

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_113977</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Project Management Methodologies Effect on Project Success: A Comprehensive Review among Businesses in Ghana.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It provides a thorough review and analysis of project management methodologies' effects on project success specifically within the context of businesses in Ghana. This focused study adds valuable knowledge to the field, offering insights that can inform both academic research and practical applications in project management.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes, the title it is suitable, it clearly indicates the scope of the study, including the focus on project management methodologies, their impact on project success, and the specific context of businesses in Ghana. It effectively communicates the subject matter and scope of the research to potential readers.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the paper is comprehensive as it effectively outlines its objective, methods, results, and conclusions. It provides a clear and concise summary of the study, giving readers a good understanding of the research focus and key findings.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The manuscript is well-organized, with clear subsections that align with the overall flow of the research. Each subsection appears to cover relevant aspects of the study, such as project methodologies, project management methodologies, project goal setting, and project success. The inclusion of subsections like "Limitations of Existing Project Success Evaluation Models" and "Practical Project Success Contributions" suggests a comprehensive analysis of the topic. Overall, the structure appears to effectively guide the reader through the research process and findings.</b></li> <li>5. <b>I find the manuscript to be scientifically accurate. It provides a comprehensive review and analysis of how project management methodologies impact project success in Ghanaian businesses. The methodology seems robust, with results supported by the data and analysis provided. The conclusions drawn are in line with the study's objectives, showcasing a commitment to scientific rigor and standards.</b></li> <li>6. <b>The manuscript includes 75 references spanning from 2002 to 2024, which indicates a comprehensive and up-to-date review of the literature. This range of references provides a strong foundation for the study and supports the arguments and findings effectively. The inclusion of recent references ensures that the study is grounded in current research and industry practices. Overall, the reference list appears to be well-rounded and suitable for the topic.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language and english quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear and coherent, making it easy to understand the research and its findings.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>I would recommend that the authors ensure consistency in referencing throughout the manuscript. If they have used numerical citations elsewhere, they should continue to do so in Section 8. Additionally, it is essential to include all cited references in the reference list at the end of the paper. If Shenhar et al. (2001) is cited in the text but not included in the reference list, it should be added to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the bibliography.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Houda Mezouar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Mohammed V of Rabat, Morocco</b>