

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_113686
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical Parameters and Bacteriological Quality Assessment of some Domestic Water Sources in Pankshin LGA of Plateau State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Overall, the report effectively explains the study's relevance, methodologies, findings, and repercussions, making it relevant to scientists working on water quality and public health concerns. However, it is advisable to take benefit of his study findings.</p> <p>2. In general the title properly encapsulates the study's primary characteristics, making it appropriate for captivating readers while correctly portraying the article's substance.</p> <p>3. The abstract accurately summarizes the study's essential components, but it might be enhanced by include more background information, methodological details, result interpretation, and recommendations. This will help readers understand the significance of the research and its implications for addressing water quality concerns in the study region and beyond.</p> <p>4. The manuscript part adheres to scientific ideas. The study is structured into four sections: introduction, materials and methods, findings and discussion, and conclusions. Detailing the statistical approaches used, equipment specs, and sample processing is crucial.</p> <p>5. The study aligns with the research objectives by taking a comprehensive and rigorous scientific method to gather answers.</p> <p>6. The bibliography lists 33 references, however there are 34 in the manuscript. Some references have not been written.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript's English might use some improvement if it is intended to be read by a more diverse audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaenal Arifin Siregar
Department, University & Country	Research Center for Marine and Land Bioindustry, Indonesia