Comment [185]: (Table 2)

The data pertaining to biofilm development is presented in Table 2. Brick (1.18 and 0.05 mg g^{-1}) had the maximum biofilm formation, followed by sand (0.68 and 0.04 mg g^{-1}), charcoal (0.67 and 0.02 mg g^{-1}), and gravel (0.31 and 0.01 mg g^{-1}) at depths ranging from 0 to 5 and 5 to 15 cm. The growth of biofilms diminished as depth increased. No biofilm formation was found between 15 and 30 cm.

Comment [186]: Figure for sand gravel....etc

The bacteria present in the environment often grow in the form of biofilm, called also the biological membranes. This type of structure is very useful and advantageous for bacterial cells, because it allows them to better adapt to changing environmental conditions. Biofilms are communities of single or multiple populations, which are embedded on some type of surface. Bacterial cells included in this structure produce extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) that surround them outside and protect against harmful external factors. The composition of EPS may also include various organic or inorganic ingredients, such as sand or plant remains. Biofilms are found in every type of environment, both natural and anthropogenic origin. Their development is conditioned by the presence of water, nutrients and oxygen (for aerobic bacteria).

Comment [I87]: Give a reference

The highest biofilm growth was observed on brick followed by sand, charcoal and gravel. Biofilm formation decreased with increasing depth. Our results clearly shows that high biofilm growth on surface rather than subsurface. No biofilm growth was noticed below 15 cm depth. Brick can be used in top layer which might increases the efficiency of constructed wetland in terms of nutrient removal and increasing the microbial population and our results clearly indicated that biofilm growth requires good aeration and sunlight with nutrients. The results are in confirmation with Zhang *et al.* (2023)

Comment [I88]: Results: Kindly make sure to include relevant statistics, P-values or Confidence Intervals

Table 2. Biofilm development on different filterbed materials after 120 days (in sole columns without hydrophytes)

Comment [I89]: []

Filterbed materials	Biofilm development (mg g ⁻¹) at different column depths (cm)		
	0-5	5-15	15-30
Brick	1.18	0.05	*
Sand	0.68	0.04	*
Charcoal	0.67	0.02	*
Gravel	0.31	0.01	*

Comment [I90]: We reformatted Table 2 and its title and Footnotes and placed it in the appropriate place in the text You have to apply this to other tables

Comment [I91]: Tables & figures should be placed inside the text.

Comment [I92]: Corrected title We Follow the journal's rules in table format and title

Comment [I93]: (ARIAL, BOLD, 10 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED

Comment [I94]: We corrected Notice format for Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript lowercase letters.

-Correct grammar like *Biofilm formation has not been observed at 15- 30 cm depth*

* Biofilm formation was not observed at 15- 30 cm depth

Plant growth parameters as influenced by filterbeds and hydrophytes in constructed wetland

Comment [I95]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.5

The diversity of the root system might result in linkages between specific root features and their functions. To acquire a complete image of the root system, several factors will be required. In this context, plant characteristics such as root length, root volume, root biomass, and shoot biomass of hydrophytes were measured.

Root length

Comment [I96]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.6

Filterbeds and hydrophytes have a substantial influence on root length. The longest root length was measured in a gravel bed with no sand component. This could be due to the increased pore space formed between the gravel material in the 'gravel' filterbed (Table 3).

Comment [I97]: No italic

All four hydrophytes were perennial monocots, however the root systems varied between species. Paragrass had much longer effective root lengths than phragmites (Plate 4). As a result, these two grasses eliminated the majority of the physicochemical elements of sewage effluent more effectively than the other two species.

Comment [I98]: Figure 4

Comment [I99]: Insert figure 4 here

Root volume

Comment [I100]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
3.7

The volume of a plant's rootzone governs its vegetative and reproductive development (Table 3). There was no substantial difference between filterbed treatments. Paragrass recorded the largest root volume. The root volume of canna was mostly owing to a rhizome-based root system rather than effective roots. Typha had a limited root volume due to

its short root length. Phragmites had a lower root volume than canna. However, phragmites' root systems were longer and more fibrous than rhizome-based root systems (Plate 4).

