

**CHARACTERIZING THE AGRO-MORPHOLOGICAL DIVERSITY OF CORCHORUS OLITORIUSL ACCESIONS IN BOTSWANA.**

---

ABSTRACT

**Aim:**To evaluate the genetic diversity of the local accessions and foreign accessions of ~~these~~ ~~this~~ important crop.

**Study design:**The experiment was laid in a Random Complete Block Design (RCBD).

**Place and duration of the study:** The pot experiment was carried in a greenhouse at the Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, BUAN, Botswana. ~~This Study was done~~ ~~conducted from during~~ January ~~until~~ May 2022.

**Methodology:** The 49 accessions planted in plastic bags were replicated three times. ~~In~~ ~~Each~~ pot ~~was planted~~ four seeds ~~sown~~ and after emergence ~~they~~ were thinned to two plants per pot. The morphological characteristics were measured and recorded based on quantitative and qualitative traits following the International Board of Plant Genetic Resources (IPBGR) descriptors for *Corchorus* spp.

**Results:** Results ~~recorded~~ from ~~the~~ analysis of variance, simple correlation and multivariate analysis demonstrated a high variation among the studied accessions. Accessions such as Bafia, Aziga, ExCameroon, Local big leaves, TOT6684, MLJM4, MLJM5, SUD2, SUD3 had the highest fresh leaf biomass compared to other accessions and could be used as potential parental lines for improvement ~~of in~~ leaf yield. Amongst the studied accessions, Delele2, Delele3, Panda and Panda1 (all from Botswana) had few numbers of days to 50% flowering, therefore can be selected for early maturity, a mechanism that most of plants used ~~d~~ to escape the abiotic stress. Significant correlation between the leaf yield and related attributes indicated the potential accessions to use for foliage yield improvement. The principal component analysis results revealed that variations ~~observed~~ in the accessions and the cluster analysis grouped the accessions based on the morphological characters similarities with limited extent on their geographical origin.

**Conclusions:** Significant variations were found among all the accessions used in this experiment for the studied morphological characters indicating the extent of genetic variability present among them. Irrespective of origins all the traits showed more diversity in the studied accessions.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

*Keywords: Botswana, multivariate analysis, variability, leaf yield, seed yield, early maturity*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*Corchorus* species commonly referred to as Jute belongs to the family Tiliaceae. The genus contains ~~forty 40~~ species throughout the tropics and ~~of the forty species, out of these, thirty 30~~ species are found in Africa and ~~four 4~~ species in Nigeria [1,2]. It is commonly ~~known by different names i.e.~~ Egyptian spinach, bush okra, Jew's mallow, and jute while the local name in Botswana is Delele. *Corchorus* species have been reported to be extremely variable morphologically, especially in the vegetative parts like leaves [2]. The genus *Corchorus* is comprised of annual or short-lived perennial herbs and shrubs with many agriculturally useful species [3]. It is a multi-purposed plant used as ~~a~~ source of fiber as well as for medicinal purpose in many parts of the world and most importantly as an indigenous leafy vegetable [28].

Indigenous leafy vegetables form ~~are as~~ an important source of food in both urban and rural areas, their utilization is, however, limited by low yields [4, 28]. *Corchorus* species (Jew's mallow) like other African leafy vegetables, serves as an affordable source of good quality nutrition for people in rural and urban areas [4]. Nutritionally, *Corchorusolitorius* leaves are rich in beta-carotene, iron, calcium, vitamin C, A, E, proteins, sodium, and folic acid [5]. The leaves produce mucilage when cooked, a feature that enables it to be used in sauces to accompany starchy foods [3]. Jew's mallow is increasingly recognized as a possible contributor of micronutrients and bioactive compounds [6]. The leaves possess an abundance of antioxidant compounds associated with diuretic, antimicrobial, antitumor, antiobesity and gastroprotective properties [7]. Research has shown that roots, barks, leaves, and seeds, of *Corchorusolitorius* contain flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, fatty acids, triterpenoids, polysaccharides and phenolics [8,5,6].

Previous studies confirmed the different benefits of Jew's mallow and is reported to be of high socio-economic benefit in some countries that are cultivating it. [9]. High genetic variability that enables it to be cultivated in different parts of the world has been reported [10]. Therefore, efforts must be channeled towards selection of promising genotypes adapted to the local climatic conditions and involve them in programs for breeding improvement of appealing or attractive characters [11]. One important characteristic that facilitates production is the ability of the available genotypes to produce increased amounts of biomass and leaves as well as increased seed yield for its continual propagation [12].

Genetic diversity assessment is important in the selection of cultivars for plant improvement because the estimates of genetic similarities and distances among genotypes are needed to select parent plants to be used in breeding programs [12]. Selection of genotypes for breeding programs, the initial description and classification of germplasm is used for characterization [13]. Morphological traits are the first genetic markers used in germplasm characterization despite having some limitations. They provide a simple way of quantifying the genetic differences while assessing performance of genotype under normal growing environment [14, 31]. The initial step towards crop improvement and domestication is characterization of genetic diversity among accessions of different germplasms by using phenotypic traits.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Domestication of *Corchorusolitorius* can complement its introduction as a vegetable in agriculture since vegetable production is a principal undertaking in Botswana. In the area of vegetable production, ~~therefore~~ there is ~~therefore~~ a need to incorporate climate change resilient crops into available arable production to provide an alternative source of leafy vegetable for the poor rural communities in Botswana. *Corchorusolitorius* is a potential crop for this purpose as it flourishes with the first rains in marginal lands or within cultivated crops as volunteer plants. Despite this potential, very little research has been done in Botswana on ~~the this~~ crop, and there is little documentation on aspects like the genotype diversity in terms of morphological characteristics ~~in Botswana~~. Thus, characterization of available accessions to document the important agro-morphological information that will guide acceptance, breeding, cultivation, and consumption of the crop is needed hence this study. The objective of the study is to assess the agro-morphological divergence of 49 accessions of *Corchorusolitorius*.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental site

The pot experiment was carried in a greenhouse at the Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, BUAN, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Botswana. The soil used was well drained sandy loam.

### 2.2 Plant material.

The *Corchorusolitorius* accessions (49) used were sourced from the Botswana National Genetic Resource Centre (BNGRC) (9), Botswana and the World Vegetable Centre, Regional Gene Bank, Tanzania (40). Seeds were ~~planted sown~~ in 5L plastic pots in the greenhouse. Seeds underwent dormancy relief by soaking in hot water at 90°C for 5 minutes [15]. They were then air dried and planted immediately. ~~The Anpassport~~ information of the accessions under study is ~~outlined given~~ in the Table 1-below:

**Table 1.** List of *Corchorus* accessions and their country of origin

ACCESSION	COUNTRY OF ORIGIN	ACCESSION	COUNTRY OF ORIGIN
TOT 4316	Bangladesh	TOT 6683	Philippines
TOT 4713	Bangladesh	TOT 6684	Philippines
TOT 4721	Bangladesh	SUD1	Sudan
TOT 4670	Bangladesh	SUD2	Sudan
AZIGA	Cameroon	SUD3	Sudan
BAFIA	Cameroon	SUD4	Sudan
EXCAMEROON	Cameroon	ES	Tanzania
TOT 6430	Cameroon	HS	Tanzania
TOT 5876	Japan	MIX	Uganda
IP1	Kenya	UG-JM-1	Uganda
IP 10	Kenya	UG-JM-2	Uganda
1P 2	Kenya	UG-JM-13	Uganda

IP 4	Kenya	TOT 4879	USA
IP 5	Kenya	TOT 6278	Vietnam
TOT 6426	Kenya	ExZIM	Zimbabwe
ExMALAWI	Malawi	MSB054	Botswana
GKK-10	Malawi	MSB072	Botswana
ML-JM-14	Malawi	MSB082	Botswana
ML-JM-12	Malawi	MSB546	Botswana
ML-JM-4	Malawi	DELELE1	Botswana
ML-JM-3	Malawi	DELELE2	Botswana
ML-JM-2	Malawi	DELELE3	Botswana
ML-JM-5	Malawi	PANDA	Botswana
ML-JM-13	Malawi	PANDA1	Botswana
LOCAL LEAVE	Mali		

Formatted Table

## 2.3 Experimental design and planting

The 49 accessions were planted in plastic bags and laid in a Random Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Blocking in the greenhouse was done against temperature (the wet wall side was cooler, and the temperature increased towards the extractor fans). Before planting, all pots were watered to field capacity. Four seeds were sown at 2cm depth because of their small size and watered thereafter. The seedlings were later thinned to two seedlings per pot two weeks after emergence. The plants were watered to field capacity thrice a week.

### 2.3.1 Agronomic practices

All crop management practices were carried out throughout the growing season in all the pots. These included weekly cultivation of the soil in the pots to improve soil drainage, irrigation was done after every 2 days, and the plants were foliar fed using Multi feed [19:8:16 (43)] that contains the following nutrients [N (193g/kg), P (83g/kg), K (158g/kg), S (6.1g/kg), Mg (4.6g/kg), Zn (700mg/kg), B (1054mg/kg), Mo (63mg/kg), Fe (751mg/kg), Mn (273mg/kg) and Cu (75mg/kg)]. The foliar feeding was done 3 weeks after planting to address the deficiency of both major and minor elements in the soil. Weeds were uprooted by hand pulled manually whenever observed as well as removed during cultivation.

### 2.4 Morphological data collection

The morphological characteristics were measured and recorded based on quantitative and qualitative traits following the International Board of Plant Genetic Resources (IPBGR) descriptors for *Corchorus* spp (AVRDC, Genetic Resources and seed unit, 2008). Morphological parameters were measured from seedling stage until maturity stage. The 19 quantitative and 13 qualitative morphological traits assessed are presented on Table 2.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 2.** List of the descriptors and their descriptions as per the IPBGR descriptors for *Corchorus*spp (2008)

Character/variable	Description/measurement
1. Plant height (PH)	Height of the plant measured at ground surface at 50% flowering.
2. Fresh leaves	Weight of the fresh leaves after every harvest (g)
3. Leaf length (LL)	Leaf blade length excluding petiole length (cm)
4. Leaf width (LW)	Mature leaf width measured at widest point (cm)
5. Leaf length-width ratio (LWR)	The ratio of leaf length to leaf width.
6. Petiole length (PL)	Length of leaf stalk (cm)
7. Days to 50% flowering (50 FLR)	Number of days from sowing to 50% flowering
8. Number of primary branches (PB)	Number of branches from main stem
9. Number of secondary branches (SB)	Number of branches from the secondary stem
10. Plant canopy (PC)	Plant width taken at widest point (cm)
11. Flower diameter (FD)	The width of an open flower (mm)
12. Pedicel length (PEDL)	The stalk of the flower (mm)
13. Fruit length (FL)	Length of mature fruit excluding the pedicel (mm or cm)
14. Days to first mature pods (DMP)	Number of days from sowing to first mature pod
15. Number of leaves (NL)	Counted from individual plant during flowering.
16. Biomass yield (BY)	Total weight of the plant above the ground surface (g)
17. Number of pods/plant (NPP)	Counted from individual plant at maturity stage.
18. Weight of 1000 seeds (W1000S)	Measured in weighing balance after counting (g).
19. Seeds per pod (SP)	Counted from individual pod.
20. Stem colour (ST)	1: Light green, 2: green, 3: purplish green
21. Leaf colour (LC)	1: Light green, 2: green, 3: dark green, 4: purple, 5: d/ppl
22. Leaf lobe (LL)	0: Absent, 1: present
23. Setae (S)	1: Small, 2: large
24. Leaf shape (LS)	1: Ovate, 2: elliptical, 3: cordate, 4: palmate
25. Leaf base (LB)	1: Rounded, 2: sagittate, 3: acute
26. Leaf apex (LA)	1: Acuminate, 2: caudate, 3: acute, 4: palmate
27 Leaf margin (LM)	1: Coarsely serrate, 2: cleft, 3: double serrate, 4: finely serrate, 5: crenate
28 Stem pattern (SP)	
29. Stipule colour (SC)	1: erect, 2: semi-erect
30. Petiole colour (PETC)	1: Green, 2: green stipule with dark red base, 3: light purple
31 Fruit shape (FS)	1: Green, 2: green with dark red base, 3: purple
32. Fruit colour (FRC)	1: Globule, 2: long pod, 3: round pod
	1: Pale brown, 2: brown, 3: brown

## 2.5 Data analysis

### 2.5.1 Agro -morphological data analysis

The data collected was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software version 9.1 program [29]. Treatment means were separated using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at  $P = 0.05$ . A simple description by analyzing frequency or proportion of different variables shown by the studied accessions was performed for the qualitative data. Principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis were performed and used to discriminate as well as to group the 49 accessions. The PCA was performed using the mean value of each quantitative trait for each accession using SAS software [30]. The cluster analysis using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean UPGMA was carried out for all the measured quantitative and observed qualitative traits to generate dendrogram of the studied accessions based on their phenotypic relationship using PAST3 software 2.17 with some modifications. Pearson's correlation coefficients were used to decide on the relationship between the traits. Descriptive statistical measures of mean and coefficient of variation were used to estimate variability amongst the quantitative traits of *Corchorusolitorius*.

