

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_114233
Title of the Manuscript:	Enzymatic activities in the rhizosphere soil as influenced by filterbeds and hydrophytes in the vertically constructed wetland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: Include relevant statistics, P-values or Confidence Intervals. Do not just say "there were differences between the groups" - The introduction The introduction is free of citations! It is preferable to mention previous local studies in the country of study. Provide a factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done. Summarize the methods in the introduction and mention recent local or international studies in this field. - Subsections and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate enough, methods known as "constructed wetland, hydrophytes, and filterbed materials you mentioned in the introduction must be transferred to materials and methods section, with appropriate numbering figures, and placed after explaining each method. - Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. We reformatted Table 2 and its title and Footnotes and placed it in the appropriate place in the text you should apply this to other tables. - The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. - Citations should be given in support of the findings. - Results: Kindly make sure to include relevant statistics, P-values or Confidence Intervals. - The Conclusion should not repeat the discussion and results, but provide the significance of the findings of the work. - References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. References format is incorrect! All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: For Published paper: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002; 32(4):489-98. - References are not sufficient for the study. The research must be supported by recent references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>We reformatted Table 2 and its title and placed it in the appropriate place in the text You have to apply this to other tables. We reformatted figure 4, you should apply the same for the others - You can place the figures and pictures in the materials and methods section or in the results section after making the appropriate formats for them, as we did with image 4</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anje Amin Mahmoud
Department, University & Country	Tishreen University, Syria