

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114300
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the application of TOPSIS method in EPC risk assessment
Type of the Article	Methods

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. But major changes are required in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. No. Need to provide recent TOPSIS methods details.</p> <p>4. No. TOPSIS, ANP with TOPSIS and Fuzzy ANP TOPSIS and Fuzzy TOPSIS are available.</p> <p>5. No.</p> <p>6. i. There is no comparison to claim G1 TOPSIS with other methods. Authors G1 method wants to verify with the existing methods and with the results obtain needs to declare the G1 TOPSIS is best.</p> <p>ii. Recent TOPSIS methods are not available in the manuscript.</p> <p>iii. Reference are limited up to 2013.</p> <p>iv. To strengthen the article, authors must collect the case studies or examples from the literature survey and needs to take the data from it. The data validation is compulsory to prove the new method is superior to existing one.</p> <p>v. Result and discussion is important title for every journal.</p> <p>vi. Decision makers questionnaire system is mandatory to collect the quality data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fine.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Needs to restructure the manuscript with above six comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	L. Anojkumar
Department, University & Country	Jansons Institute of Technology, India