Formatted: Font: Italic

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 RESULTS

#### 3.1.1. Qualitative morphological characters

During the vegetative growth stage, light green stem was dominant (34.69%) followed by light brown (30.62%), green (24.49%) and only a few (10.20%) had purple coloured stem (**Table 3**). The purple-coloured stem comprised of TOT6278, TOT4713, Panda, Panda1 and Delele3 accessions. Two different leaf colours were observed as green and dark green. The green coloured leaves occurred frequently (77.55%) compared to the dark green coloured (22.45%). The presence of leaf lobe was only observed in 14.29% of the accessions including Big local leaves, EXMalawi, TOT4670, ML-JM2, ML-JM4, MI-JM3 and UG-JM1. These accessions with leaf lobes were characterized by palmate leaf shape and the leaf margins which are finely serrated. The remaining 85.71% accessions had no leaf lobes. Ovate, elliptical, palmate, and cordate leaf shapes were observed in 65.31%, 31.37%, 12.24% and 4.08 %, respectively. Only two accessions; TOT6684 and Big local leaves had the cordate leaf shape.

There was a little variation displayed by the stem pattern and the leaf margins, where 87.76% were erect stemmed while 12.24% was semi-erect and it included six accessions all from Botswana: Panda, Panda1, Delele1, Delelee2, Delele3 and MSB072. 65.30% of the observed accessions were finely serrated, 24.49% were coarsely serrated and only 10.50% were double serrated which comprised of ML-JM2, ML-JM3, ML-JM4 all from Malawi and TOT4670 accessions from Bangladesh. At maturity stage, 91.84% of the accessions were characterized by long fruit shape, and the remaining accessions (8.16%) had round (TOT4713 and TOT6278 accessions), and globule fruits (TOT6684 and Bafia accessions). Amongst these fruits, only 4.08% (TOT4713 and TOT6278

accessions) was characterized by dark brown coloured fruits while 95.92% were brown coloured at physiological maturity.

**Table 3.** Frequency distribution of some of the 13 qualitative morphological traits of Jew's mallow accessions under study.

CHARACTER	DESCRIPTORS	FREQUENCY %
<b>Stem colour</b>	green	24.49
	light/green	34.69
	purple	10.2
	light/brown	30.62
	green	77.55
<b>Leaf colour</b>	dark/green	22.45
	Absent	85.71
<b>Leaf lobe</b>	Present	14.29
<b>Leaf shape</b>	ovate	65.31
	elliptical	18.37
	cordate	4.08
	palmate	12.24
	round	8.17
<b>Leaf margin</b>	Double	10.2
	finely/serrate	65.3
	coarsely/serrate	24.49
<b>Stem pattern</b>	semi-erect	12.24
	Erect	87.76
<b>Fruit shape</b>	long	91.84
	Round	4.08
	Globule	4.08
<b>Fruit colour</b>	brown	95.92
	dark brown	4.08

### 3.1.2 Quantitative morphological traits

There was a significant variation in vegetative and reproductive characters. The results of the descriptive analysis (mean, minimum, maximum, coefficient of variance and standard deviation) were used to assess variation within each of the 21 measured traits (**Table4**). The fresh leaves mass

ranged from 6.97g to 41.49g per plant. There were the differences amongst the accessions on leaf shape, leaf size and the number of leaves produced by the different accessions under study. Similarly, the number of seeds per pod per plant exhibited a wide range 26.33 to 274.33 which may be attributed to the wide range of pod length per plant ranging 0.81cm to 9.24cm (**Table 4**). However, this wide range of the pod length per plant maybe attributed to the different pod shapes for the studied accessions. The wide range of 2 to 13 for primary branches and secondary branches per plant (0.67 to 4.67) was also observed, where different growth habits of the accessions were observed and some had bushy canopy with many primary and secondary branches, while others had small canopy with few primary and secondary branches. The number of days to 50% flowering varied from 53.33 days to 97 days. Similarly, the number of days to pod maturity range from 86.67 days to 133 days and was closely related with the number of days to 50% flowering. This places the accessions under study in two categories of early maturing and late maturing types. Other traits differed among the studied accessions showing significant variation amongst the accessions. These variables include leaf length width ratio, peduncle length and dry shoot weight. The traits' coefficient of variations was observed. Only five traits had coefficient variation more than 40%. These included primary branches at 46.10%, peduncle length at 44.33%, 1000 seeds mass at 41.94%, pod number per plant 78.28% and petiole length at 40.92%. Most traits had the coefficient of variation of <30%, with the dry shoot weight having as low as 7.25%. These coefficients of variance showed the variation of accessions in these traits.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of 19 morphological quantitative traits of Jew's mallow accessions.

CHARACTER	Mean ± SE	Mini	Maxi
fresh leaves	22.76 ± 1.17	6.94	41.59
dry leaves	5.23 ± 0.26	1.54	9.31
leaf number	212.15 ± 0.16	166.6	317.7
plant height	113.71 ± 1.64	56.67	169
leaf width	5.03 ± 0.16	1.86	7.81
leaf length	10.65 ± 0.29	5.37	17.86
LLW ratio	2.22 ± 0.07	1.3	3.64
petiole length	3.03 ± 0.18	0.81	9.24
pod number	16.67 ± 1.86	5.33	64.67
pod length	69.43 ± 2.46	11.33	101.8
seeds per pod	153.89 ± 6.65	26.33	274.33
1000 seeds mass	2.84 ± 0.15	0.57	5.76
penducle length	0.97 ± 0.06	0.1	1.87
flower diameter	12 ± 0.60	1.77	19.52
Days to 50% flowering	64.69 ± 1.29	53.33	97
Days to mature pod	140.55 ± 2.49	86.67	1333
Biomass yield	53.12 ± 0.55	44.76	60.64
primary branch	6.03 ± 0.40	2	13
secondary branch	2.61 ± 0.11	0.67	4.67

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

### 3.1.2.1 Yield and Yield components

Yield and yield components of *Corchorusolitorius* accessions are presented in **Table 5**. Number of leaves per plant was significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the studied accessions with the most prominent difference between TOT 6278 from Vietnam (317.7) and MLJM3 from Malawi (166.6). Fresh leaves weight varied significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the accessions with Bafia from Cameroon recording the highest weight (41.58g per plant) and Delele 2 from Botswana recording the lowest value (6.96g per plant). Plant height was significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the studied accessions with more variation between the accessions, highest values were recorded from IP1 (169cm) and ES (158cm) from Kenya and Tanzania respectively, while Delele2 (56.67cm) and Delele3 (59.33cm) and Panda (65cm) all from Botswana, recorded the lowest values amongst all the accessions under study. Significant differences ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) were also observed in number of pods per plant with Delele3 from Botswana recording the highest (64.67) while Mix from Tanzania and Bafia recorded the lowest of 6.33 and 5.3, respectively.

Bafia recorded the lowest number of primary branches per plant (2) and IP1 from Kenya recorded the highest (13). The total number of seeds per pod ranged from 26.33 to 274.33, TOT 4713 from Bangladesh recorded the lowest value of the total number of seeds per pod while Mix from Tanzania had the highest value. Significant differences ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) were also observed in 1000 seed weight with accession TOT 6278 from Vietnam recording the highest weight of 5.76mg while there was no significant difference between Delele2, Delele3, Panda1 and Panda all from Botswana, recording the lowest values ranging from 0.57-0.84mg. Furthermore, a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) on number of days to 50% flowering was observed between the accessions where the earliest flowering was observed in some of the accessions from Botswana, (i.e., Panda, Delele1, MSB072 and MSB054) just like HS from Tanzania and TOT4713 from Bangladesh with values ranging from 53.33-57.67days. The highest number of days to 50% flowering was observed in MLJM3, Aziga, ExCameroon, Bafia, SUD2 and SUD3 with values ranging between 76-97 days. Amongst ~~these accession~~ these accessions with high values, three are from Cameroon and two are from Sudan. The accessions with the high number of days to flowering may be classified as late maturing accessions while those with the lowest days to flowering may be classified as the early maturing type.

