

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110094
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient uptake and quality of sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.) as influenced by sulphur and boron
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, While research investigating nutrient uptake in sesame is relatively limited compared to other crops, there are several studies that have explored this topic. 2. The title is well-written and effective. It is likely to attract readers and accurately represent the content of the article. 3. Yes, it follows the IJECC guidelines. 4. The introduction must clearly defined the problem; Clearly define the problem of low sesame productivity in India, emphasizing the factors contributing to it, such as marginal lands, sub-marginal conditions, and low nutrient input. Quantify the extent of the problem by providing data on sesame yield gaps and yield potential. 5. Yes, The references section should be formatted according to the established guidelines to ensure consistency and clarity 6. Yes 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The author constructs the sentence well.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Improve the references by following the guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Warren Kim Siarot
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture and Forestry, Central Philippines State University, Philippines