

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109799
Title of the Manuscript:	“ANALYZING TEACHERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS INTEGRATING TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING AND LEARNING”
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)													
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The researcher/author tried to analyse teachers' attitude towards integrating technology in teaching and learning.</p> <p>Yes. Title is just, apt and appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes. Sub-sections and structure of the manuscripts are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically and technically correct.</p> <p>No. References are NOT sufficient. Most of the references does not have ISSN No. (References 1 to 5). Overall, references are not in consonance with APA 6/7th Edition. Recent references have not been mentioned.</p>														
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language used in manuscript is lucid, simple and easy to understand and technically correct.</p>														
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>(i). The language used in manuscript is lucid, simple and easy to understand but a few SPACING and irrelevant letters/words problems in "Introduction" (e.g. therequirement, thesetechnology, assame) & of.0 in Review of Literature etc.)</p> <p>(ii). Tabe 1 in Design columns/rows are erratic . e.g</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1071 1266 1546 1381"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number of School</th> <th>Percentage of School</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Highly Favorable</td> <td>42 Teachers 13.82 Teachers</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(iii). Table 2 in Design z-value (Or some other value) word is not mentioned. It is blank. e.g.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1071 1434 1279 1528"> <thead> <tr> <th>SE</th> <th>z' -</th> <th>Re</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>val</td> <td>mar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ue</td> <td>k</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(iv). Finite verb used in Review of Literature must be past tense. (e.g. sometimes used in present tense and sometime past tense).</p> <p>(v). Suggested Minor revision.</p>	Number of School	Percentage of School	Highly Favorable	42 Teachers 13.82 Teachers	SE	z' -	Re	D	val	mar	ue	k		
Number of School	Percentage of School														
Highly Favorable	42 Teachers 13.82 Teachers														
SE	z' -	Re													
D	val	mar													
ue	k														

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Kumar Baskey
Department, University & Country	Rabindra Bharati University, India