**Table 5.** Quantitative yield and yield contributing traits of Jew's mallow accessions.

ACC	Lfn	frsl	Height	Pribran	Pdn	s/pod	1000seds	Dysflw
SU3	240 <sup>bc</sup>	38.7 <sup>ab</sup>	135.33 <sup>a-d</sup>	3.33 <sup>e-g</sup>	7.67 <sup>gh</sup>	211.67 <sup>ad</sup>	2.52 <sup>c-j</sup>	76 <sup>c-f</sup>
TOT4713	345 <sup>ab</sup>	22.68 <sup>e-l</sup>	80.00 <sup>d-g</sup>	9 <sup>a-e</sup>	35 <sup>cd</sup>	26.33 <sup>k</sup>	3.74 <sup>b-f</sup>	59 <sup>k-p</sup>
TOT6278	371.7 <sup>a</sup>	15.02 <sup>kl</sup>	133.00 <sup>a-g</sup>	9.33 <sup>a-d</sup>	50.67 <sup>ab</sup>	34.33 <sup>jk</sup>	5.76 <sup>a</sup>	60.67 <sup>j-p</sup>
MLJM3	166.6 <sup>c</sup>	27.72 <sup>a-k</sup>	116.00 <sup>ag</sup>	4.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	8.3 <sup>f-h</sup>	79.67 <sup>h-k</sup>	2.57 <sup>c-i</sup>	97 <sup>a</sup>
DELELE2	301.8 <sup>a-c</sup>	6.96 <sup>n</sup>	56.67 <sup>g</sup>	3 <sup>e-g</sup>	30.67 <sup>de</sup>	59 <sup>i-k</sup>	0.57 <sup>k</sup>	58.67 <sup>k-p</sup>
DELELE3	300 <sup>a-c</sup>	12.48 <sup>k-n</sup>	59.33 <sup>gf</sup>	6.67 <sup>b-g</sup>	64.67 <sup>a</sup>	101.33 <sup>fk</sup>	0.58 <sup>k</sup>	57.67 <sup>l-p</sup>
PANDA1	255 <sup>a-c</sup>	10.14 <sup>mn</sup>	78.33 <sup>dg</sup>	6.67 <sup>b-g</sup>	47.33 <sup>bc</sup>	96.67 <sup>h-k</sup>	0.84 <sup>k</sup>	53.33 <sup>p</sup>
PANDA	300 <sup>a-c</sup>	12.12 <sup>l-n</sup>	65.00 <sup>e-g</sup>	5.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	56.33 <sup>ab</sup>	97 <sup>h-k</sup>	0.62 <sup>k</sup>	59.33 <sup>k-p</sup>
ES	212.6 <sup>c</sup>	19.74 <sup>k-n</sup>	158.00 <sup>ab</sup>	5 <sup>c-g</sup>	10.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	184 <sup>a-g</sup>	4.59 <sup>ab</sup>	64.67 <sup>g-n</sup>
TOT4879	270 <sup>a-c</sup>	14.76 <sup>i-n</sup>	122.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	9.33 <sup>a-d</sup>	12 <sup>f-h</sup>	181 <sup>a-g</sup>	2.48 <sup>c-j</sup>	60.67 <sup>j-p</sup>
IP10	258.3 <sup>a-c</sup>	18.06 <sup>h-l</sup>	107.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	4 <sup>c-g</sup>	5.67 <sup>h</sup>	197 <sup>a-e</sup>	4.01 <sup>b-d</sup>	70.33 <sup>d-i</sup>
UGJM13	270 <sup>a-c</sup>	19.44 <sup>k-n</sup>	114.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	5.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	7.67 <sup>gh</sup>	196.67 <sup>ae</sup>	3.26 <sup>b-g</sup>	61.33 <sup>j-p</sup>
MLJM12	238.2 <sup>bc</sup>	20.7 <sup>e-l</sup>	121.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	6.67 <sup>b-g</sup>	8.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	193.67 <sup>af</sup>	3.76 <sup>b-e</sup>	62.33 <sup>i-p</sup>
MLJM13	249.9 <sup>a-c</sup>	22.98 <sup>e-l</sup>	118.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	8 <sup>a-g</sup>	11 <sup>f-h</sup>	192.67 <sup>af</sup>	2.92 <sup>b-i</sup>	60 <sup>k-p</sup>
LOCAL	226.8 <sup>bc</sup>	26.64 <sup>a-k</sup>	84.3 <sup>d-g</sup>	4.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	13 <sup>f-h</sup>	199.67 <sup>ae</sup>	3.96 <sup>b-d</sup>	68.67 <sup>d-k</sup>
SUD4	256.8 <sup>a-c</sup>	19.14 <sup>k-n</sup>	102.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	5 <sup>c-g</sup>	9 <sup>f-h</sup>	204 <sup>a-d</sup>	3.78 <sup>b-e</sup>	67 <sup>e-k</sup>
GKK10	258.3 <sup>a-c</sup>	22.14 <sup>e-l</sup>	124.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	6.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	10.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	218 <sup>a-c</sup>	3.49 <sup>b-f</sup>	64.33 <sup>g-o</sup>
CAMERON	222.3 <sup>bc</sup>	38.46 <sup>a-c</sup>	123.00 <sup>a-g</sup>	4.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	14 <sup>f-h</sup>	229 <sup>ab</sup>	3.25 <sup>b-g</sup>	78.33 <sup>cd</sup>
AZIGA	183.3 <sup>c</sup>	38.4 <sup>a-c</sup>	92.00 <sup>b-g</sup>	2.33 <sup>fg</sup>	8.3 <sup>f-h</sup>	115.67 <sup>ek</sup>	2.25 <sup>e-k</sup>	90.67 <sup>ab</sup>
MSB546	180 <sup>c</sup>	15.36 <sup>kl</sup>	96.33 <sup>b-g</sup>	2.67 <sup>fg</sup>	11.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	144.67 <sup>bi</sup>	2.05 <sup>f-k</sup>	60 <sup>k-p</sup>
DELELE1	210 <sup>c</sup>	15.36 <sup>kl</sup>	127.00 <sup>a-f</sup>	7 <sup>a-g</sup>	19.67 <sup>e-h</sup>	124.67 <sup>cj</sup>	2.55 <sup>c-i</sup>	56.67 <sup>m-p</sup>
TOT4721	255 <sup>a-c</sup>	22.5 <sup>e-l</sup>	109.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	5 <sup>c-g</sup>	17 <sup>a-h</sup>	138.67 <sup>ci</sup>	2.52 <sup>c-j</sup>	60.33 <sup>j-n</sup>

<b>TOT4316</b>	261.6 <sup>a-c</sup>	19.62 <sup>g-l</sup>	88.33 <sup>b-g</sup>	6.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	13 <sup>f-h</sup>	138 <sup>b-i</sup>	3.08 <sup>b-g</sup>	60 <sup>k-p</sup>
<b>MSB082</b>	222.3 <sup>bc</sup>	17.64 <sup>kl</sup>	110.00 <sup>a-g</sup>	6.67 <sup>b-g</sup>	21.68 <sup>d-g</sup>	160.33 <sup>bh</sup>	2.4 <sup>d-j</sup>	58.67 <sup>k-p</sup>
<b>MSB072</b>	200.1 <sup>c</sup>	19.26 <sup>h-l</sup>	108.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	2.67 <sup>fg</sup>	19.67 <sup>e-h</sup>	160.33 <sup>bh</sup>	2.4 <sup>d-j</sup>	57.33 <sup>l-p</sup>
<b>MSB054</b>	191.7 <sup>c</sup>	17.16 <sup>kl</sup>	120.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	5.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	22.67 <sup>d-f</sup>	154.67 <sup>bh</sup>	2.41 <sup>d-j</sup>	57.67 <sup>l-p</sup>
<b>TOT5876</b>	278.4 <sup>a-c</sup>	20.28 <sup>e-l</sup>	104.67 <sup>b-h</sup>	7.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	16 <sup>f-h</sup>	160.67 <sup>bh</sup>	2.51 <sup>c-j</sup>	60.67 <sup>j-p</sup>
<b>HS</b>	236.7 <sup>bc</sup>	19.86 <sup>f-l</sup>	126.33 <sup>a-f</sup>	3 <sup>e-g</sup>	7.67 <sup>gh</sup>	178 <sup>b-h</sup>	4.18 <sup>a-c</sup>	54.33 <sup>op</sup>
<b>MLJM14</b>	216.6 <sup>c</sup>	17.16 <sup>h-l</sup>	126.33 <sup>a-f</sup>	7.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	11 <sup>f-h</sup>	169 <sup>b-h</sup>	2.96 <sup>b-i</sup>	61.67 <sup>j-p</sup>
<b>UGJM2</b>	256.8 <sup>ab</sup>	24.72 <sup>a-l</sup>	107. <sup>a-g</sup>	4 <sup>c-g</sup>	13.67 <sup>f-h</sup>	157 <sup>b-h</sup>	3.57 <sup>b-f</sup>	60 <sup>k-p</sup>
<b>MIX</b>	225.8 <sup>c</sup>	18.18 <sup>kl</sup>	114. <sup>a-g</sup>	5.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	6.33 <sup>h</sup>	274.33 <sup>a</sup>	3.78 <sup>b-e</sup>	66.67 <sup>f-m</sup>
<b>TOT6683</b>	229.5 <sup>c</sup>	12.72 <sup>k-n</sup>	87.33 <sup>b-g</sup>	5 <sup>c-g</sup>	9 <sup>f-h</sup>	165 <sup>b-h</sup>	2.83 <sup>c-i</sup>	73.33 <sup>c-g</sup>
<b>SUD2</b>	285 <sup>abc</sup>	34.62 <sup>a-g</sup>	131.33 <sup>a-e</sup>	3 <sup>e-g</sup>	10.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	162.67 <sup>bg</sup>	1.77 <sup>h-k</sup>	76 <sup>c-f</sup>
<b>MLJM5</b>	211.8 <sup>c</sup>	34.92 <sup>a-f</sup>	120.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	4.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	9 <sup>f-h</sup>	175.67 <sup>bg</sup>	1.66 <sup>h-k</sup>	62.67 <sup>h-p</sup>
<b>BAFIA</b>	216.6 <sup>c</sup>	41.58 <sup>a</sup>	114.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	2 <sup>g</sup>	5.3 <sup>h</sup>	193.67 <sup>af</sup>	3.8 <sup>b-e</sup>	80.67 <sup>bc</sup>
<b>MLJM4</b>	233.4 <sup>bc</sup>	31.26 <sup>a-f</sup>	127.00 <sup>a-f</sup>	5.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	7.67 <sup>gh</sup>	163 <sup>b-h</sup>	3.57 <sup>b-f</sup>	57.33 <sup>l-p</sup>
<b>IP1</b>	286.8 <sup>a-c</sup>	16.32 <sup>h-l</sup>	169.00 <sup>a</sup>	13 <sup>a</sup>	14.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	154.33 <sup>bh</sup>	3.52 <sup>b-f</sup>	56.33 <sup>n-p</sup>
<b>IP2</b>	256.8 <sup>a-c</sup>	20.88 <sup>e-l</sup>	156.00 <sup>a-c</sup>	12.67 <sup>ab</sup>	11.64 <sup>f-h</sup>	163.67 <sup>bh</sup>	3.03 <sup>b-i</sup>	66 <sup>f-m</sup>
<b>SUD1</b>	234.9 <sup>bc</sup>	37.98 <sup>a-d</sup>	144.67 <sup>a-d</sup>	3 <sup>e-g</sup>	12.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	166.33 <sup>bh</sup>	2.51 <sup>c-j</sup>	72.67 <sup>c-h</sup>
<b>TOT4670</b>	233.4 <sup>bc</sup>	25.32 <sup>a-l</sup>	131.33 <sup>a-e</sup>	8 <sup>a-g</sup>	12.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	160.67 <sup>bh</sup>	2.91 <sup>b-i</sup>	64.33 <sup>g-o</sup>
<b>MLJM2</b>	245.1 <sup>a-c</sup>	28.68 <sup>a-i</sup>	139.00 <sup>a-d</sup>	4.33 <sup>c-g</sup>	9.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	146.33 <sup>bi</sup>	3.3 <sup>b-h</sup>	77 <sup>cde</sup>
<b>IP5</b>	279.9 <sup>a-c</sup>	20.88 <sup>e-l</sup>	97.00 <sup>b-g</sup>	8 <sup>a-g</sup>	22.67 <sup>d-f</sup>	148 <sup>b-i</sup>	2.84 <sup>c-i</sup>	63.33 <sup>g-p</sup>
<b>TOT6426</b>	248.4 <sup>a-c</sup>	13.98 <sup>k-n</sup>	133.334 <sup>ae</sup>	13 <sup>a</sup>	18.33 <sup>a-h</sup>	140.33 <sup>bi</sup>	2.87 <sup>c-i</sup>	64.67 <sup>g-n</sup>
<b>EXMALAW</b>	266.7 <sup>a-c</sup>	23.58 <sup>c-l</sup>	119.33 <sup>a-g</sup>	8.33 <sup>a-f</sup>	16 <sup>f-h</sup>	142.67 <sup>bi</sup>	4.01 <sup>b-d</sup>	60 <sup>k-p</sup>
<b>EXZIM</b>	296.7 <sup>a-c</sup>	29.52 <sup>a-i</sup>	139.33 <sup>a-d</sup>	8 <sup>a-g</sup>	16 <sup>f-h</sup>	137.93 <sup>bi</sup>	3.34 <sup>b-g</sup>	59 <sup>k-p</sup>
<b>TOT6684</b>	230.02 <sup>bc</sup>	35.1 <sup>a-e</sup>	101.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	2.67 <sup>fg</sup>	14.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	121.67 <sup>dj</sup>	1.35 <sup>i-k</sup>	73.33 <sup>c-g</sup>
<b>TOT6430</b>	256.8 <sup>a-c</sup>	19.98 <sup>kl</sup>	82.00 <sup>d-g</sup>	10 <sup>a-c</sup>	11.67 <sup>f-h</sup>	122.33 <sup>dj</sup>	1.66 <sup>h-k</sup>	72 <sup>c-i</sup>

<b>UGJM1</b>	226.8 <sup>bc</sup>	24.6 <sup>a-l</sup>	122.67 <sup>a-g</sup>	3 <sup>e-g</sup>	14.33 <sup>f-h</sup>	118.67 <sup>dk</sup>	3.46 <sup>b-g</sup>	63.33 <sup>g-p</sup>
<b>IP4</b>	306.6 <sup>a-c</sup>	27 <sup>a-l</sup>	144.00 <sup>a-d</sup>	9.67 <sup>a-c</sup>	10 <sup>f-h</sup>	119.67 <sup>dk</sup>	2.43 <sup>d-j</sup>	58.67 <sup>k-p</sup>

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Least Significant Difference (LSD) at P=.05 significance level. **Lfn**- Number of leaves per plant. **Frsf**- fresh leaf weight per plant. **Pribr**- number of primary branches per plant. **Pdn**- Number of pods per plant. **s/pod**- number of seeds per pod. **1000seeds**- weight of 1000 seeds. **Dysflw**- Number of days to 50% flowering

UNDER PEER REVIEW

### 3.1.2.2 Correlation among the quantitative morphological traits

The Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was carried out to find the relationship among morphological traits, Table 6. The correlation matrix highlights many significant correlations both positive and negative at 5% threshold for the different characters under study. Fresh leaves weight was statistically significant ( $P < 0.0001$ ) with a very strong positive correlation with dry leaf weight ( $r = 0.966$ ) and moderately correlated positively with leaf length ( $r = 0.66$ ), days to 50% flowering ( $r = 0.583$ ) and the flower diameter ( $r = 0.583$ ). Leaf width showed moderate positive correlation with petiole length ( $r = 0.43$ ). The fresh leaves were negatively correlated with leaf number ( $r = 0.252$ ), pod number ( $r = 0.483$ ) and primary branches ( $r = 0.414$ ). Leaf number had moderate significant positive correlation with the pod number ( $r = 0.539$ ); moderate significant negative correlations were further observed between leaf number and seeds per pod ( $r = 0.588$ ) and pod length ( $r = 0.487$ ). Similarly, pod length exhibited a significant positive correlation with seeds per pods ( $r = 0.638$ ). A moderate significant negative association between pod number and pod length ( $r = 0.582$ ), seeds per pod ( $r = 0.623$ ) and ( $r = 0.615$ ) for the flower diameter. Furthermore, a very strong positive correlation between the dry shoot weight and fresh shoot weight was observed ( $r = 0.927$ ) and a very low positive correlation with primary branches ( $r = 0.328$ ).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 6.** Pearson's correlation coefficient amongst the quantitative morphological traits of Jew 'mallow

FL	DL	LN
PH	LW	LL
LWLR	PL	
FL	DL	LN
DL	LN	PH
LN	PH	LW
LL	LWLR	
PH	LW	LL
LW	LL	LWL
R	PL	PDN
LL	LWLR	P
L	PDN	PDL
SDP	SDM	
PNL	FLD	
LWLR	PL	P
DN	PDL	SD
P	SDM	PNL
FLD	DYF	
PL	PDN	PD
L	SDP	SDM
PNL	FLD	
PDN	PDL	S
DP	SDM	P
NL	FLD	DY
F	DYMP	FS
DS	HI	PB
SB	FL	1
	0.966*	0.25
3	0.329	0.7
74*	0.657*	0
	.367	0.449
PDL	SDP	S
DM	PNL	FL
D	DYF	DYM
P	FS	DS
H		
I	PB	SB
SDP	SDM	P
NL	FLD	DY
F	DYMP	FS
DS	HI	PB
SB	FL	1
	0.966*	0.25
3	0.329	0.7
74*	0.657*	0
	.367	0.449

UNDER PEER REVIEW

SDM PNL F  
 LD DYF DY  
 MP FS DS  
 PNL FLD D  
 YF DYMP F  
 S DS HI P  
 B SB FL  
 1 0.966\* 0  
 .253 0.329  
 FLD DYF D  
 YMP FS DS  
 HI PB SB  
 FL 1 0.9  
 66\* 0.253 0.  
 329 0.774\*  
 DYF DYMP  
 DYMP FS D  
 S HI PB S  
 B FL 1 0  
 .966\* 0.253  
 FS DS HI  
 DS HI PB  
 HI PB SB  
 PB SB FL  
 1 0.966\* 0  
 .253 0.329  
 SB FL 1  
 FL 1 0.96  
 6\* 0.253 0.3  
 29 0.774\* 0.  
 657\* 0.367  
 FL 1 0.966\*  
 0.253 0.32  
 9 0.774\* 0.6  
 57\* 0.367 0.  
 449 0.483 0  
 .034 0.449  
 1 0.966\* 0.2  
 53 0.329 0.  
 774\* 0.657\*  
 0.966\* 0.253  
 0.329 0.77  
 4\* 0.657\* 0.  
 367 0.449 0  
 .483 0.034  
 0.253 0.329  
 0.774\* 0.65


UNDER PEER REVIEW

7\* 0.367 0.4  
49 0.483 0.  
034 0.449 0  
.092 0.025  
0.329 0.774\*  
0.657\* 0.36  
7 0.449 0.4  
83 0.034 0.  
449 0.092 0  
.025 0.543\*  
0.774\* 0.657  
\* 0.367 0.44  
9 0.483 0.0  
34 0.449 0.  
092 0.025 0  
.543\* 0.583\*  
0.339 0.42  
1 0.354 0.9  
53\* 0.444 0.  
097 **DL**  
0.657\* 0.367  
0.449 0.48  
3 0.034 0.4  
49 0.092 0.  
025 0.543\*  
0.367 0.449  
0.483 0.03  
4 0.449 0.0  
92 0.025 0.  
543\* 0.583\*  
0.449 0.483  
0.034 0.44  
9 0.092 0.0  
25 0.543\* 0.  
583\* 0.339  
0.483 0.034  
0.449 0.09  
2 0.025 0.5  
43\* 0.583\* 0  
.339 0.421  
0.034 0.449  
0.092 0.02  
5 0.543\* 0.5  
83\* 0.339 0.  
421 0.354 0  
.953\* 0.444  
0.449 0.092  
0.025 0.54

UNDER PEER REVIEW

3\* 0.583\* 0.  
 339 0.421 0  
 .354 0.953\*  
 0.092 0.025  
 0.543\* 0.58  
 3\* 0.339 0.4  
 21 0.354 0.  
 953\* 0.444  
 0.025 0.543\*  
 0.583\* 0.33  
 9 0.421 0.3  
 54 0.953\* 0.  
 444 0.097  
 0.543\* 0.583  
 \* 0.339 0.42  
 1 0.354 0.9  
 53\* 0.444 0.  
 097 **DL**  
 0.583\* 0.339  
 0.421 0.35  
 4 0.953\* 0.4  
 44 0.097  
 0.339 0.421  
 0.354 0.95  
 3\* 0.444 0.0  
 97 **DL** 1  
 0.157 0.37  
 3 0.746\* 0.6  
 95\* -  
 0.323 0.431  
 -0.389 -  
 0.032 0.336  
 0.121 0.00  
 5 0.534\* 0.5  
 09\* 0.327 0.  
 469 0.412 0  
 .976\* -  
 0.323 -  
 0.114 **LN**  
 0.421 0.354  
 0.953\* 0.44  
 4 0.097 **D**  
**L** 1 0.157  
 0.373 0.74  
 6\* 0.695\* -  
 0.323 0.431  
 -0.389 -

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.354 0.953\*  
0.444 0.09  
7 DL 1  
0.953\* 0.444  
0.097 DL  
1 0.157  
0.444 0.097  
DL 1 0  
.157 0.373  
0.097 DL  
DL 1 0.  
157 0.373 0  
.746\* 0.695\*  
-  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
DL 1 0.15  
7 0.373 0.7  
46\* 0.695\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
1 0.157 0.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

373 0.746\*  
1 0.157 0.3  
73 0.746\* 0.  
695\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.157 0.373  
0.746\* 0.69  
5\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.373 0.746\*  
0.695\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.746\* 0.695  
\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0

UNDER PEER REVIEW




.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.695\* -  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
-  
0.323 0.431  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.431 -  
0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
-0.389 -  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00  
5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
-  
0.032 0.336  
0.121 0.00

UNDER PEER REVIEW


5 0.534\* 0.5  
09\* 0.327 0.  
469 0.412 0  
.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.336 0.121  
0.005 0.53  
4\* 0.509\* 0.  
327 0.469 0  
.412 0.976\*  
0.121 0.005  
0.534\* 0.50  
9\* 0.327 0.4  
69 0.412 0.  
976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.005 0.534\*  
0.509\* 0.32  
7 0.469 0.4  
12 0.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.534\* 0.509  
\* 0.327 0.46  
9 0.412 0.9  
76\* -0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.509\* 0.327  
0.469 0.41  
2 0.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.327 0.469  
0.412 0.97  
6\* -0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.469 0.412  
0.976\* -  
0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.412 0.976\*  
-0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
0.976\* -

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
-0.323 -  
0.114 LN  
-  
0.114 LN  
LN 1 0  
.051 -  
0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 PH  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
LN 1 0.0  
51 -0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 PH  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6

UNDER PEER REVIEW




13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
1 0.051 -  
0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
1 0.051 -  
0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
1 0.051 -  
0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.051 -  
0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-0.386 -  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-  
0.181 0.381  
-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.381 -  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007

UNDER PEER REVIEW




-  
0.225 0.539\*  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.539\* -  
0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-0.486 -  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37

UNDER PEER REVIEW




4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-  
0.588\* 0.073  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.073 -  
0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-0.245 -  
0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007

UNDER PEER REVIEW




-0.159 -  
0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007 -  
-0.311 -  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007 -  
-  
0.066 0.145  
0.216 -  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.145 0.216  
-  
0.226 0.475  
0.018 **PH**  
1 0.14  
3 0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.216 -  
 0.226 0.475  
 0.018 **PH**  
   1 0.14  
   3 0.381 -  
 0.419 0.389  
   0.452 0.37  
   4 0.288 0.6  
 13\* 0.139 0.  
 468 0.0007  
 -  
 0.226 0.475  
 0.018 **PH**  
   1 0.14  
   3 0.381 -  
 0.419 0.389  
   0.452 0.37  
   4 0.288 0.6  
 13\* 0.139 0.  
 468 0.0007  
 0.475 0.018  
**PH**  
 0.018 **PH**  
**PH** 1  
   0.143 0.38  
   1 -  
 0.419 0.389  
   0.452 0.37  
   4 0.288 0.6  
 13\* 0.139 0.  
 468 0.0007  
**PH** 1 0  
   .143 0.381 -  
   0.419 0.389  
   0.452 0.37  
   4 0.288 0.6  
 13\* 0.139 0.  
 468 0.0007  
   1 0.143  
   0.381 -  
   0.419 0.389  
   0.452 0.37  
   4 0.288 0.6  
 13\* 0.139 0.  
 468 0.0007  
   1 0.143  
  1 0.143 0.

