

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111996
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Unproductive Morphological Forms in Indonesian
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-It is significant, since it tackled the subject in new perspective different from other previous studies</p> <p>2-It is suitable, but the reviewer suggests adding the word 'language' so as to be as follows: Investigating the Unproductive Morphological Forms in Indonesian Language</p> <p>3-The reviewer suggests the researcher includes some of results and recommendations to the abstract.</p> <p>4- he reviewer suggests that the researcher should provide a separate and detailed subtitle for the notion of unproductive morphological forms in general + the unproductive morphological forms in Indonesian language together with word patterns and examples should be tabulated in separate tables</p> <p>5-Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6-References are sufficient. The researcher language is correct and abided by research scientific norms The researcher has provided sufficient citations that support his ideas</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the researcher language is correct and his ideas are well expressed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elgilani Khalil Osman Elfaki
Department, University & Country	College of Science and Humanities, Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia