

Review Form 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchinComputerScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRCOS_114729
TitleoftheManuscript:	BallotsandPadlocks:BuildingDigitalTrustandSecurityinDemocracythroughInformationGovernanceStrategiesandBlockchainTechnologies.
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Isthe manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Aresubsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Doyou think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript on digital trust and security in democracy is important for the scientific community due to its comprehensive research methods involving data collection from 934 respondents across diverse professional roles and geographic locations, providing valuable insights into digital trust and security in democratic processes.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Digital Trust and Security in Democracy: A Comprehensive Study," is suitable as it effectively reflects the focus and depth of the research. An alternative title could be "Examining Digital Trust and Security in Democratic Processes: A Multifaceted Analysis."</p> <p>The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive as it outlines the research methods, sampling techniques, and the conceptual framework used to measure variables, setting a strong foundation for the study.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate, with clear delineation of research methods, variables studied, and analyses conducted, ensuring a logical flow of information.</p> <p>Based on the provided excerpts, the manuscript appears scientifically accurate, employing established statistical techniques like Structural Equation Modelling and Confirmatory Factor Analysis, ensuring the reliability and validity of the measurement scales used.</p> <p>Regarding the references, the manuscript includes recent sources that are relevant to the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Isthe language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, but there are a few typos, please upload to google doc to see them.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	Suggestions for additional references include: S. AlGhamdi, A/Prof. K. T. Win, and Dr. E. Vlahu Gjorgievska, "Information Security Governance Challenges and Critical Success Factors: Systematic Review," <i>Computers & Security</i> , 2020. R. Pansara, "Unraveling the Complexities of Data Governance with Strategies, Challenges, and Future Directions," <i>Transactions on Latest Trends in IoT</i> , 2023. T. O. Oladoyinbo et al., "Evaluating and Establishing Baseline Security Requirements in Cloud Computing: An Enterprise Risk Management Approach," <i>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</i> , 2024.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavel Fedotov
Department, University & Country	Business School, University of Manchester, United Kingdom