

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113936
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints of Student Ready Programme in State Agricultural Universities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article seems to be related to the on-site training of students studying agriculture-related fields in universities of India. Even if the country is huge and exemplary for agricultural activities, the objective of the research is not clear. Therefore, it seemed to be local and just measures responses of students to the programme. Current version fits to the paper. The abstract includes information on the constraints that students faced, or we can call these as the findings. However, how these findings are related to policy development or any other field of agriculture? The research has been reflected in the structure of the manuscript. There is no significant methodology. Just argumentative responses of students were provided and written. For such research, the need for referencing is also limited. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The manuscript needs to be restructured, analysed, written, presented from the beginning.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This student work can be modified and submitted to a journal that is more related to education and training activities.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmiye Figen Ceylan
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey, Rutgers the State University of New Jersey, USA