

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_114729</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ballots and Padlocks: Building Digital Trust and Security in Democracy through Information Governance Strategies and Blockchain Technologies.</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is crucial for the scientific community. Main aim of this article is to share their findings, allowing for peer review, knowledge dissemination, and advancing scientific progress. Through publication, scientists build upon existing research and contribute to a collective body of knowledge.</p> <p>2. Yes Suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is concise and comprehensive. It summarizes the research question, methodology, main findings, and conclusions of the article.</p> <p>4. Formatting of subsection and structure need some changes. Please check again and do correct formatting.</p> <p>5. Manuscript Is almost correct, if some more research contribution is included then can go for possible publication.</p> <p>6. yes, references are sufficient.</p> <p>Number of pages is okay, but lots of gap between paragraphs, Needs editing corrections.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language of article is good, only some grammatical errors are there. Please check and remove these errors.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Priyanka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ch. Bansilal University, India</b>