

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_113871
Title of the Manuscript:	Patients Satisfaction with Healthcare Professional Communication Skills at Benghazi Medical Center
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1)Certainly, it is a relevant topic in the literature and communication as well as the relationship between professionals and patients widely addressed. I would suggest that we highlight the uniqueness of the study. It is necessary to emphasize this in the study. In the literature, there is a lot of talk about verbal and non-verbal communication and satisfaction of healthcare personnel. Highlight the added value.</p> <p>2) The title is clear and you understand the theme that will be evaluated in the manuscript. I would definitely rephrase it to make it more appealing in the eyes of the reader. I would then add what kind of study is in the title. One possibility could be the following: "Patient Satisfaction and Communication Skills of Healthcare Professionals: Cross sectional study at Benghazy Medical Center".</p> <p>3) The abstract is comprehensive and clear. It could also be more summary in methods. I would describe in detail the methods in the manuscript text instead. As far as the results in the abstract is concerned, the value of the averages should be placed in parentheses after the symbol M and not simply as a numerical value. Also in the results section I don't like the use of the word in parentheses association. The sentence needs to be reworded.</p> <p>4) The subdivision and structure of the manuscript I find to be appropriate in line with scientific and academic articles. I would include beyond the recommendations the implications for the clinic and for future research.</p> <p>5) It can be improved but overall it has a correct structure.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I think the linguistic quality is suitable for an academic article. It is written in a clear and straightforward manner.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would propose a change in punctuation and the use of quotation marks. I find that they have been inserted incorrectly here:</p> <p>There are many different definitions of communication. Generally, communication can be defined as a process of sharing and giving meaning occurring at the same time through symbolic interactions". Communication has also been defined as a process that involves writing, reporting, correspondence, and understanding the mechanics of writing and speaking." (3).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Federica Maria Pia Ferramosca
Department, University & Country	University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy