

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_113456
Title of the Manuscript:	The Sero-prevalence and risk factors of Chlamydiosis among women of reproductive age in Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Cross sectional

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it will initiate further robust studies in future – RCT will be more useful</p> <p>Yes, Suitable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Needs clarification – How did they select participants – day basis or consultant basis – Pregnant women selection, How do you know volunteer is healthy (Site/place, symptom free or negative on microbiological studies or seronegative), What is sensitivity and specificity of Ig Test – Smear and cultures must have been more scientific as serum levels have many factors. Must have done some Pilot study</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Acceptable – few mistakes here and there – may be computer based</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>As initial study , it is good effort for genital infections and planning screening and education protocols</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Uma Gupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ELMCH, India</b>