

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_112029
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Steam Blanching and Storage Durations on the Physicochemical Properties of White Yam (<i>Dioscorea rotundata</i>) Slices
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? Yes, I understand that within the local context the manuscript is relevant, and at a global level it is relevant to inform that yams can be subjected to techniques that provide improvements in physical-chemical properties.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes.</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes.</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, although it is a simple manuscript, with very specific analyses, it provides direct information to the reader.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? No, I suggest including recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is not my native language, if the reviewer understands that a more in-depth language review is important, I recommend that the authors do so.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>If the peer review is favorable, I recommend publishing the article after reviews.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marco Antônio Pereira da Silva
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Goiano, Brazil