

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113945
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZING THE AGRO-MORPHOLOGICAL DIVERSITY OF CORCHORUS OLITORIUS L ACCESSIONS IN BOTSWANA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The Manuscript is definitely useful for scientific community as it provides information about suitability of a particular cultivar for the agro ecological situation.</p> <p>2. The title and the abstract of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The manuscript is too long, so it should be précised without losing its scientific information.</p> <p>4. Is the fertilizer fed was as per the recommended dose of fertilizer for the crop, mention the dose?</p> <p>5. The subsections and structure of manuscript is ok.</p> <p>6. Though scientifically correct need further study for validation for its contradictory conclusion.</p> <p>7. Some of the references are very old, try to replace it with recent ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Almost, but it can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakhi Prusty
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India