

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114606
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling and Forecasting of Onion prices in Bangalore market of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript holds significance for the scientific community due to its comprehensive analysis of various statistical techniques in modeling time series data for onion price forecasting. By providing actionable insights for farmers and stakeholders, it contributes to the field of agricultural economics and forecasting</p> <p>The title of the article effectively communicates the manuscript's focus on time series analysis for onion price forecasting. However, to enhance clarity and specificity, a suggested alternative title could be "A Comparative Analysis of Time Series Models for Onion Price Forecasting: Insights for Agricultural Economics."</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive, succinctly summarizing the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. It effectively conveys the essence of the research to readers, enabling them to grasp the study's significance and scope.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate, allowing for logical flow and organization of the research findings. However, minor adjustments to improve readability or coherence may be considered based on reviewer feedback or editorial recommendations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript appears scientifically sound, as it employs established statistical techniques and provides empirical evidence to support its findings. However, a thorough peer review process may further validate the accuracy and reliability of the research outcomes.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The references provided seem sufficient for supporting the manuscript's claims and methodologies. However, to ensure comprehensiveness and currency, it is advisable to include recent scholarly works relevant to time series analysis, forecasting methodologies, and agricultural economics. Suggestions for additional references could include recent publications in reputable journals or seminal works in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Ok good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Try to improve technicality	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D Jayanarayana Reddy
Department, University & Country	G Pullaiah College of Engineering and Technology, India