

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114160
Title of the Manuscript:	BITCOIN POTENTIAL AFTER BREXIT REFERENDUM AND PRICE PREDICTION IN 2024
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. Bitcoin is an increasingly important financial asset so measure and forecast the price and volatility of Bitcoin will catch academics and investors' attention.</p> <p>There are two important events in 2016 mentioned in the paper: Brexit and US-China trade war. Why does only Brexit mentioned in the title? And WHY only two events?</p> <p>Yes it is. However, some "less academic words" or objective meaning should be avoid. "the crypto market is emerging as a haven for investors seeking alternatives to traditional assets" as one example. "haven" should be changed.</p> <p>The structure is ok but the conclusion should be rewritten. The subsection should not be numbered under the conclusion. The conclusion should be more concise. The literature review now is included in the introduction, however, more relevant studies about measuring cypto or Bitcoin should be included: methodology and results as well.</p> <p>Yes, I do.</p> <p>More references about volatility of cypto or Bitcoin should be added to compare and contrast to the results of the current paper. Some suggested references:</p> <p>https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/11/2/23 https://papers.ssrn.com/Sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2961405 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1544612315001038 https://www.aimspress.com/aimspress-data/dsfe/2022/1/PDF/DSFE-01-01-003.pdf</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The literature should be more comprehensive, to show the relevant method and clear research gap,</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huyen Do Phuong
Department, University & Country	Vietnam National University, Vietnam