

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113936
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints of Student Ready Programme in State Agricultural Universities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscripts can be important for the scientific community as they often contain new research findings, methods, and analyses that contribute to the advancement of knowledge in a particular field.</p> <p>2. Suitable.</p> <p>3. The author should more clearly explain the importance and relevance of his research and foresee the possible benefits of this research.</p> <p>4. It is not clear what the purpose of this research is and why exactly these methods were chosen for scientific analysis. I think that the sample of the study should be justified.</p> <p>5. I would recommend expanding the conclusions section.</p> <p>6. In my opinion, authors should insert new and relevant sources.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	<p>There are no ethical issues in this manuscript</p>

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dalia Perkumienė
Department, University & Country	Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania