

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_114368
Title of the Manuscript:	SOLANI PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT PLANT EXTRACTS ON ASPERGILLUS NIGER AND FUSARIUM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It's very important since the manuscript showed pesticide activity of medicinal plants under the study. Such plants will help in maintain the ecology of our environment.</li> <li>2. No, it can be "SOLANI PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT PLANT EXTRACTS and their inhibitory effects ON ASPERGILLUS NIGER AND FUSARIUM"</li> <li>3. Yes</li> <li>4. The subsections are correct; However, the introduction is lacking the organization manner of background, problem statement, gaps in previous knowledge and how the paper will fill it, literature reviews, and aim. Also, the discussion section is developed poorly with little evidence were presented. More previous studies whose supporting the study's findings are needed.</li> <li>5. Yes.</li> <li>6. No, many references were missing.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The whole manuscript is in need to be checked Grammarly in one of AI available programs.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zahraa Kamaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Zahraa University for Women, Iraq</b>