

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_114416
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimate of genotypic and phenotypic correlation and Path coefficients in Bead wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The title is good and matched the manuscript content. The abstract is good and explains the content of the manuscript . The introduction is scientific and useful . The methodology is good . The results are good and justified by the data. BUT the sections of the results must be condensed in order to make the manuscript more concise. The discussion , the researcher obtained data for results but does not explain them ,he (she ) must write a discussion for the results obtained. Many of new and recent references have been mentioned</p> <p>the manuscript is useful for breeders, geneticists and other scientists</p> <p>The manuscript is accepted after making minor revision , and some comments were written on the manuscript must be done .</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amel Ghanim Muhmood AL-Kazzaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Baghdad, Iraq</b>