Comment [I101]: (Figure 4) instead of (Plate 4). Then insert figure 4 below

Root biomass and shoot biomass

Comment [I102]: Subheading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED) 3.8

Both filterbeds and hydrophytes had a considerable impact on root and shoot biomass production by hydrophytes. The highest root and shoot biomass was found in filterbed 'gravel-sand-brick-gravel'. It could be attributed to increased nitrogen intake, which results in increased removal from effluent. Paragrass showed the highest shoot and root biomass, followed by canna and phragmites. Canna's increased root mass was primarily related to rhizome weight, making it less effective in boosting the quality of use. Whereas paragrass and phragmites had increased root biomass due to their fibrous root systems, which led to their better efficiency in increasing USE quality (Table 3).

Comment [I103]: No italic

Conclusion:

Comment [I104]: Kindly make sure to include relevant statistics here P-values or Confidence Intervals. Do not just say "there were differences between the groups"

Paragrass had the highest recorded values for root length, root volume, root biomass, and shoot biomass, whereas phragmites and typha had the lowest. The 'gravel' filterbed showed higher dehydrogenase activity, phosphatase in 'gravel-sand-gravel', and urease in 'gravel-sand-charcoal-gravel'; on the other hand, phragmites showed higher urease and dehydrogenase activities. At a depth of 0–5 cm, brick material exhibited a significantly greater rate of biofilm growth among the filterbed materials. Beyond 15 cm of depth, no biofilm formation was seen, and biofilm growth decreased with depth.

Comment [I105]: The **Conclusions** should not repeat the discussion and results, but provide the significance of the findings of the work.

Comment [I106]: Heading (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED) 4. Conclusion

Comment [I107]: You didn't mention in the abstract

Comment [I108]: REFERENCES

Comment [I109]: References format is incorrect.

References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].

REFERENCES:

Beściak, G. and Surmacz, G. J., 2011, *Biofilm as a basic life form of bacteria*. Proceedings of a Polish-Swedish-Ukrainian Seminar, Krakow, (<https://www.kth.se/polopolyfs/1.651085!/JPSU17P13.pdf>).

Comment [I110]: References are not sufficient for the study. The research must be supported by recent references

Comment [I111]: Reference format is incorrect, follow *For Published paper*
1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. ClinExp Allergy. 2002; 32(4):489-98.

- Casida, L., Klein, D. and Santoro, T., 1964, Soil dehydrogenase activity. *Soil Sci.*, **98**: 371-376.
- Evazi, Z. and Tabatabai, M. A., 1979, Phosphatase in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **9**: 167-172.
- Gagnon, V., Chazarenc, F., Comeau, Y. and Brisson, J., 2007, Influence of macrophyte species on microbial density and activity in constructed wetlands. *Water Sci. Tech.*, **56**(3): 249-254.
- Johnson, B., 2019, Effects of hydrophyte species on dehydrogenase activity in constructed wetlands. *Ecol. Eng.*, **138**, 128-135.
- Kong, L., Wang, Y., Zhao, L. and Chen, Z., 2009, Enzyme and root activities in surface-flow constructed wetlands. *Chemosphere*, **76**: 601-608.
- Smith, A., 2020, Influence of filter media on dehydrogenase enzyme activity in a pilot-scale constructed wetland treating domestic wastewater. *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, **231**(1), 1-11.
- Tabatabai, M. A., and Bremner, J. M., 1972, Assay of urease activity in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **4**: 479-487.
- Zhang, R., Liu, X., Wang, L., Pan Xu, Kai Li, Xiaoxiao Chen, RongMeng, YuewuPu, Xuetong Yang, Diederik P.L. Rousseau and Stijn W.H. Van Hulle, 2023, Combining a novel biofilmreactor with a constructed wetland for rural, decentralized wastewater treatment. *J. Chem. Eng.*, **455**(2): e140906.

