

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114222
Title of the Manuscript:	LONG-TERM LOAD FORECASTING FOR OPTIMAL POWER SYSTEM PLANNING AND DECISION-MAKING
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. Long term load forecasting is helpful for planning and extension of power system network. 2. I do believe that the title of the article is suitable with content. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as per my observation. 4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate are correct. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as per my observation. 6. The references are recent only, but there should be more references in literature review. 7. Provide better keywords which will indicate the contribution of the paper. 8. Mention the reference number along with first author instead of year of publication. 9. Add more papers in the literature review. Provide a summary of all literatures. 10. The research gaps in existing papers and the contribution of this paper should be clearly mentioned. 11. Provide references for the equations used in the paper. 12. Provide a comparison of performance between existing methods and the proposed method. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Needs little improvement.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include other error measurements like MAPE etc. 2. Provide images with better resolution. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pritam Das
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology, India