

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_114169
Title of the Manuscript:	Embracing the Sacred: Recognizing Spiritual Knowledge within Traditional Ecological Knowledge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This research is another step in the field of ecosophy and eco-theology whose basic premise is to seek an interconnection between the natural and the spiritual. However, the writer should have brought more examples to prove his / her arguments. Otherwise it is helpful for the beginners in the field of ecocriticism.</p> <p>2. The title of the article seems suitable to me.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides the key-points of the major discussions in the article.</p> <p>4. The subsections and subheading of the article are appropriate as they discuss various points step-by-step.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is presenting the arguments are scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are recent and sufficient considering the arguments of the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is used correctly in the paper.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satnam Singh
Department, University & Country	University Institute of Legal Studies, Panjab University, India