Table 1. Enzymatic activities in the rhizosphere soil as influenced by filterbeds and hydrophytes in the constructed wetland at 120 DAS

Treatments	Dehydrogenase ($\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$)					Phosphatase ($\mu\text{g P-NP g}^{-1}\text{hr}^{-1}$)					Urease ($\mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$)				
	Typha	Paragrass	Canna	Phragmites	Mean	Typha	Paragrass	Canna	Phragmites	Mean	Typha	Paragrass	Canna	Phragmites	Mean
Hydrophytes															
Filterbeds															
Gravel	4.31	24.63	30.29	81.98	35.30^a	34.72	48.43	67.61	53.91	51.17^c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25^d
Gravel-Sand-Gravel	21.54	14.00	33.92	7.27	19.18^c	46.60	58.48	196.44	72.18	93.43^a	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50^b
Gavel-Sand-Brick-Gravel	7.67	5.92	30.56	9.96	13.53^d	39.29	47.51	51.17	63.05	50.25^c	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.75	0.44^e
Gravel-Sand-Charcoal-Gravel	4.04	16.29	13.60	17.63	12.89^d	95.94	94.11	38.38	46.60	68.76^b	0.75	0.75	0.99	0.50	0.75^a
Gravel-Sand-(Charcoal+Brick)-Gravel	63.27	24.37	3.63	17.77	27.26^b	49.34	21.93	19.19	26.50	29.24^d	0.50	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.44^e
Mean	20.17^c	17.04^d	22.40^b	26.92^a		53.18^b	54.09^b	74.56^a	52.45^b		0.50^a	0.45^b	0.45^b	0.50^a	
	S. Em. \pm		C. D. (P=0.05)			S. Em. \pm		C. D. (P=0.05)			S. Em. \pm		C. D. (P=0.05)		
Filterbeds	0.40		1.15			0.49		1.41			0.01		0.03		
Hydrophytes	0.36		1.02			0.44		1.26			0.01		0.03		
Filterbeds \times Hydrophytes	0.80		2.29			0.98		2.83			0.02		0.06		

(FB-1: gravel, FB-2: gravel-sand-gravel, FB-3: gavel-sand-brick-gravel, FB-4: gravel-sand-charcoal-gravel and FB-5: gravel-sand-(charcoal+brick)-gravel) and four macrophytes (MP-1: Typhalatifolia, MP-2: Brachiariumutica, MP-3: Canna indica and MP-4: Phragmites sp.)

*Mean \pm S.E.M = Mean values \pm Standard error of means

Comment [I112]: It is preferable to insert table 1 after Urease paragraph. Follow the journal's rules in table format and title

Comment [I113]: Tables & figures should be placed inside the text.

Comment [I114]: Replace with abbreviation MP-1

Comment [I115]: ???
It does not correspond to the word MP-2: Brachiariumutica as you mentioned in previous abstract!

Comment [I116]: Replace the word with the abbreviation you mentioned in the abstract MP-3

Comment [I117]: MP-4

Comment [I118]: Replace gravel with abbreviation FB 1

Comment [I119]: FB-2

Comment [I120]: FB-3

Comment [I121]: FB-4

Comment [I122]: FB-5

Comment [I123]: What is this?
If it is Mean \pm S.E.M = Mean values \pm Standard error of means. Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript lowercase letters

Comment [I124]: P is always italicized and capitalized

Comment [I125]: Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript

Comment [I126]: Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript

Table 2. Biofilm development on different filterbed materials after 120 days (in sole columns without hydrophytes)

Filterbed materials	Biofilm development (mg g ⁻¹) at differentcolumn depths (cm)		
	0-5	5-15	15-30
Brick	1.18	0.05	*
Sand	0.68	0.04	*
Charcoal	0.67	0.02	*
Gravel	0.31	0.01	*

* Biofilm formation was not observed at 15- 30 cm depth

Comment [I127]: Tables & figures should be placed inside the text.

Comment [I128]: Follow the journal's rules in table format and title

Comment [I129]: (ARIAL, BOLD, 10 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED

Table 3. Plant growth parameters as influenced by different filterbeds and hydrophytes in the constructed wetland

