

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_114228
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND NUTRITIVE PROPERTIES EVALUATION OF FIVE INFANT FLOURS PRODUCED IN KORHOGO IN NORTHERN IVORY COAST AFTER CONSERVATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Articles fulfil the rules/elements of scientific articles and have presented information, ideas, or opinions for solving a problem based on valid and traceable facts and data. The article is objective and impartial and the presentation is based on the results of logical and empirical thinking with the writing of the article using standard and systematic language.</p> <p>2. Article title is appropriate</p> <p>3. Abstract there is no complete description of the design and methods of data collection and data analysis. Presentation is still possible because it has not reached 300 words, only 133 words are presented.</p> <p>4. The completeness of the elements in the content of the article is quite complete and there is a correspondence with the elements and content</p> <p>5. The content of the article is in accordance with the rules and scientific elements have also been fulfilled where communicative writing with the use of precise and straightforward words to avoid misunderstanding of the type of article. The contents of the thoughts expressed are arranged in sequence so as to form a relationship between sentences that are systematic, coherent, and follow the writing method based on the template. the discussion is deep enough and supported by a clear and appropriate theoretical basis. The validity of the data has been fulfilled and the method used is in accordance with the research objectives.</p> <p>6. Reference writing is in accordance with the template, namely numbering There are still journals cited for more than 10 years of publication, preferably the last 5 years of publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is appropriate where the language is structured and easy to understand as a means of communication in an international article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meildy Esthevanus Pascoal
Department, University & Country	Indonesia