UNDER PEER REVIEW




381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
1 0.143 0.3  
81 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.143 0.381  
-  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.381 -  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
-  
0.419 0.389  
0.452 0.37  
4 0.288 0.6  
13\* 0.139 0.  
468 0.0007  
0.389 0.452  
0.374 0.28  
8 0.613\* 0.1  
39 0.468 0.  
0007 0.11 0  
.544\* 0.524\*  
0.325 0.26  
4 0.287 L  
W 1  
0.452 0.374  
0.288 0.61  
3\* 0.139 0.4  
68 0.0007 0  
.11 0.544\* 0  
.524\* 0.325  
0.374 0.288

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.613\* 0.13  
 9 0.468 0.0  
 007 0.11 0.  
 544\* 0.524\*  
 0.288 0.613\*  
 0.139 0.46  
 8 0.0007 0.  
 11 0.544\* 0.  
 524\* 0.325  
 0.613\* 0.139  
 0.468 0.00  
 07 0.11 0.5  
 44\* 0.524\* 0  
 .325 0.264  
 0.139 0.468  
 0.0007 0.1  
 1 0.544\* 0.5  
 24\* 0.325 0.  
 264 0.287  
 0.468 0.0007  
 0.11 0.544\*  
 0.524\* 0.32  
 5 0.264 0.2  
 87 **LW**  
 0.0007 0.11  
 0.544\* 0.52  
 4\* 0.325 0.2  
 64 0.287  
 0.11 0.544\*  
 0.544\* 0.524  
 \* 0.325 0.26  
 4 0.287 **L**  
**W** 1  
 0.524\* 0.325  
 0.264 0.28  
 7 **LW**  
 0.325 0.264  
 0.287 **L**  
**W** 1  
 0.264 0.287  
**LW**  
 0.287 **LW**  
 1 0.4  
 37 -  
 0.739\* 0.434  
 -  
 0.58\* 0.247

UNDER PEER REVIEW




**LW**  
**LW** 1  
0.437 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
1 0.43  
7 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
1 0.437  
-  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
1 0.437 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
1 0.437 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
1 0.437 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
0.437 -  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
-  
0.739\* 0.434  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
0.434 -  
0.58\* 0.247  
-  
0.58\* 0.247  
0.247 0.469  
0.317 0.07  
3 0.534\* 0.5  
04\* 0.113 0.  
533\* 0.44 0.  
716\* -  
0.277 -  
0.049 LL

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.469 0.317  
0.073 0.53  
4\* 0.504\* 0.  
113 0.533\*  
0.317 0.073  
0.534\* 0.50  
4\* 0.113 0.5  
33\* 0.44 0.7  
16\* -0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
0.073 0.534\*  
0.504\* 0.11  
3 0.533\* 0.4  
4 0.716\* -  
0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
0.534\* 0.504  
\* 0.113 0.53  
3\* 0.44 0.71  
6\* -0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
0.504\* 0.113  
0.533\* 0.44  
0.716\* -  
0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
0.113 0.533\*  
0.44 0.716\*  
-0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
0.533\* 0.44  
0.44 0.716\*  
0.716\* -  
0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
-0.277 -  
0.049 LL  
-  
0.049 LL  
LL  
LL 1  
0.178 0.19  
4 -0.157 -  
0.039 0.319  
-  
0.068 0.075

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
1 0.1  
78 0.194 -  
0.157 -  
0.039 0.319  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
1 0.17  
8 0.194 -  
0.157 -  
0.039 0.319  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
1 0.178  
0.194 -  
0.157 -  
0.039 0.319  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
1 0.178  
1 0.178 0.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



194 -0.157 -  
0.039 0.319 -  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 **LWL**  
**R**  
1 0.178 0.1  
94 -0.157 -  
0.039 0.319 -  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 **LWL**  
**R**  
0.178 0.194  
-0.157 -  
0.039 0.319 -  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 **LWL**  
**R**  
0.194 -  
0.157 -  
0.039 0.319 -  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 **LWL**  
**R**  
-0.157 -

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.039 0.319 -  
0.068 0.075 -  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
-  
0.039 0.319 -  
0.068 0.075 -  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.319 -  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
-  
0.068 0.075  
0.293 0.40  
9 0.234 0.0  
37 0.089 0.  
717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.075 0.293  
0.409 0.23  
4 0.037 0.0  
89 0.717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.293 0.409

UNDER PEER REVIEW


0.234 0.03  
7 0.089 0.7  
17\* -0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.409 0.234  
0.037 0.08  
9 0.717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.234 0.037  
0.089 0.71  
7\* -0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.037 0.089  
0.717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.089 0.717\*  
-0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
0.717\* -  
0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
-0.187 -  
0.039 LWL  
R  
-  
0.039 LWL  
R  
LWLR  
LWLR  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
1 -  
0.436 0.715\*  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.715\* -  
0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
-0.468 -  
0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
-0.37 -  
0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
-0.526\* -  
0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124  
-  
0.095 PL  
-0.081 -  
0.508\* -  
0.262 0.014  
-0.536 -  
0.391 -  
0.286 0.124

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.095 PL -  
 -0.508\* -  
 0.262 0.014  
 -0.536 -  
 0.391 -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 -  
 0.262 0.014  
 -0.536 -  
 0.391 -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 0.014 -  
 0.536 -  
 0.391 -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 -0.536 -  
 0.391 -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 -0.391 -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 0.286 0.124  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 0.124 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 -  
 0.095 PL -  
 PL  
 PL  
 1  
 -  
 0.494 0.177  
 0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3

UNDER PEER REVIEW




6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3

UNDER PEER REVIEW



6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
1 -  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
-  
0.494 0.177  
0.205 0.22  
3 0.017 0.3  
6 0.135 0.1  
35 0.367 0.  
303 0.411 0  
.033 0.245  
0.177 0.205  
0.223 0.01  
7 0.36 0.13  
5 0.135 0.3  
67 0.303 0.  
411 0.033 0  
.245 **PDN**  
  
0.205 0.223  
0.017 0.36  
0.135 0.13  
5 0.367 0.3  
03 0.411 0.  
033 0.245  
0.223 0.017  
0.36 0.135  
0.135 0.36  
7 0.303 0.4  
11 0.033 0.  
245 **PDN**  
0.017 0.36  
0.36 0.135  
0.135 0.135  
0.367 0.30  
3 0.411 0.0  
33 0.245  
0.135 0.367  
0.303 0.41

UNDER PEER REVIEW



1 0.033 0.2  
45 **PDN**  
0.367 0.303  
0.411 0.03  
3 0.245 **P**  
**DN**  
0.303 0.411  
0.033 0.24  
5 **PDN**  
0.411 0.033  
0.245 **PD**  
**N**  
0.033 0.245  
**PDN**  
0.245 **PDN**

**PDN**  
**PDN**

1  
-0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-

0.105 **PDL**

1 -  
0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-

0.105 **PDL**

1 -

UNDER PEER REVIEW

0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-

0.105 PDL

1 -  
0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-


0.105 PDL

1 -  
0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-

0.105 PDL


1 -  
0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187

UNDER PEER REVIEW



-  
0.105 PDL  
1 -0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
1 -0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.582\* -  
0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.622 -  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-


UNDER PEER REVIEW



0.105 PDL  
-  
0.348 0.333  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
0.333 -  
0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.615\* -  
0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.395 -  
0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.158 -  
0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL

---


UNDER PEER REVIEW



-0.312 -  
0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-0.193 -  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
-  
0.387 0.187  
-  
0.105 PDL  
0.187 -  
0.105 PDL  
-  
0.105 PDL  
PDL  
PDL

1  
0.637\* 0.24  
7 0.319 0.3  
2 -0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
P  
1 0  
.637\* 0.247  
1 0.6  
37\* 0.247 0.  
319 0.32 -  
0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
P

UNDER PEER REVIEW



1 0.63  
7\* 0.247 0.3  
19 0.32 -  
0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD

**P**  
1 0.637\*  
0.247 0.31  
9 0.32 -  
0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD


**P**  
1 0.637\*  
1 0.637\* 0  
.247 0.319  
1 0.637\* 0.2  
47 0.319 0.  
32 -0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD

**P**  
0.637\* 0.247  
0.319 0.32  
-0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD

**P**  
0.247 0.319  
0.32 -  
0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD

**P**  
0.319 0.32 -  
0.052 -


UNDER PEER REVIEW



0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
0.32 -  
0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
-0.052 -  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
-  
0.031 0.133  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
0.133 0.137  
-  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
0.137 -  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
-  
0.048 0.017  
0.364 SD  
**P**  
0.017 0.364  
SDP  
0.364 SDP  
  
SDP  
SDP


---

UNDER PEER REVIEW



1  
0.173 0.30  
6 0.397 0.3  
27 0.204 0.  
07 0.06 0.3  
54 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
1 0  
.173 0.306  
1 0.1  
73 0.306 0.  
397 0.327 0  
.204 0.07 0.  
06 0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
1 0.17  
3 0.306 0.3  
97 0.327 0.  
204 0.07 0.  
06 0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
1 0.173  
0.306 0.39  
7 0.327 0.2  
04 0.07 0.0  
6 0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
1 0.173  
1 0.173 0.  
306 0.397 0  
.327 0.204  
1 0.173 0.3  
06 0.397 0.  
327 0.204 0  
.07 0.06 0.3  
54 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.173 0.306  
0.397 0.32  
7 0.204 0.0  
7 0.06 0.35  
4 -


UNDER PEER REVIEW



0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.306 0.397  
0.327 0.20  
4 0.07 0.06  
0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.397 0.327  
0.204 0.07  
0.06 0.354  
-  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.327 0.204  
0.07 0.06  
0.204 0.07  
0.07 0.06 0.  
354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.06 0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.354 -  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
-  
0.264 0.178  
**SDM**  
0.178 **SDM**  
  
**SDM**  
**SDM**

1  
-  
0.04 0.245 -  
0.028 -  
0.022 0.429  
0.417 0.05  
4 0.163 0.3  
47 **PNL**


UNDER PEER REVIEW






4 0.163 0.3  
47 PNL -  
-  
0.04 0.245 -  
0.028 -  
0.022 0.429  
0.417 0.05  
4 0.163 0.3  
47 PNL  
0.245 -  
0.028 -  
0.022 0.429  
0.417 0.05  
4 0.163 0.3  
47 PNL  
-0.028 -  
0.022 0.429  
0.417 0.05  
4 0.163 0.3  
47 PNL -  
-  
0.022 0.429  
0.417 0.05  
4 0.163 0.3  
47 PNL  
0.429 0.417  
0.054 0.16  
3 0.347 P  
NL  
0.417 0.054  
0.163 0.34  
7 PNL  
0.054 0.163  
0.347 PN  
L  
0.163 0.347  
PNL  
0.347 PNL  
  
PNL  
PNL

UNDER PEER REVIEW



1  
0.149 0.14  
3 0.014 0.1  
06 0.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
1 0  
.149 0.143  
1 0.1  
49 0.143 0.  
014 0.106 0  
.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
1 0.14  
9 0.143 0.0  
14 0.106 0.  
053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
1 0.149  
0.143 0.01  
4 0.106 0.0  
53 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
1 0.149  
1 0.149 0.  
143 0.014 0  
.106 0.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
1 0.149 0.1  
43 0.014 0.  
106 0.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
0.149 0.143  
0.014 0.10  
6 0.053 -  
0.017 0.138

UNDER PEER REVIEW




0.0259 F  
LD  
0.143 0.014  
0.106 0.05  
3 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
0.014 0.106  
0.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
0.106 0.053  
-  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
0.053 -  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
-  
0.017 0.138  
0.0259 F  
LD  
0.138 0.0259  
FLD  
0.0259 FL  
D  
FLD  
FLD

1  
0.266 0.25  
9 0.577\* 0.5  
23\* 0.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
DYF  
1 0  
.266 0.259

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1 0.2  
66 0.259 0.  
577\* 0.523\*  
1 0.26  
6 0.259 0.5  
77\* 0.523\* 0  
.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
1 0.266  
0.259 0.57  
7\* 0.523\* 0.  
.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
1 0.266  
1 0.266 0.  
259 0.577\*  
1 0.266 0.2  
59 0.577\* 0.  
523\* 0.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
0.266 0.259  
0.577\* 0.52  
3\* 0.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
0.259 0.577\*  
0.523\* 0.48  
7 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
0.577\* 0.523  
\* 0.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
0.523\* 0.487  
-  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
0.487 -  
0.027 0.083  
**DYF**  
-  
0.027 0.083

UNDER PEER REVIEW




DYF  
0.083 DYF

DYF  
DYF

1  
0.24 0.11  
1 0  
.24 0.11 -  
0.021 0.556\*  
-0.344 -  
0.357 DYF  
P  
1 0.2  
4 0.11 -  
0.021 0.556\*  
-0.344 -  
0.357 DYF  
P  
1 0.24  
0.11 -  
0.021 0.556\*  
-0.344 -  
0.357 DYF  
P  
1 0.24  
1 0.24 0.  
11 -  
0.021 0.556\*  
-0.344 -  
0.357 DYF  
P  
1 0.24 0.1  
1 -  
0.021 0.556\*  
-0.344 -  
0.357 DYF  
P  
1 0.24 0.11  
-


UNDER PEER REVIEW



0.021 0.556\*  
 -0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 0.24 0.11 -  
 0.021 0.556\*  
 -0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 0.11 -  
 0.021 0.556\*  
 -0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 -  
 0.021 0.556\*  
 -0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 0.556\* -  
 0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 -0.344 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
 -  
 0.357 **DYM**  
**P**  
**DYMP**  
**DYMP**


1  
 0.009 -  
 0.052 0.379  
 -0.142 -  
 0.108 **FS**  
 1 0  
 .009 -

UNDER PEER REVIEW



0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.0  
09 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.00  
9 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.009  
-  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.009 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.009 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
1 0.009 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
0.009 -  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
-  
0.052 0.379  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
0.379 -  
0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
-0.142 -  
0.108 **FS**  
-  
0.108 **FS**


UNDER PEER REVIEW



FS  
FS

1  
0.927\* 0.33  
4 0.327 0.2  
46 **DS**  
1 0  
.927\* 0.334  
1 0.9  
27\* 0.334 0.  
327 0.246  
1 0.92  
7\* 0.334 0.3  
27 0.246  
1 0.927\*  
0.334 0.32  
7 0.246 **D**  
**S**  
1 0.927\*  
1 0.927\* 0  
.334 0.327  
1 0.927\* 0.3  
34 0.327 0.  
246 **DS**  
0.927\* 0.334  
0.327 0.24  
6 **DS**  
0.334 0.327  
0.246 **DS**  
  
0.327 0.246  
**DS**  
0.246 **DS**  
**DS**  
**DS**

UNDER PEER REVIEW




1  
0.254 0.32  
4 0.257 **HI**  
**I**  
1 0  
.254 0.324  
1 0.2  
54 0.324 0.  
257 **HI**  
1 0.25  
4 0.324 0.2  
57 **HI**  
1 0.254  
0.324 0.25  
7 **HI**  
1 0.254  
1 0.254 0.  
324 0.257  
1 0.254 0.3  
24 0.257  
0.254 0.324  
0.257 **HI**  
  
0.324 0.257  
**HI**  
0.257 **HI**  
**HI**  
**HI**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1  
-0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -  
0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -  
0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -  
0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -  
0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -  
0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
1 -0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
-0.381 -  
0.167 **PB**  
-  
0.167 **PB**  
**PB**  
**PB**

1  
0.520\* **S**  
**C**

UNDER PEER REVIEW



1  
1 0  
.520\* **SC**

1 **FL**-  
fresh leaves;  
**DL**-dry  
leaves; **HI**-  
harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-

UNDER PEER REVIEW

fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*

Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$

1 0.5  
20\* **SC**  
1 0.52  
0\* **SC**


1 **FL**-  
fresh leaves;  
**DL**-dry  
leaves; **HI**-  
harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$   
1 0.520\*  
**SC**

1  
**FL**-fresh  
leaves; **DL**-  
dry leaves;  
**HI**-harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per

UNDER PEER REVIEW



pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$   
1 0.520\*  
1 0.520\*  
1 0.520\*  
0.520\* **SC**

1 **FL**  
-fresh  
leaves; **DL**-  
dry leaves;  
**HI**-harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**PDL**-pod length;  
**S/POD**- number of seeds per pod;  
**1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds;  
**PNL**- peduncle length; **FH**- fresh shoot weight; **DS**- biomass. \*  
Significant at  $P < 0.001$   
**SC**

**SC** 1

1 **FL**- fresh leaves;  
**DL**-dry leaves; **HI**- harvest index; **PH**- plant height;  
**LN**-leaf number;  
**DYF**-days to 50% flowering;  
**DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf length;  
**LWLR**-leaf

UNDER PEER REVIEW

width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup> **FL**-  
fresh leaves;  
**DL**-dry  
leaves; **HI**-  
harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup>  
**FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

1

1

1 **FL** -fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days

UNDER PEER REVIEW

to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length; **LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup> **FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days

UNDER PEER REVIEW

to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length; **LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup> **FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days

UNDER PEER REVIEW

to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup>  
**FL**-fresh  
leaves; **DL**-  
dry leaves;  
**HI**-harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature

UNDER PEER REVIEW

pods; **LW**-  
 leaf width;  
 **LL**-leaf  
 length;  
 **LWLR**-leaf  
 width length  
 ratio; **PL**-  
 petiole  
 length; **PDN**-  
 pod number;  
 **PDL**-pod  
 length;  
 **S/POD**-  
 number of  
 seeds per  
 pod;  
 **1000SEEDS**  
 - weight of  
 1000 seeds;  
 **PNL**-  
 peduncle  
 length; **FH**-  
 fresh shoot  
 weight; **DS**-  
 biomass. \*  
 Significant at  
  $P < 0.001$

1

1

1 **FL**  
 -fresh  
 leaves; **DL**-  
 dry leaves;  
 **HI**-harvest  
 index; **PH**-  
 plant height;  
 **LN**-leaf  
 number;  
 **DYF**-days to  
 50%

UNDER PEER REVIEW

flowering;  
**DYMP**-days  
to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$

1 **FL**-  
fresh leaves;  
**DL**-dry  
leaves; **HI**-  
harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length; **LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup> **FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days

UNDER PEER REVIEW

to mature  
pods; **LW**-  
leaf width;  
**LL**-leaf  
length;  
**LWLR**-leaf  
width length  
ratio; **PL**-  
petiole  
length; **PDN**-  
pod number;  
**PDL**-pod  
length;  
**S/POD**-  
number of  
seeds per  
pod;  
**1000SEEDS**  
- weight of  
1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-  
peduncle  
length; **FH**-  
fresh shoot  
weight; **DS**-  
biomass. \*  
Significant at  
 $P < 0.001$

1  
1  
1 F  
L-fresh  
leaves; **DL**-  
dry leaves;  
**HI**-harvest  
index; **PH**-  
plant height;  
**LN**-leaf  
number;  
**DYF**-days to  
50%  
flowering;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length; **LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

<sup>1</sup> **FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days to mature

UNDER PEER REVIEW

pods; **LW**-  
 leaf width;  
 **LL**-leaf  
 length;  
 **LWLR**-leaf  
 width length  
 ratio; **PL**-  
 petiole  
 length; **PDN**-  
 pod number;  
 **PDL**-pod  
 length;  
 **S/POD**-  
 number of  
 seeds per  
 pod;  
 **1000SEEDS**  
 - weight of  
 1000 seeds;  
 **PNL**-  
 peduncle  
 length; **FH**-  
 fresh shoot  
 weight; **DS**-  
 biomass. \*  
 Significant at  
  $P < 0.001$   
 1 **FL**-  
 fresh leaves;  
 **DL**-dry  
 leaves; **HI**-  
 harvest  
 index; **PH**-  
 plant height;  
 **LN**-leaf  
 number;  
 **DYF**-days to  
 50%  
 flowering;  
 **DYMP**-days  
 to mature  
 pods; **LW**-  
 leaf width;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**LL**-leaf length;  
**LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length;  
**S/POD**-number of seeds per pod;  
**1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds;  
**PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$   
1 **FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**-number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS** - weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

**FL**-fresh leaves; **DL**-dry leaves; **HI**-harvest index; **PH**-plant height; **LN**-leaf number; **DYF**-days to 50% flowering; **DYMP**-days to mature pods; **LW**-leaf width; **LL**-leaf length; **LWLR**-leaf width length ratio; **PL**-petiole length; **PDN**-pod number; **PDL**-pod length; **S/POD**- number of seeds per pod; **1000SEEDS**- weight of 1000 seeds; **PNL**-peduncle length; **FH**-fresh shoot weight; **DS**-biomass. \* Significant at  $P < 0.001$

### 3.1.2.2 Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis (PCA) which was carried out to reveal the relationship between the 31 characters studied generated 34 principal components (PC) and is presented in Table 7. The first four principal components had an eigenvalue greater than 2. These principal components accounted for 58.72% of the total variability of the morphological traits amongst the studied 49 accessions while the remaining components contributed only 21.28% of total variability for the accessions, with eigenvalue less than 2 but greater than 1. The fresh leaves weight, dry leaves weight, leaf width and flower diameter loaded high in principal component 1 (PC1) and accounted for 23.4% of the total variation of the samples with an eigenvalue of 7.96. The highest positive loading was associated with leaf width (0.31), leaf fresh weight (0.30), dry leaves weight (0.28), and the flower diameter (0.26). The component characterizes accessions with good performance for each of these characters. The second principal component (PC2) accounted 14.95% of the total morphological variation amongst the accession with the highest positive loading exhibited by fruit shape (0.35), leaf number (0.28) and leaf colour (0.27). The third principal component (PC3) accounted for 11.19% of the total variation with 3.806 eigenvalue. Traits such as primary branches (0.30) and secondary branches (0.33) loaded more in this component. The fourth principal component (PC4) accounted only 9.19% of the total variation with 3.123 eigenvalue. Leaf length (0.31) and stem colour (0.29) loaded the highest. Generally, PC1, PC2 and PC3 constituted 49.54% of the total morphological variation with mostly the vegetative related traits. This indicated that these traits [cannot](#) be used to classify the accessions under study.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

**Table 7.** Eigenvalues, proportion of variance and morphological traits that contributed to the first four Principal components (PCs).

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4
<b>Eigen value</b>	7.955	5.081	3.806	3.123
<b>Proportion of variance (%)</b>	23.4	14.95	11.19	9.19
<b>Cumulative variance (%)</b>	23.4	38.34	49.54	58.72
<b>plant height</b>	0.19	0.09	0.24	0.19
<b>leaf width</b>	0.31	0.05	-0.06	-0.02
<b>leaf length</b>	0.15	-0.03	-0.25	0.31
<b>leaf length width ratio</b>	-0.26	-0.04	-0.18	0.18
<b>petiole length</b>	0.21	0.04	0.07	-0.05
<b>pod number</b>	-0.28	0.15	-0.11	0.03
<b>pod length</b>	0.14	-0.25	0.29	0.04
<b>seeds per pod</b>	0.21	-0.24	0.04	0.13
<b>1000 seeds weight</b>	0.13	0.19	0.26	0.1
<b>peduncle length</b>	0.07	-0.19	0.11	0.02
<b>flower diameter</b>	0.26	0.03	0.06	0.12
<b>Days to 50% flowering</b>	0.19	-0.01	-0.26	-0.08
<b>Days to mature first pod</b>	0.1	-0.01	-0.15	0.05
<b>Biomass yield</b>	0.17	0.23	0.2	0.08
<b>Primary branches</b>	-0.09	0.11	0.3	0.1
<b>Secondary branches</b>	0.02	0.01	0.33	0.09

<b>Stem colour</b>	-0.09	-0.07	0.2	0.29
<b>leaf colour</b>	0.08	0.27	-0.04	-0.05
<b>leaf lobe</b>	0.12	0.14	0.06	-0.45
<b>seta</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>leaf shape</b>	0.06	0.2	0.03	-0.42
<b>leaf base</b>	-0.05	-0.08	-0.1	0.33
<b>leaf apex</b>	0.23	-0.12	0.13	0.1
<b>leaf margin</b>	0	-0.2	0.06	0.02
<b>stem pattern</b>	-0.02	-0.21	0.18	-0.07
<b>stipule colour</b>	0.07	-0.32	-0.01	-0.17
<b>fruit/pod colour</b>	0.01	0.22	-0.04	0.24
<b>fruit/pod shape</b>	-0.09	0.35	-0.03	0.16

### 3.1.2.4 Morphological cluster analysis

A dendrogram for complete linkage cluster analysis of qualitative and quantitative traits was generated for the 49 accessions and is presented in Figure 1. The results indicated that the accessions were assigned to four major groups. Cluster 1 contained only one accession, SUD3 from Sudan. It is characterized by green leaves and stem, with semi erect stem pattern. The green leaves have an acute leaf base and apex with coarsely serrated margin. The pods are long and brown in colour. This accession was the third best in fresh leaves biomass (Table 5), but fewer branches compared to the accessions under study.