Treatments	Root length (cm)					Root volume (cm ³)				
	Typha	Paragrass	Canna	Phragmites	Mean	Typha	Paragrass	Canna	Phragmites	Mean
Gravel	28.80	71.67	29.00	62.33	47.95 ^a	331	622	414	350	429
Gravel -Sand-Gravel	18.63	42.00	28.93	45.00	33.64 ^d	305	384	527	369	396
Gavel-Sand-Brick-Gravel	19.33	89.00	30.33	49.00	46.92 ^{ab}	193	840	429	293	439
Gravel-Sand-Charcoal-Gravel	26.67	70.83	31.17	47.67	44.08 ^{bc}	177	444	418	294	333
Gravel-Sand-(Charcoal+Brick)-Gravel	26.20	94.33	33.67	28.33	45.64 ^b	177	606	373	309	366
Mean	23.93 ^d	73.57 ^a	30.62 ^c	46.47 ^b		236 ^c	579 ^a	432 ^{ab}	323 ^{bc}	
	S. Em. ±		C. D. (P=0.05)			S. Em. ±		C. D. (P=0.05)		
Filterbeds	0.69		1.98			42.95		NS		
Hydrophytes	0.62		1.77			110.2		38.41		
Filterbeds × Hydrophytes	1.38		3.96			85.90		NS		
	Root biomass (g)					Shoot biomass (g)				
Gravel	8.47	20.47	7.10	8.23	11.07 ^b	27.29	98.96	45.87	48.79	55.23 ^b
Gravel -Sand-Gravel	8.20	9.83	7.57	8.43	8.51 ^b	40.08	141.74	60.29	65.69	76.95 ^{ab}
Gavel-Sand-Brick-Gravel	12.47	33.43	26.63	9.27	20.45 ^a	26.75	146.87	78.83	84.73	84.30 ^a
Gravel-Sand-Charcoal-Gravel	6.47	17.80	11.23	9.10	11.15 ^b	24.35	146.49	68.29	65.43	76.14 ^{ab}
Gravel-Sand-(Charcoal+Brick)-Gravel	3.63	33.97	8.20	6.73	13.13 ^b	39.23	119.47	61.75	53.43	68.47 ^{ab}
Mean	7.85 ^b	23.10 ^a	12.15 ^b	8.35 ^b		31.54 ^c	130.71 ^a	63.01 ^b	63.61 ^b	
	S. Em. ±		C. D. (P=0.05)			S. Em. ±		C. D. (P=0.05)		
Filterbeds	1.42		4.09			6.24		17.90		
Hydrophytes	1.27		3.66			5.58		16.01		
Filterbeds × Hydrophytes	2.85		8.18			12.49		NS		

NS-Non significant

Comment [I130]: Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Follow the journal's rules in table format and title. Use abbreviations as in Table 1

Comment [I131]: P values should not be listed as not significant (NS) since for meta-analysis, the actual values are important and not providing exact P values is a form of incomplete reporting

Comment [I132]: P values should not be listed as not significant (NS)

Comment [I133]: *NS-Non significant



Plate 1. General view of experimental set-up



a) Gravel



b) Sand



Comment [I134]: Figure legends should be given below the figures. (ARIAL, BOLD, 10 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)

Figures preferable placed inside the text

A sample figure is given in figure 1.



Figure1. General view of experimental set-up

c) Brick

d) Charcoal

Plate 2. Filterbed materials used in constructed wetland system

Comment [1135]: Follow the journal's rules in Figures format and title. Figures preferable be placed inside the text.

Follow the journal's rules in figures format and title

Figure 2. Filterbed materials used in constructed wetland system

UNDER PEER REVIEW



a) *Typha latifolia* (Typha)



b) *Brachiaria mutica* (Paragrass)



c) *Canna indica* (Canna)

d) *Phragmites* spp. (Phragmites)

Comment [I136]: You should Correct format

Plate 3. Hydrophytes used in constructed wetland system

UNDER PEER REVIEW



a) Phragmites b) Typha c) Canna d) Paragrass

Comment [I137]:

Plate 4. Root growth of hydrophytes in the constructed wetland system

Comment [I138]: Fig.4.(ARIAL, BOLD, 10 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED



Plate 4. Root growth of hydrophytes in the constructed wetland system

*a) *Phragmites*, b) *Typha*, c) *Canna*, d) *Paragrass*

Comment [I139]: Fig.4.(ARIAL, BOLD, 10 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED)
Fig.4.Root growth of hydrophytes in the constructed wetland system

Comment [I140]: Put fig. 4 inside the manuscript