Cluster 2 contained 15 accessions; Botswana (4), Malawi (3), Sudan, Uganda, Vietnam, Tanzania, Bangladesh, USA, Kenya, and Mali having only one accession each. The accessions were largely characterized by green stem, long brown pods with semi erect stem. However, exceptions were accessions from Vietnam (TOT 6278) and Bangladesh TOT 4713) which have purple stem and round dark brown pods with erect stem. Their leaf base was acute and caudate leaf apex with coarsely serrated margins. The accessions from Botswana, had the lowest fresh leaves biomass (Table 5). These accessions have similar leaf number with fewer days to 50% flowering than the rest of the accessions under this cluster.

Cluster 3 contained 16 accessions: Botswana (5), Cameroon (2), Malawi (2), Tanzania (2), Bangladesh (2), Japan (1), Philippines (1), and Uganda (1). Accessions from Botswana had similar traits being green semi erect stem with green leaves and the petiole were light green and having long brown pods. Accessions from Malawi, Tanzania, Japan, Bangladesh, and Uganda were characterized by acute leaf base and leaf apex with finely serrated margin. Green semi erect stem with long brown pods while the Philippines accession was an exception with an erect stem. Aziga from Cameroon was the only exception in this cluster with globule pod shape compared to the rest of the accessions in this cluster that had long pods. The two accessions from Cameroon (Ex Cameroon and Aziga) were characterized by high fresh leaves biomass and number of days to 50% flowering (Table 5). The Botswana accessions quantitative traits such as fresh leaf biomass, number of leaves and number of days to 50% flowering were not significantly different at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold


Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Cluster 4 was the largest with 17 accessions: Kenya (5), Malawi (4), Cameroon (2), Sudan (2), Bangladesh (1), Zimbabwe (1), Philippines (1), and Uganda (1). The cluster had accessions with both erect and semi erect stem, light green and green stem, with long brown pods. Bafia from Cameroon was an exception with globule pods, dark green leaves. Accessions from Philippines (TOT 6684) had cordate leaf shape with round base and acute leaf apex. Accessions from this cluster are all characterized by high yield with leaf fresh biomass, leaf number (Table 5).

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

UNDER PEER REVIEW



**Figure 1.** Dendrogram of 49 accessions of *Corchorus solitorius* based on complete linkage clustering of 19 quantitative traits and 13 qualitative traits.

Table 8- shows that Cluster 1 was the highest in plant height (135.33cm), number of days to 50% flowering (76days), number of days to first mature pod (133.33days), which confirmed a good relationship between these two traits, leaf width (5.5cm), leaf length (13.66cm), leaf length width ratio (2.45) and seeds per pods (211.67 seeds). The accessions under this cluster can be selected for maturity and leaf size.

Cluster 2 had the highest leaf number (273.65), pods number (24.67) and 1000 seeds weight (2.90mg). Accessions under this cluster can be selected for seeds production as well improvement for leaf number production for those yielding low number of leaves.

Cluster 3 was the highest in dry leaves weight (7.62g), pod length (78.25cm) and peduncle length (1.03cm). Cluster 4 had the highest fresh leaves biomass (27.26g), total shoot biomass (55.8g) and harvest index (0.66). Accessions under this cluster can be selected for vegetable production thus, leaf production and improvement as well as for those countries who are already cultivating Jew's mallow for fibre production.

**Table 8.** Cluster analysis based on 18 quantitative traits of *Corchorusolitorius*

	C1	C2	C3	C4
FL	26.73	17.91	26.22	<b>27.26</b>
DL	5.64	4.18	<b>7.62</b>	6.26
PH	<b>135.33</b>	99.86	110.37	127.76
LN	230	<b>273.65</b>	224.1	253.85
PB	3.33	6.13	5.08	<b>7</b>
DYF	<b>76</b>	63.73	63.79	65.72
DYMP	<b>133.33</b>	108.51	108.42	128.86
LW	<b>5.5</b>	4.51	5.07	5.41
LL	<b>13.66</b>	9.59	10.92	11.15
LWLR	<b>2.45</b>	2.41	2.02	2.1
PL	3.62	2.51	2.59	<b>3.8</b>
PDN	7.67	<b>24.67</b>	13.83	12.82
PDL	65.14	61.11	<b>78.25</b>	68.73
S/POD	<b>211.67</b>	137.22	170.81	149.27
1000SEDS	2.52	<b>2.9</b>	2.89	2.77
PNL	0.97	0.91	<b>1.03</b>	0.96
FH	11.12	9.71	11.89	<b>14.05</b>
DS	50.84	52.6	50.93	<b>55.78</b>

FL-fresh leaves; DL-dry leaves; PH-plant height; LN-leaf number; PB-primary branches; DYF-days to 50% flowering; DYMP-days to mature pods; LW-leaf width; LL-leaf length; LWLR-leaf width length ratio; PL-petiole length; PDN-pod number; PDL-pod length; S/POD- number of seeds per pod; 1000SEDS- weight of 1000 seeds; PNL-peduncle length; FH-fresh shoot weight; DS-biomass

## 3.2 DISCUSSIONS

### 3.2.1 Qualitative morphological variation

The existence of the qualitative morphological variation among the *Corchorusolitorius* accessions was revealed in this study, indicating genetic divergence amongst the accessions. Significant variation ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) was evidenced particularly in the leaf architecture traits i.e. shape, base, margin, colour, and apex. The results of this study are consistent with those of [12,16,17,18, 19, 32] who found some substantial variations in most of the qualitative characters studied in Jew's mallow, especially in the vegetative parts like leaves [2]. This crop is an important leafy vegetable; therefore, its vegetative architecture is significant for leaf production. In this study, 67.31% were ovate leaf shape with 63.27% coarsely serrated margins and 79.59% acute leaf apex. Similarly, [2], reported simple ovate, elliptic lanceolate or oblong leaves, margin serrated or crenate often with a pair of basal setae, usually rounded or craniate at the base rarely truncate. [12] further reported a similar variation on the stem pattern where some accessions were either semi-erect or erect. In addition, similar findings reported of a predominant green coloration observed on leaf lamina (94.44%), stems (97.22%) and stipule (97.22%) on the evaluated accessions, dark green or red colour was observed in the other varieties for the same traits [17]. According to [20], variations in stem colour, leaf shape and stipule are the most informative phenotypic variables for the assessment of *Corchorusolitorius* genotypes. To improve leaf production of Jew's mallow, the knowledge required is not only that of the diversity and genetic variability of the available germplasm but also the genetic architecture of the leaf and its components.

Formatted: Font: Italic

### 3.2.2 Quantitative morphological variation

Significant ( $P = .05$ ) variations were observed in the quantitative morphological traits in the 49 accessions under study, indicating an adequate amount of genetic variability among the Jew's mallow accessions as shown by the Descriptive statistics of 19 morphological quantitative traits of Jew's mallow accessions as well as the yield and yield components. The accessions demonstrated high variation in number of leaves per plant, fresh leaves weight, number of branches, number of pods per plant and the number of seeds per pod. These are important aspects to consider during selection of accessions with high leaf yield. Quantitative traits like number of leaves per plant, plant height at maturity, harvest index have been identified as important discriminating traits among the Jew's mallows. [11,19, 3,21, 18, 11, 12]

Plant height was significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among the studied accessions with more variation between the accessions and height values recorded ranged between 56.67cm-

169cm, contrary, [22] reported plant height at harvest time ranging from 2.02-3.27m and the variation could be attributed to variation in genotypes used.

It was observed that, accessions that took more days to reach 50% flowering and subsequently longer to mature, had the highest fresh leaf biomass. This could possibly be because prolonged days to maturity translates to more days for photosynthesis, leading to higher biomass production and thus high crop yield [23]. The range of days to 50% flowering obtained under this study was 53.33 – 97 days, higher than the values reported by [11], who observed range values between 30.83-76.53 among the 40 accessions evaluated while [17] reported range values between 41- 89days but less than those of [12,24] who reported 52 – 110 days to 50% flowering by the 90 accessions under study.

Significant variation was also observed on number of leaves per plant with values ranging between 180-371.65. TOT6278 from Vietnam and TOT4713 from Bangladesh, Delele 2, Delele3, Panda from Botswana and IP4 from Kenya had the highest number of leaves even though their fresh leaf biomass was significantly less than those with a smaller number of leaves, possibly because the leaves were small sized, a common character with most of the local accessions. Contrary to these finding, [25] reported that, TOT 4051 had the smallest leaf area (33.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) of the five accessions with the highest fresh leaf yield but had the highest number of leaves (1089.7) compared with all other accessions in their study. A high number of leaves contributed to the high yield in leaf fresh weight of that accession. Thus, not only does leaf area contribute to leaf yield, but the number of leaves does as well. Leaf area is an important trait in the selection of accessions with high leaf yield. Higher range was reported by [17]who found significant variation among the accessions with values ranging between 383 - 1235.

Results of the current study revealed that, some accessions such as TOT6278 had many leaves and highest 1000 seeds weight compared to other accessions. This was like [26] findings, who reported that a high number of leaves per plant correlated positively with high pods weight, thus a strong and positive correlation was observed between the number of leaves per plant and number of pods per plant. This could possibly be because accessions with high number of leaves are likely to have higher photosynthetic thus higher partitioning of assimilates leading to higher seed yield. This shows that it is important to strike a balance between the seed yield and leaf yield attributes for economic production of this vegetable.

#### **3.2.2.1 Pearson's correlation among the quantitative morphological traits**

In this study, significant and positive correlation was observed between fresh leaves biomass and leaf width, leaf length, flower diameter and days to 50% flowering. These traits proved to be superior in contributing to biomass yield and they can be used for improvement

of foliage in low yielding accessions. However, there was a significant and negative correlation between fresh leaves biomass and number of leaves per plant as well as the number of branches per plant. The results agree with findings of [17] who reported a negative significant correlation between average number of leaves per plant and the total plant weight nevertheless, there was a significant positive correlation between number of leaves per plant and the total weight of the plant. [11] reported a positive correlation between the fresh leaf biomass and number of leaves and branches.

There was a significant and positive correlation between the number of pods per plant and number of leaves per plant, leaf length width ratio. This may possibly suggest that the more the surface area of the leaf and number of leaves, the plant can produce enough assimilates to partition for the reproductive cycle particularly in producing the pods for seeds production. In a study by [27], it was reported that a significant positive correlation was recorded for fresh leaves weight with days to 50% flowering. A strong positive correlation was observed between days to 50% flowering and plant height, number of seeds per pod and pod diameter, number of branches and fresh and dry mass in the current study and these results contrast with those of [19] who reported a strong negative correlation between number of days to 50% flowering and leaf length width ratio, number of pods per plant.

#### **3.2.2.2 Principal component analysis for the qualitative and quantitative morphological traits.**

In this study, the principal component generated 34 PCs and apportioned the total variance for only the first four principal components. These four components contributed 58.72% to the total variability of the morphological traits with an eigenvalue greater than 2, suggesting that, these accessions varied greatly in most of the studied characters. In agreement with these findings, [27], reported that PCA revealed that the first five PCs having eigenvalue greater than 1 explained 75.93% of the total variation of *Corchorus spp.* Interestingly, [24] found that, the first principal component (PC) explained 49%, the second 23% and the third 12% of the morphological variation, a total of 85%. The main qualitative trait which accounted for most variability in PC1 compared to the rest of the traits was leaf apex (leaf shape). [16], suggested that foliar characters are more important in characterizing *Corchorus spp.*

In the current study, the number of leaves, branches per plant contributed more positively for variations observed in PC2 and PC3, respectively. Similarly, [19] reported that, in PC2, the phenotypic attributes that mainly contributed to the variability among the accessions were leaf width, number of branches and number of seeds per pod while the number of leaves per

plant were the more contributing factor in the PC3. In the current study, The PC3, was more associated with the pods/ seeds (pod length and weight of 1000 seeds) and some of the vegetative components including plant height and primary and secondary branches. Interestingly, [17] reported that, PC3 describes 11.53% of the variation and is defined on the positive side by leaf length, petiole length, 1000 seed weight, fruit length and then on the negative side by stem diameter.

### **3.2.2.3 Cluster analysis of qualitative and quantitative morphological traits**

Using all morphological traits to show the current genetic divergence across all the studied Jew's mallow accessions, the cluster analysis grouped the 49 accessions into four cluster groups, as shown in the Dendrogram (figure 1). This closely compares to [12, 22], who generated a dendrogram that grouped the studied accessions into 5 clusters and [11, 29] who generated only six clusters.

Generally, the results revealed the presence of genetic diversity in the set of Jew's mallow genotypes and the efficiency of the morphological traits chosen to distinguish the genotypes.

Contrary, [19, 20, 17] reported that, cluster analysis grouped the accessions based on their origin into clusters that showed high diversity for most of the traits, demonstrating the homogeneity of accessions from specific location. The current results revealed that clustering of the accessions was based on shared similarities on the quantitative and qualitative morphological characteristics rather than on their geographical origin. Similarly [24, 22, 11], the dendrogram obtained based on morphological traits to reveal the genetic diversity in the set of Jew's mallow genotypes. Contrary, (19, 12] reported that, cluster analysis grouped the accessions based on their geographical origin.

## **4. CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded that, there is existing variabilities amongst the accessions and is attributed by the qualitative and quantitative traits observed. Because of some of the quantitative traits, some accessions could serve as potential parents for improvement of Jew's mallow in Botswana. Significant correlation between the leaf yield and related attributes indicated the potential accessions to use for foliage yield improvement. Based on biomass yield, accessions such as Bafia, Aziga, ExCameroon, Local big leaves, TOT6684, MLJM4, MLJM5, SUD2, SUD3 had the highest leaf fresh biomass and could be used as potential parental lines for improvement of leaf yield. Accessions such as Delele2, Delele3, Panda and Panda1 can be selected for early maturity, a mechanism that most of plants use to escape the abiotic stress amongst all the studied accessions. These accessions can as

well be selected for high number of pods and leaves. Accessions such as IP1, IP2, UGJM13, SUD1 and TOT4670 can be selected for plant height and number of branches. These characters: number of leaves, number of branches, plant height have been reported as traits proved to be superior in contributing to biomass yield.

Accessions such as MIX, SUD4, TOT6278 and TOT4713 could be selected as parental lines for seed yield improvement in number seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and 1000seeds weight respectively because these have proved in the current study to contributing to seed yield. In considering both seed yield and leaf yield as important agronomic traits for the selection of promising accessions, the challenge becomes how to balance the leaf yield and seed yield as these were inversely related in the current study. Accessions with high leaf yield had relatively low seed yield. However, the leaf yield as harvestable part remains more important than the seed in the current study therefore, those accessions with high leaf yield are recommended for acceptability of Jew's mallow as a vegetable in Botswana.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Mbaye MS, Noba K, SarrRS, Kane A, SambouJM, TidianeBAA. Eléments de précision sur la systématique d'espèces adventices du genre *Corchorus l.* (tiliaceae) au Sénégal. *African Journal of Science and Technology*. 2001;2(1):51-64.
- [2]. Edmonds JM. 1990. Herbarium survey of African *Corchorus l.* species. systematic and ecogeographic studies on crop gene pools 4. Rome: IBPGR.
- [3] Benor., S, Jorg., F. and Blatter, F.R. Genome size variation in *Corchorus solitorius* and its correlation with elevation and phenotypic traits. *NRC Research Press*. 2010. Volume 54: 575-585.
- [4]. Schippers, R.R. African Indigenous Vegetables. An Overview of the Cultivated Species. Natural Resources Institute/ACP-EU Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation, Chatham, 214, 2000
- [5]. Maseko, I., Ncube, B., Mabhandhi, T., Tesfay, S., Chomoyo, V., Araya, H.T., Plooy, C.P. and Fessehazion, M. Nutritional quality of selected African leafy vegetable cultivated under varying water regimes and different harvest age. Thesis, 2019.

- [6]. Mavengaham, S., Clercg, W., and Mc Lachlan, M. Effects of soil amendements on yield of wild okra (*Corchorusolitorius* L) in northern Kwazulu Natal, South Africa. *South Africa Journal of Plant and Soil*. 2016, 33 (2).
- [7]. Al Batran,R., Al-bayaty, F., Abdulla, M.A. "Gastroprotective effects of *Corchorusolitorius* leaf extract against ethanol-induced gastric mucosal hemorrhagic lesions in rats," *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 2013, vol. 28, pp. 1321–1329.
- [8]. Racha A, Yakoub B and Abdehedi O. Flavonoids, phenols, antioxidants, and antimicrobial activities in various extracts from Tossa jute leaf (*Corchorusolitorius*), *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2018, Vol 1:118-213.
- [9]. Mbaye MS, Noba K, Sarr RS, Kane A, Sambou JM, Tidiane BAA. Eléments de précision sur la systématique d'espèces adventices du genre *Corchorus* L. (tiliaceae) au Sénégal. *African Journal of Science and Technology*. 2001;2(1):51-64.
- [10]. Bashandy., El-Shaieny Abdel-Haleem A. H. Morphological and Molecular Marker Screening for Drought Tolerance in Egyptian Jew's Mallow (*Corchorusolitorius*L.) Landraces. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 2012, 69(1): 79–89.
- [11]. Adebo, H.O., Ahoton, L.E., Quanam, F. and Ezin, V. Agro Morphological Characterization of *Corchorusolitorius* cultivar of Benin. *Annual Research and Review in Botany*, 2015, 7(4): 229 – 240.
- [12]. Ngomuo, M., Stoilova, T.S., Olayinka, B.N., Lateef, A.A., Garuba, T., Olahan, G.S., Tihamiyi, B.B., and Abdulrahman, A.A. Molecular characterization of some accessions of *corchorusolitorius* L, 2017, 1 (2): 213-217.
- [13]. Gab Alla, M. M. M., Abdelkhalek, A.A., Eryan, N.L., and Farag, S.S. Response of some Bread Wheat Genotypes to Less Irrigation Water. 2019. *J. of Plant Production*, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 10 (11):917-927.
- [14]. Fufa, H., Baenzinger, P.S., Beecher, B.S., Dweikat, I., Graybosh, R.A and Eskridge K.M. Comparison of phenotypic and molecular marker-based classifications of hard red winter wheat. *Euphytica*, 2005, 145 (1-2): 133-146

- [15]. Denton OA, and Nwangburuka CC. Morphological diversity among *Corchorusolitorius* accessions based on single linkage cluster analysis and principal component analysis. *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2012, 5(3):191-196.
- [16]. Osawaru, M.E., Ogi, M.C and Aiwansoba, R. O. Hierarchical approaches to the analysis of genetic diversity in plants, A systematic overview. *University of Mauritius Research Journal*, 2015, 21, 1-36.
- [17]. Arlette, A., Estelle, L.Y.L., Zaki, B., Donald, B., Tiburce, O., Hounnankpon, Y., Alexandre, D. Agromorphological characterization of jute (*Corchorusolitorius* L.) landraces in Central region of Benin Republic. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.* (2019). 6(11): 96-107
- [18]. Denton OA, and Nwangburuka CC. Morphological diversity among *Corchorusolitorius* accessions based on single linkage cluster analysis and principal component analysis. *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2012, 5(3):191-196. 16.
- [19]. Dube S.P, Marais D, Mavengahama S, Margaretha Van Jaarsveld C & ShegroGerrano A, (2018). Characterisation of agro-morphological traits of *Corchorus* accessions. *Soil & Plant Science*, 69:2, 126-134, DOI: 10.1080/09064710.2018.1514419.
- [20]. Adeyinka AC, Akintade MJ. Morphological characterization and hybridization in four accessions of *Corchorus*. *J Biol Nat*. 2015, 4: 179–192.
- [21]. Maina FNW, Muasya RM, Gohole LS. 2012. Morphological characterization of jute mallow, *Corchorus* sp. to assess its genetic diversity in Western Kenya. *Baraton Interdisciplin Res J*. 2012, 2:21–29.
- [22]. Jui S.A, Mukul M.M, Nur I.J and Ghosh R.K. Cluster analysis of *Corchoruscapsularis* jute based on agro-morphological characters to isolate high-yielding genotypes for breeding purposes. *International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences*, 2022, 3(1):29-36.
- [23]. [Yang J](#), [Li Y](#), [Cao H](#), [Yao H](#), [Han W](#) and [Sun S](#). (2019). Yield-Maturity Relationships of Summer Maize from 2003 to 2017 in the Huanghuaihai Plain of China. Scientific report, doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-47561-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47561-2)
- [24]. Adeyemo O.A, Ayodele O.O, Ajisafe M.O, Okinedo U.E, Adeoye D.O, Afanou A.B, Akinsemoyin F.A, Ogunjobi O.O, Kasali O.J, Chukwudir E.E. Evaluation of dark jute SSR

markers and morphological traits in genetic diversity assessment of jute mallow (*Corchorusolitorius L.*) cultivar. *Soth African Journal of Botany*. 2020, 137 (2021) 290-297.

[25]. Ngomuo M, Stoilova T, Feyissa T, Ndakidemi PA. Characterization of morphological diversity of jute mallow (*Corchorusspp*). *Int J Agronom*. 2017:1–12.

[26]. Madisa, M., Mathowa, T., Mpofo, C., Stephen, N., and Machacha, S. Effects of chicken manure and commercial fertilizers on performance of *Corchorusolitorius*. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*. 2013, 4(6), 617-622.

[27]. Gosh R.K, Sreewongchai T, Nakasathien S, and Phumichai C. Phenotypic variation and the relationships among jute (*Corchorusspp*) genotypes using morpho. Agronomic traits and multivariate analysis. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 2013, 7(6); 830-842.

[28]. Bisen, N. K., Sarvade, S., Gaur, V. S., andGautam, K. Ethnobotany of Valuable Medicinal Plants Available in Chhattisgarh Plane Region of Balaghat District, Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2021, 1:1-10.

[29]Sarvade, S., Gupta, B., and Singh, M. Composition, diversity and distribution of tree species in response to changing soil properties with increasing distance from water source — A case study of GobindSagar reservoir in India. *Journal of Mountain Science*.2016a, 13(3); 522–533.

[30] Sarvade, S., Gupta, B. and Singh, M., Soil carbon storage potential of different land use systems in upstream catchment area of GobindSagar reservoir, Himachal Pradesh. *IndianJournal of Soil Conservation*.2016b, 44(2); 112–119.

[31] Sarvade, S. Agroforestry: refuge for biodiversityconservation. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science & Engineering*. 2014. 2(5): 424-429.

[32] Gupta B, Sarvade S, Mahmoud A. Effects of selective tree species on phytosociology and production of understorey vegetation in mid-Himalayan region of Himachal Pradesh. *Range ManagAgrofor*.2015.36(2):156–163.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

UNDER PEER REVIEW

